Dual phase zirconium-based metal-organic gel (Zr-MOG) as chromium (VI) removal via photoreduction
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Abstract

Given the widespread production of Cr(VI) from numerous industrial processes, as well as its harmful toxicity as a carcinogenic agent, it is critical to explore stable and efficient adsorbents with quick performance for Cr(VI). Recently, environmentally friendly adsorbents have been employed to reduce metallic pollutants in aqueous media called zirconium-containing metal-organic frameworks (Zr-MOFs) or UiO-66. UiO-66 are favourable because of their nontoxic metal sources, outstanding stabilities, and distinctive physicochemical characteristics. Despite the benefits of using MOFs, overcoming their poor macro-shaping and hydro-stability to be used as commercial adsorbents and catalysts remains a significant problem. Zirconium-based metal-organic gel (Zr-MOG) xerogel is widely known as a new nanomaterial to overcome metal-organic framework (MOFs) disadvantage as microcrystalline powder. This research is an effort to investigate green Zr-MOG as a potential photocatalyst for reducing Cr(VI) to Cr(III) ions. The behaviour of Zr-MOG in dark adsorption and photocatalysis, with and without a scavenger, as well as its reusability were studied. Besides that, synthesised Zr-MOG xerogel exhibited three different X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern categories: fully amorphous, 1:1 ratio and 1:4 ratio of amorphous and crystalline structure. The performance of pure amorphous MOG, pure crystalline MOG and dual-phase MOG as photocatalysts were compared. The dual-phase structure Zr-MOG 5 exhibits the greatest Cr(VI) ion reduction, with an 87% removal rate in 120 minutes.

1.0 Introduction

Industrial activities are now required to meet human demands. Due to the toxins that are released into the environment, the growth of industrial sectors has a negative impact on the ecosystem. Heavy metals found in industrial effluent are a frequent hazard that can contaminate drinking water. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD2019), India has the highest death rate in Asia, with over 79,000 deaths caused by contaminated water sources. This circumstance brings up scientific and general concerns regarding human health. Fig. 1 shows the death rate from unsafe water sources in relation to the share of the population living in extreme poverty, focusing on Asia, which was published in 2020. Besides that, it was reported that the uncontrolled dispersion of heavy metals into the receiving environment from a variety of industrial, residential, agricultural, medical, and technical application fields produces pollution (Nuclear Energy Agency, 2015; Lv et al., 2019; Rajeshkumar et al., 2018). Due to their toxicity, resistance to degradation, carcinogenicity, and teratogenicity, heavy metals like arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), lead (Pb), and mercury (Hg) pose a serious threat to the ecosystem (Kobielska et al., 2018; Rosli et al., 2021).

Chromium (VI), a carcinogen, genotoxic agent, and one of the most concerning pollutants in aquatic environments, is produced by a variety of industrial activities (Costa, 2003; Pakade et al., 2019; Rosli et al., 2021; Shokouhfar et al., 2018). Detoxification of Cr(VI) contaminated water has so been a recent research interest (Noraei et al., 2019; Shokouhfar et al., 2018; Shokouhfar et al., 2018).
al., 2018; Wani et al., 2018). One of the requirements for Cr(VI) adsorption in industry is high stability of an adsorbent across a broad pH range. Thus, metal-organic framework (MOF) is a potential adsorbent for Cr(VI) (Shokouhfar et al., 2018).

Previously, Uio-66 had shown a significant performance as Cr removal. Most of the previous studies on Uio-66 focused on removing Cr(III) (Wen et al., 2018). However, in 2018, Shokouhfar et al. reported a high adsorption capacity (60.24 mg g⁻¹) and a quick adsorption rate (3 min) for the adsorption of Cr(VI) oxo-anion species in aqueous solutions using modified Uio-66 (TMU-66). Furthermore, Shaiful Bahari et al. (2021b) and Jiang et al. (2020) had also explored on using Uio-66 as photocatalyst in reducing Cr(VI) to Cr(III). Both studies reported more than 45% of Cr(VI) removal efficiency were achieved.

MOFs have garnered a lot of interest in water treatment in recent years because of their enormous specific surface area, rich pore structure, and excellent chemical stability (Kobielska et al., 2018; Pinto et al., 2013). However, the aggregation in MOFs particles unavoidably happened due to the nature of the crystalline powder, resulting in a decreased valid surface area (Yang et al., 2023). Furthermore, MOFs are challenging to manufacture and form, which further restricts the scope of their use. Metal organic gels (MOGs) are the twinborn counterpart of MOFs and are produced using the same precursors (Santos-Lorenzo et al., 2019). Crystallographic analysis reveals distinct structural regularity with the corresponding MOFs, which display a three-dimensional (3D) porous structure made of metal ions and organic ligands through a variety of affinities, including coordination interaction, hydrophobic interaction, and H-bonding (Yang et al., 2023). Without using a binder, this flexible material can be arbitrarily shaped into appealing shapes to meet demands for different situations (Abánades Lázaro & Forgan, 2019; Huskić et al., 2019; Shokouhfar et al., 2018; Valvekens et al., 2013). Due to their ease of recycling, good shape ability and lower density, MOGs have a more favourable outlook in the field of wastewater treatment than MOFs (Yang et al., 2023). Additionally, the amorphous material is metastable, and the disorder in the framework during the electrochemical test, such as in the use of supercapacitors, will result in more active sites (Yang et al., 2018). However, most of the previous research on MOF or MOG has focused on either a fully crystalline MOF or a fully amorphous MOG. On the other hand, the development of dual-phase materials has been slowly growing to reveal the underlying mechanisms involved and the potential applications. Dual phase materials can have both amorphous and

![Figure 1: The reported death rate from unsafe water sources in Asia (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2021)](image-url)
crystalline phases, which have unique properties that are not found in either pure amorphous or pure crystalline materials (Chen et al., 2021). For example, dual phase materials can exhibit improved mechanical, thermal, and optical properties compared to pure amorphous or crystalline materials and have potential applications in a variety of fields, including electronics, energy storage and catalysis (Chen et al., 2021).

Therefore, in this study, the characteristic of synthesised dual-phase Zr-based MOGs by Shaiful Bahari et al. (2021a) were discussed further and the usage of Zr-MOG as photocatalyst for photoreduction of Cr(VI) ions from water were also elucidated. The structural analysis of differences in high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was described. Additionally, the behaviours and performance of Cr(VI) removal using Zr-MOG as a photocatalyst were discussed.

2.0 Methodology

2.1 Synthesis

In this study, the samples were synthesised using zirconium (IV) oxynitrate, \((\text{ZrO(NO}_3)_2\cdot\text{xH}_2\text{O}, \text{Fluka})\), terephthalic acid (C\(_8\)H\(_6\)O\(_4\), 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid, H\(_2\)BDC, Merck, 98%), ethanol absolute (synthesis grade, C\(_2\)H\(_5\)OH, HmbG Chemicals) as well as distilled deionised water as mentioned in previous study (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a). All reagents were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

The samples undergo mixing, aging, centrifuge, and drying. The parameters used in the synthesis method are as shown in Table 1. In this study, 4 samples from the previous study by Shaiful Bahari et al. (2021a) were selected. Zr-MOG 3, Zr-MOG 4, Zr-MOG 5, and Zr-MOG 6 were selected for Cr(VI) removal application. The selections were made based on different XRD pattern category. Zr-MOG 3, Zr-MOG 4, Zr-MOG 5, and Zr-MOG 6 were chosen to represent the three categories of the XRD pattern. Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4 were chosen from the same category to compare the removal efficiency produced by similar XRD patterns.

### Table 1: Synthesis parameters for Zr-MOG 1, Zr-MOG 3, Zr-MOG 4 and Zr-MOG 6 (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mixing method</th>
<th>Aging</th>
<th>Drying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 3</td>
<td>Stir 24 h</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90 °C for 12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 4</td>
<td>Stir 6 h</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90 °C for 12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 5</td>
<td>Stir 48 h</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90 °C for 12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 6</td>
<td>Stir 24 h</td>
<td>140 °C for 24 h</td>
<td>110 °C for 24 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Characterisations

In this study, structural characterisations such as XRD and HRTEM were elaborated in detail as additional data from previous article (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a). XRD (Shimadzu XRD-6000) with CuK\(\alpha\) radiation of 1.5406 Å was used. The scan step and speed used were 0.020 and 3°/min, respectively. The angle used are in range of 20 = 5° to 45°. The respective voltage and current employed are 30 kV and 20 mA (Fadli et al., 2019; Mahadi et al., 2015). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) analysis was done using TECNAI G2 F20 X-Twin manufactured by FEI at 200 kV. Zr-MOG was dissolved in ethanol to prepare the samples, which were then sonicated for 15 minutes. Grids with carbon coatings were placed on the specimen holder after being dipped into the resulting solution. HRTEM and Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) mainly record structural information (Zhao et al., 2016). It can directly identify a crystal structure (Gökpinar et al., 2019). Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 6 were chosen to compare the different structures as suggested in XRD analysis.

2.3 Photoreduction of Cr(VI) ions from aqueous solution

Potassium dichromate (K\(_2\)Cr\(_2\)O\(_7\)) was dissolved in deionised water to create Solution 1 of Cr(VI), which contained 100 ppm of Cr(VI) and had an initial pH of 4. Then, to create Solution 2, Solution 1 was further diluted to 5 ppm and the pH was brought down to 2 by adding HCl. The sample powders were placed in tea bags with 25 mL of Solution 2. The sample in Solution 2 was placed in the dark for approximately 30 minutes prior to light irradiation to reach adsorption-desorption equilibrium. After that, the mixture was exposed to ultraviolet (UV) light for 120 minutes. By removing 5 mL of Solution 2 at 30-minute intervals, the concentration of Cr(VI) present was collected. Following that, UV-visible spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer Lambda 35) was used to measure the solution's UV absorbance at 350 nm.
According to Eq. (1) (Shokouhfar et al., 2018), extra electrons are required for the complete reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) ion.

\[
\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{1}
\]

The estimated removal efficiency, \( R \) (%) was calculated according to Eq. (2) (Noraei et al., 2019).

\[
R (%) = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \right)
\tag{2}
\]

where \( C_0 \) and \( C_t \) are the initial and final concentrations in mg/L of Cr(VI) ions, respectively. UV light was employed specifically for the purpose of photoreduction of Cr(VI) ions from aqueous solutions. The energy of the UV light (Hitachi F8T5- Black Light, 8 Watts) employed was 3.4 eV, which is larger than the band gap of the UiO-66 material (3.05 eV) (Li et al., 2018). Photogenerated are to be anticipated. The pseudo-first-order Langmuir Hinshelwood model was used to compute the reaction kinetic (Rosli et al., 2021).

\[
R = -\frac{dC}{dt} = kt
\tag{3}
\]

In the case of weak adsorption, this can be written as:

\[
-\ln\left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right) = kt
\tag{4}
\]

where \( C \) is the concentration of Cr(VI) at the given time, \( R \) is the photocatalytic reaction rate, \( k \) is the photocatalytic pseudo-first order reaction rate constant, and \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of Cr(VI).

### 3.0 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Characterisations

Fig. 2 shows all XRD analysis for Zr-MOG obtained at 2\( \theta \) = 5\( ^\circ \) to 45\( ^\circ \). All xerogels displayed a broad peak at 2\( \theta \) = 6\( ^\circ \) to 10\( ^\circ \) centred at approximately 2\( \theta \) = 8\( ^\circ \), demonstrating that Zr-MOG successfully formed (Bueken et al., 2020; Connolly et al., 2019; Li et al., 2013; Santos-Lorenzo et al., 2019; Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a). In contrast to other Zr-MOG, Zr-MOG 6 revealed a totally amorphous structure, suggesting that.

Zr-MOG was successfully formed. XRD patterns of Zr-MOG 3, Zr-MOG 4 and Zr-MOG 5 show distinct peaks at ca. 2\( \theta \) = 17.5\( ^\circ \), 25.5\( ^\circ \), and 28\( ^\circ \) were found. These peaks corresponded to the (004), (006), and (035) reflection planes often seen in the UiO-66 crystalline structure (Cavka et al., 2008). Additionally, Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4 showed additional peaks at 2\( \theta \) = 35.2\( ^\circ \) associated with the (773) reflection plane. This peak may be a reference to isolated ZrO\(_2\) single crystals (baddeleyite, JCPDS 27-0997) that are not forming a metal-framework structure due to insufficient gelation (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a).

It was observed that the synthesised Zr-MOG had 3 distinct XRD patterns. As indicated in Table 2, the patterns are separated into three categories: category 1 (totally amorphous), category 2 (amorphous to crystalline phase ratio is 1:1), and category 3 (amorphous to crystalline size ratio is 1:4). By comparing the intensities of representative amorphous peak and crystalline peak, which contribute to the (111) and (004) crystal planes, respectively, the ratio was calculated. Category 1 of XRD pattern is Zr-MOG 6. Zr-MOG 5 is in Category 2. Finally, category 3 includes Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4. Each of the chosen category representatives opted for Cr(VI) removal by photoreduction.
XRD pattern analysis indicated that various conditions in the synthesis of Zr-MOG without toxic modulators can result in dual-phase structures in the material (amorphous and crystalline). Additionally, it is believed that in order to cause amorphisation, the aging and drying temperature must be higher than 100 °C (Bennett & Cheetham, 2014). The XRD patterns of Zr-MOG 3, Zr-MOG 4 and Zr-MOG 5 indicate the development of both crystalline and amorphous material. Based on the previous research and diffract Eva, new peaks were discovered, and it was hypothesised that all the extra peaks were caused by ZrO$_2$ produced in Zr-MOG (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a).

According to XRD research, Zr-MOG 3 had a 1:4 amorphous to crystalline structure ratio, whereas Zr-MOG 6 had a fully amorphous structure. High-resolution TEM images of xerogel Zr-MOG 3 created using the chloride-free method are shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 4 shows the comparison of Selected Area (electron) diffraction (SAED) of Zr-MOG 6 and Zr-MOG 3.

Table 2: XRD pattern category of synthesised Zr-MOG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zr-MOG</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:4 (ratio of amorphous and crystalline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:4 (ratio of amorphous and crystalline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:1 (ratio of amorphous and crystalline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fully amorphous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The black particle in Fig. 3 is a Zr-MOG 3 particle, and it is less than 500 nm. A flaky, asymmetrical-shaped particle is observed in Zr-MOG. The thickness of the particles creates the dark contrast (Albers et al., 2015). Most of the particles in the HRTEM image of Zr-MOG 3 are amorphous, but there are also some free-standing crystalline nanoparticles. It was hypothesised that zirconia nanoparticles smaller than 5 nm were created in the reactor as cubic zirconia changed from tetragonal zirconia at normal temperature. The crystalline nanoparticles are clearly free-standing and vary in size. According to its XRD pattern, Zr-MOG 3 is thought to be both an amorphous and crystalline material (Mohan et al., 2015). This type of condition is known as a dual-phase material or a nanocomposite. In these materials, the amorphous and crystalline phases are present in the same sample, often in the form of small crystalline regions within a larger amorphous matrix which is similar to Zr-MOG 3 condition (Kampouri et al., 2018). The exact proportions of each phase can vary, and the arrangement of the two phases can also vary depending on the processing conditions used to create the material.

It was observed in Fig. 4, Zr-MOG 6 particle is larger than Zr-MOG 3 particle. Similar to Zr-MOG 3, which has a flaky asymmetrical shape, Zr-MOG 6 particles have a similar appearance. The main difference is the primary crystallites that are formed in Zr-MOG 3, which has an amorphous and crystalline phase, however, there are no physical grain or phase boundaries in Zr-MOG 6 (Albers et al., 2015). HRTEM images of Zr-MOG 6 can be compared to
those of precipitated silica or silica aerogel, neither of which show any indication of discrete crystalline development inside the amorphous structure (Albers et al., 2015). It was suggested that Zr-MOG 6 is a fully amorphous material.

To support HRTEM images of Zr-MOG 6 and Zr-MOG 3, the inset in Fig. 4 was used to analyse the Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED). Based on the ring that is created, SAED can determine the phase in the material. A diffused ring was created by the diffraction pattern in Fig. 4 (b), which indicated the formation of an amorphous phase (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021a; Wiktor et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018). On the other hand, Zr-MOG 3 displayed a noticeable halo ring, which showed the material’s identified crystalline form (Wiktor et al., 2017). In conclusion, the XRD patterns that suggested its phase are supported by HRTEM and SAED of Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 6. According to XRD patterns, HRTEM, and SAED, Zr-MOG 3 is a material containing both crystalline and amorphous phases, whereas Zr-MOG 6 is completely amorphous.

3.2 Photoreduction of Cr(VI) ions from aqueous solution

Fig. 5 (a) shows the removal efficiency of Cr(VI) ion by Zr-MOG 6 by dark adsorption and photocatalysis. The photocatalysis process was conducted utilising UV light as the primary source. The removal was carried out both in the absence of light (dark adsorption) and with UV light (photoreduction). The outcome demonstrates that photoreduction outperforms dark adsorption in terms of removal efficiency. Since more photons and electron-hole pairs can be generated in the materials, the light intensity increases the removal efficiency (Lin et al., 2016). The correlation between light intensity and removal effectiveness is according to the previous study (Lin et al., 2016). So, in this investigation, photoreduction was employed.

Fig. 5 (b) shows the removal efficiency of Cr(VI) ion of Zr-MOG 5 by photocatalysis with
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or without EDTA. It was investigated whether scavengers like ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) were needed for Zr-MOG. In order to improve and stabilise the removal efficiency during photocatalysis reduction, radical scavengers were frequently used (Torbina et al., 2019). The removal efficiency of Cr(VI) ion, in contrast, demonstrated a better removal efficiency without EDTA than with EDTA. A radical chain scavenger is not necessary for Zr-based MOF or MOG to carry out the process of photocatalysis, according to a prior work by Torbina et al. (2019). In this study, photocatalysis reduction is applied to all the samples without the use of a scavenger.

Fig. 5 (c) shows the comparison photoreduction of Cr(VI) ion by Zr-MOG 6 and reuse Zr-MOG. The primary goal for this testing is to evaluate its reusability. The used Zr-MOG was tested once more without washing after being placed in an empty, sealed beaker for the night. Reused Zr-MOG 6 exhibits greater removal effectiveness than the initial test. It demonstrates that the catalyst may be recycled up to twice without losing its functionality or selectivity and that its structural integrity is maintained. This might be a result of the stable Zr-O bond structure in the material (Torbina et al., 2019).

Fig. 6 (a) shows that in 120 minutes, Zr-MOG 3, Zr-MOG 4, Zr-MOG 5, and Zr-MOG 6 removed 36%, 31%, 87%, and 22% of Cr(VI) ions, respectively. In terms of the percentage of Cr(VI) ion removal after 120 minutes, Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4 (both of which exhibit similar XRD patterns of category 3) are similar. Zr-MOG 6, on the other hand, which is fully amorphous, exhibits the lowest rate of Cr(VI) ion removal, 22%. Finally, Zr-MOG 5, a sample with a 1:1 ratio of amorphous and crystalline phase, exhibits the highest Cr(VI) removal at about ca. 87% reduction. Fig. 6 (b) shows the kinetic models of Cr(VI) reduction (refer Eq. (4)) by Zr-MOG.

Fig. 5: (a) photoreduction efficiency of Cr(VI) ion by Zr-MOG 6 using dark adsorption and photocatalysis, (b) photoreduction efficiency of Cr(VI) ion of Zr-MOG 5 by photocatalysis with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or without EDTA, and (c) comparison photoreduction efficiency of Cr(VI) ion by Zr-MOG 6 and reuse Zr-MOG 6 removal efficiency of Cr(VI) by UiO-66 (5) in dark.
The values of the correlation coefficient of determination ($R^2$) and the photocatalytic pseudo-first-order reaction rate ($k$) for Cr(VI) ions are shown in the insets. $R^2$ values and constant $k$ values were calculated. The slope of the linear fit is given by the value of $k$. $R^2$ must be near to 1, which signifies that the model is appropriate for illustrating Cr(VI) kinetics.

Based on the value of $k$ found in Fig. 6 (b), the photocatalytic reaction of Zr-MOG 5 at $k = 0.0129 \text{ min}^{-1}$ is almost ten times effective than Zr-MOG 6 at $k = 0.0017 \text{ min}^{-1}$. Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4 (both of which had an identical XRD pattern) showed a near value of the photocatalytic reaction, with $k = 0.0030 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $k = 0.0026 \text{ min}^{-1}$, respectively. Zr-MOG with the presence of 2 different phases (amorphous and crystalline) exhibited a better photocatalytic reaction compared to a fully amorphous Zr-MOG. Furthermore, Zr-MOG 5 with a ratio of 1:1 amorphous and crystalline shows a higher efficiency compared to Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4 with a ratio of 1:4 amorphous and crystalline structure. The presence of different phases and its ratio effect the performance of Zr-MOG as photocatalyst.

Table 3 shows the comparison of Cr(VI) ion removal by Zr-MOG and UiO-66 from the previous study (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time/ samples</th>
<th>120 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 3</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 4</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 5</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOG 6</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66 (1)</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66 (4)</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66 (5)</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Zr-MOG 3 and Zr-MOG 4) is about 30%. The highest Cr(VI) removal efficiency is demonstrated by Zr-MOG 5 with 87% removal in 2 hours. In comparison to the earlier works, this hybrid amorphous/crystalline MOG (Zr-MOG 5) exhibits a greater removal efficiency (Shaiful Bahari et al., 2021b; Noraee et al., 2019).

4.0 Conclusion

Zr-MOG using nitrate-based Zr salt and new green sol-gel method without using HCl as modulator has been successfully synthesised. XRD pattern in Zr-MOG showed three different patterns. HRTEM was employed to identify the phase where the image and SAED showed that Zr-MOG 6 had successfully produced fully amorphous material. However, some synthesised Zr-MOG XRD patterns showed extra...
crystalline peaks, suggesting the formation of a structure that is both amorphous and crystalline. HRTEM images showing amorphous particles with free-standing zirconia nanoparticles were associated with the dual-phase structure. Additionally, SAED findings indicated that Zr-MOG 3 may have a dual-phase structure since a prominent ring formed in some locations. The performance of UiO-66 and Zr-MOG in Cr(VI) ion removal from aqueous solution were studied and compared. Zr-MOG demonstrates how various XRD patterns impact the removal efficiency. The maximum removal efficiency of 87% of Cr(VI) ion in two hours is demonstrated by Zr-MOG 5 with a dual-phase structure. The presence of two distinct phases in the structure—amorphous and crystalline—could explain the high removal effectiveness.
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