UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION OF MALAYSIAN ASSETS USING MEAN-VARIANCE WITH ADDITIONAL CARDINALITY CONSTRAINT P41S23

'AINA NUR SYARAH BINTI SHAMSUL AKMAR(2021839832) MUHAMMAD HAZMI BIN ZURAINI(2021458834) NOR ALIA BINTI AZMI(2021865218)

Report submitted in partial fullfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Bachelor of Science (Hons.)** (Management Mathematics & Business Economy)

College of Computing, Informatics & Mathematics

February 2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Investment is the acquisition of assets to develop wealth and save money from hard-earned income or appreciation. The goal of investment is to generate the highest possible profit while minimizing the risk of loss. Portfolio optimization is a qualitative process used in finance to select the best possible combination of investment portfolio assets and their weights, given a set of objectives and constraints. Problem: Investment decision-making in Malaysia is complex due to the wide range of available assets. To determine the optimal investment strategy, it is necessary to explore the impact of cardinality constraints on risk diversification in portfolio optimization, especially concerning the Sharpe ratio, when exhaustive information is not available. **Objectives:** This study aims to determine the diversification of risk and the number of assets within the mean-variance model. Additionally, it seeks to determine the diversification of risk of a portfolio based on different numbers of assets in the cardinality-constrained mean-variance model. Lastly, the study aims to compare the portfolio performance in terms of the Sharpe ratio between the meanvariance and cardinality-constrained mean-variance (CCMV) model. Methodology: Mean-variance analysis is a technique used in portfolio optimization to determine the optimal asset allocation that maximizes expected return while minimizing risk. The CCMV model imposes a limit on the number of assets in the portfolio and restricts the proportion of assets in the portfolio. The Sharpe ratio is used to evaluate the performance of the portfolio optimization model under varying cardinality constraints. Finding: According to the mean-variance model, as expected returns rise, portfolios often streamline assets, increasing risk while prioritizing diversification for better risk management. The cardinality constrained mean-variance model enhances risk diversification by limiting the number of assets, effectively reducing portfolio risk. The CCMV model shows higher Sharpe ratios compared to the mean-variance model at both low and high target returns, implying potentially superior risk-adjusted returns. Conclusion: The study concludes that limiting the number of assets in the portfolio significantly affects expected return and investment risk, aiding investors in allocating resources more effectively and offering valuable insights into portfolio management in the Malaysian market.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Firstly, we must acknowledge the divine grace of Allah S.W.T, for giving us the strength that the triumphant culmination of this project becomes a reality, without whom the successful completion of this project would not have been possible.

We want to express our deep gratitude to our supervisor, Encik Mohd Azdi Bin Maasar, for his guidance. His mentorship, constructive feedback, support, and advice carried our group through all the stages to the completion of this Final Year Project and ignited the flames of motivation within our group. His guidance played a pivotal role in propelling us toward excellence.

We express our heartfelt appreciation for the selfless contribution of our group members. Each of us has elevated this project with hard work and consistently offered fresh and innovative ideas.

Finally, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to our family and friends for their understanding and unwavering encouragement throughout the completion of our project, and we're thankful to Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) for providing the necessary resources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SUPERVISOR'S APPROVAL | i |
|-----------------------|------|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | ii |
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | V |
| LIST OF TABLES | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |

| CHA | APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|--------------------------------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 | Significance of Study | 3 |
| 1.5 | Scope and Limitation of Study | 4 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | | 5 |
| 2.1 | Background Theory | 5 |
| 2.2 | Literature Review | 6 |
| CHA | APTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 9 |
| 3.1 | Data Collection | 9 |
| 3.2 | Portfolio Optimization Mean-Variance Model | 10 |
| 3.3 | Cardinality Constraint Formulation | 12 |

| 3.4 | Quadratic Programming | 14 |
|-----|---|----|
| CH | APTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 16 |
| 4.1 | Analysis of Mean-Variance Portfolio | 16 |
| 4.2 | Analysis of Cardinality Constrained Mean-Variance Portfolio | 18 |
| 4.3 | Performance Analysis | 19 |
| CH | APTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 21 |
| REI | FERENCES | 23 |