UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

EFFECTS OF PROXIMAL CEMENTATION TO THE IMPLANT STABILITY AND BONE ADAPTATION IN CEMENTED HIP ARTHROPLASTY

MAIZATUL AFIRAH BINTI AHMAD

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science** (Mechanical Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

January 2023

ABSTRACT

Total hip arthroplasty, also known as the surgical replacement of the hip joint with a prosthesis, is a reconstructive operation that has improved the management of hip joint illnesses that have not responded well to medical treatment. Evidence supports the hypothesis that the proximal portion of the cement used in THA is more resistant to the effects of stress than the distal component of the cement. Consequently, this study aims to determine whether THA can be carried out by cementing only the proximal part of the hip prosthesis. The tensile strength of the polymethylmethacrylate cement is 29 MPa, the Poisson's ratio is 0.3 and Young's modulus of the polymethylmethacrylate cement is 2 GPa. This research was carried out with the assistance of a stainless-steel stem model provided by the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery at the University of Malaya Medical Centre. The model had a young's modulus of 200 GPa and a Poisson's ratio of 0.28. The femoral bone was made of cortical and had the following material properties: a young modulus of 17GPa, a Poisson's ratio of 0.3, and a yield strength of 115 MPa. A stem prosthesis was inserted into the femoral canal of the THA patient while the bone cement was being modeled to restore the femur. This study used different loading conditions, including stair climbing, walking and abduction. The effects of varied proximal cement lengths in THA were determined by analyzing a THA model's stress distribution and displacement as the individual climbed stairs, walked, and abduction. The result of the Von Mises stress for THA shown during stair climbing is 140.3 MPa, walking 127.6MPa and abduction 115MPa while the displacement of THA for stair climbing is $5.005\mu m$, $4.371\mu m$, and $4.731\mu m$. It was recorded that stair climbing indicates the highest loading and most significant effects. The study also observed that the stress results are significantly lower than the yield strength of each model. Based on the analysis results, it can be concluded that the suitable cement length for reduction is 120mm.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

First, I would like to thank everyone who has been by my side during this entire process of obtaining my master's degree. Firstly, I owe significant gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Abdul Halim bin Abdullah, for being patient with me while counseling, supervising, mentoring, and inspiring me to work to the best of my abilities. I am indebted to him in a significant way.

My deepest thanks go to my parents, Ahmad bin Ishak and the second parents of all the love, encouragement, and support they have shown me throughout the years. I have been able to complete the journey hugely because of them.

A special shout-out to my fellow students and staff members at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering for assisting and providing me the technical advice during the entirety of this project. Also, a huge thank you to the team at the Department of Orthopaedic at the University Malaya Medical Centre for their research collaboration and assistance in supplying the support materials for the contribution made in this field.

This journey has been special to me, personally and professionally, and I would not make it without the support of everyone. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CON	FIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTI	HOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABST	TRACT	iv
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST	OF TABLES	ix
LIST	OF FIGURES	X
LIST	OF SYMBOLS	XV
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
CHA	PTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Significance of Study	4
1.5	Scope of Study	5
CHA	PTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Bone Structure	6
2.3	Bone Remodeling	8
2.4	Hip Joint	9
2.5	Epidemiology of Total Hip Arthroplasty	11
2.6	Hip Disease	14
2.7	Total Hip Arthroplasty Procedure	17
2.8	Femoral Stems Materials	20
2.9	Types of Fixations in Total Hip Arthroplasty	21
2.10	Complications in Total Hip Arthroplasty	26
2.11	Failure in Total Hip Arthroplasty	28

2.12	Finite Element Analysis	30
2.13	Reverse Engineering	32
2.14	Loading and Boundary Conditions	32
CHA	PTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Research Flowchart	35
3.3	Development of 3D Model	37
3.4	Development of Stem Prosthesis and Reconstruction of Cemented	Hip
	Arthroplasty	39
	3.4.1 Reverse Engineering of Stem Prosthesis	39
	3.4.2 Reconstruction of Cemented Hip Arthroplasty	41
3.5	Material Properties	42
3.6	Meshing	43
3.7	Loading and Boundary Conditions	43
3.8	Implant Stability and Bone Adaptation	45
CHA	PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	46
CHA 4.1	PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction	46 46
CHA 4.1 4.2	PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone	46 46 46
CHA 4.1 4.2	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 	46 46 46 46
CHA 4.1 4.2	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 	46 46 46 46 47
CHA 4.1 4.2	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Diff 	46 46 46 46 47 ferent
CHA 4.1 4.2	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Different Fixation 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48
CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Different Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50
CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Different Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50
CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Different Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 4.3.2 THA Femur Models 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50 50 54
CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Diff Cement Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 4.3.2 THA Femur Models 4.3.3 Consequences Effects in Prosthesis Stem and Bone Cement 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50 54 56
CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Different Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 4.3.2 THA Femur Models 4.3.3 Consequences Effects in Prosthesis Stem and Bone Cement 4.3.4 Effects of proximal cemented techniques on bone adaptation 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50 54 56 65
 CHA1 4.1 4.2 4.3 	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Difference Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 4.3.2 THA Femur Models 4.3.3 Consequences Effects in Prosthesis Stem and Bone Cement 4.3.4 Effects of proximal cemented techniques on bone adaptation 	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50 54 56 65
CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3 CHA	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Difference of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 4.3.2 THA Femur Models 4.3.3 Consequences Effects in Prosthesis Stem and Bone Cement 4.3.4 Effects of proximal cemented techniques on bone adaptation PTER FIVE CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50 54 56 65 69
 CHA1 4.1 4.2 4.3 CHA1 5.1 5.1 5.2 	 PTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction 3D Model of Femoral Bone 4.2.1 Reconstruction of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.2 Verification of 3D Femoral Bone 4.2.3 Development of the Cemented Hip Arthroplasty Model with Diff Cement Fixation Effects of Proximal Cemented Techniques on Implant Stability 4.3.1 Stress and Displacement Variation in Total Hip Arthroplasty 4.3.2 THA Femur Models 4.3.3 Consequences Effects in Prosthesis Stem and Bone Cement 4.3.4 Effects of proximal cemented techniques on bone adaptation PTER FIVE CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS Introduction	46 46 46 47 ferent 48 50 50 54 56 65 69 69