# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# **TECHNICAL REPORT**

## FIELD OF HIGHER LEARNING SELECTION FOR FORM SIX STUDENTS USING ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)

## NUR FATHIN SYAMIRA BINTI ZAHARUDIN (2022996493) FARAH AIN ZAIRIN (2022987915) NURUL HARMIRA BINTI SAMSUDIN (2022786305) (P27S23)

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Management Mathematics College of Computing, Informatics and Mathematics

**OCTOBER 2023** 

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wanted to recognize the hard work of everyone involved in completing this project. First and foremost, we were grateful to Allah S.W.T. for giving us the strength to make this project a success even though we faced some obstacles a few times.

A project would never improve if it never received any constructive comments from people. Therefore, we would also like to thank our supervisor, Madam Rasidah binti Buang and our lecturers, Dr. Nurul Liyana binti Abdul Aziz and Dr. Noorehan binti Awang for their guidance and helpful comments to improve our research. The comments and advice provided by them helped us in various aspects, from writing the proposal to completing this study. Not to forget, we wanted to express our gratitude to our family members and friends who would always support us mentally and physically to keep going.

Finally, thank you to all the members for being an indispensable part of the team. All the energy, dedication and tireless efforts put into ensuring that the research was carried out as planned would never be forgotten.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
SUPERVISOR'S APPROVAL	iv
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	V
ABSTRACT	vi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
<ul> <li>1.1 Introduction</li> <li>1.2 Problem Statement</li> <li>1.3 Objectives</li></ul>	3 4 4 5 5
2.1 Background Theory	7
2.2 Literature Review/ Related Research	. 13
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION	
<ul><li>3.1 Methodology</li><li>3.1.1 Algorithm of AHP/Calculation of weightage</li></ul>	
3.1.2 Check Consistency	
3.1.3 The Weightage of Alternative	
3.2 Implementation	. 30
3.2.1 Calculation of weightage for Criteria	
3.2.2 Calculation of weightage for Alternatives CHAPTER 4 RESULT, DISCUSSION AND VALIDATION	
<ul><li>4.1 Result and Discussion</li><li>4.1.1 Weightage of Criteria and Alternatives</li></ul>	
4.1.2 Percentage of Students by Criteria and Alternatives	
4.1.3 Weightage by Gender of Criteria and Alternatives	
4.1.4 Percentage of Students by Gender for Criteria and Alternatives	
4.2 Validation	. 56
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 57
5.1 Conclusion	. 57
5.2 Recommendation	
REFERENCES	. 58
APPENDICES	. 61

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: The Definition of Terms and Concepts	5
Table 2: List of Previous Studies Regarding Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	7
Table 3: List of Previous Studies on Criteria for Field Selection	13
Table 4: Standardized Comparison Scale of Nine Levels	24
Table 5: Random Index	28
Table 6: The Weightage of Criteria	44
Table 7: The Weightage of Alternatives	45
Table 8: The Weightage by Gender for Criteria	49
Table 9: The Weightage by Gender for Alternatives	51
Table 10: The Percentage of Students by Gender for Criteria	53
Table 11: The Percentage of Students by Gender for Alternatives	55

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Major Selection Process using AHP	3
Figure 2: The Process of Methodology Research	. 23
Figure 3: AHP Process of Selection of Field for Form Six Students	. 30
Figure 4: Weightage of Criteria	. 45
Figure 5: Weightage of Alternatives	. 46
Figure 6: The Percentage of Criteria	. 47
Figure 7: The Percentage of Alternatives	. 48
Figure 8: The Weightage by Gender for Criteria	. 50
Figure 9: The Weightage by Gender for Alternatives	. 52
Figure 10: The Percentage of Students by Gender for Criteria	. 54
Figure 11: The Percentage of Students by Gender for Alternatives	. 55

#### ABSTRACT

After completing high schools, some students opted to continue their studies in Form Six which was a common study option for students who have completed secondary school. Many government schools across the country offered Form Six, a Pre-University (Pre-U) curriculum. It worked best for dedicated learners who seeked a Pre-U degree that was both inexpensive and well-regarded. However, students needed to keep in mind to choose a suitable and appropriate major when wanting to further in Bachelor Degree. Therefore, this study was done to identify the students' most preferred course and why students chose them. The study consisted of 195 Form Six students from nine schools around Negeri Sembilan. Data from these students were collected through online survey and Analytic Hierarchical Process (AHP) were then used to calculate the comparison pairwise using the answers from respondents. The AHP method was one of the most popular and widely employed multicriteria methods. The processes of ranking alternatives and aggregating them to select the most pertinent ones are combined in this approach. The method was used to rank a set of alternatives or to choose the best option from a set of alternatives. Results showed that most students chose Job Opportunities as the most preferred criteria and Literature for the most preferred alternative. The study is unique in that the data used were primary data and it could be the first study exploring the factors that may influence decision of the students in Negeri Sembilan in choosing a course after completing Form Six.

*Keywords:* Multi-criteria decision making methods, AHP, Form Six, Negeri Sembilan