UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

FLEXURAL PERFORMANCE OF STEEL FIBRE REINFORCED CONCRETE (SFRC) ONE-WAY RIBBED SLAB

FADHILLAH BT ABDUL RAHMAN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science**

Faculty of Civil Engineering

April 2018

ABSTRACT

The application of steel fibre (SF) is seen as an alternative material to be used in the ribbed slab. This study was performed to investigate the behaviour of SF as the main material in steel fibre reinforced concrete (SFRC) ribbed slab, omitting the conventional reinforcements. Firstly, material strength properties test was conducted which consist of compressive, splitting, flexural and elasticity. Five equivalent samples of ribbed slabs were then prepared where dimension remain same for all except variations in the rib depth of 100, 125 and 150mm and also to the concrete mix with one sample having plain concrete (PC) mix while other samples with SF mix. BRC was only included for PC and one SF ribbed slab, while the remaining are fully reinforced with SF only. All the ribbed slabs were tested under four-point bending using loaddisplacement control. On the material strength properties test, PC specimen showed higher strength except for splitting tensile strength where the SF specimen achieved 12.83% higher. For beam flexural strength test, SF specimen gave better post-peak behaviour compare to plain specimen despite having lower flexural strength. From the experimental testing, the SFRC ribbed slab with 125mm rib depth showed 23.70% higher load capacity than NC100(BRC). The slabs deflected more at soffit of the topping with SF150 showing 84.26% higher deflection than SF 100(BRC). In terms of variations of rib depth, SF125 achieved similar ultimate load as SF100 while slab SF150 gave the lowest strength capacity. All the cracks formed near the midspans, initiated from the bottom of the external ribs, which later propagated toward the topping and middle ribs of the slabs. For strain distribution across the depth, the neutral axes were found to be near the theoretical lines. From the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) findings, NC100(BRC) shows 17.46% higher load compared to experimental work. All slabs also achieved similar maximum stress values which were concentrated at the midspan of rib soffits. Displacements were similar to experimental values with SF150 showing the highest value and more concentrated contour along the mid-span. The FEA predicted crack patterns that initiated at the soffit of middle rib and scattered around the midspan. In conclusion, the 125mm rib depth gave an optimized design with its ability to carry higher loading at lower displacement value.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I am most thankful to ALLAH, to whom I put all my trust in, for opening the way and granting me countless blessing in seeking knowledge until the completion of this thesis. And to which of favours of the Lord would I deny? The Most Gracious. The Most Merciful.

For my detailed supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hajjah Afidah Abu Bakar. I am so grateful to have her as my supervisor. Her encouragement, guidance, criticism, words of wisdom, and unconditional support from beginning till the end, pushing and challenging me to the fullest, has made me a better person. She is truly an inspiration. May ALLAH grant her JANNAH.

The experimental testing of this research would not be possible without the help of UiTM Concrete and Heavy laboratory staff and MOSTI, for the funding on the research grant. A big "Thank you" is also due to my co-supervisors, Prof. Ir. Dr. Hajjah Siti Hawa and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Hisbany, for their kind assistance. I would also like to acknowledge my sincere appreciation to my sister, Hazrina Ahmad, and the rest of the HaHaHa Family members (Qadirah, Izwan, Qila, Izzat, Haziq, Odd, Ceah, Paan, Faisal, Nizam). This research would not have completed without them. Thank You for filling in the missing puzzle in my life and giving me so many happy memories to remember.

Finally, to the love of my life, my mother, **Sector** Thank you for the patience, love and hugs, especially throughout these 3 years. I will not be here today without my mother's continuous doa. My greatest appreciation also goes to my dearest siblings too, Abang, Kakak and Ariff for all the helps, especially the financial aid during my unpaid leave, thus enabling me to complete my studies.

Most importantly, I dedicate this work to my dear respected father, Allahyarham Abdul Rahman Mohd Ali.

May all of us meet again in JANNAH.

Fadhillah Abdul Rahman September 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS | ii |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiv |
| LIST OF EQUATIONS | XV |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xviii |

| - | APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|---|---|---------------|
| 1.1 | Background of Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of Study | 3 |
| 1.4 | Scope and Limitation of Study | 3 |
| 1.5 | Significance of Research | 4 |
| | | |
| | | |
| СН | APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| | APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW Introduction | 5 5 |
| 2.1 | | |
| 2.1 2.2 | Introduction | 5 |
| 2.12.22.3 | Introduction IBS Precast Slab | 5 6 |

| 2.4.2 Steel Fibre | 10 |
|--|----|
| 2.4.2.1 Geometry | 11 |
| 2.4.2.2 Distribution of Fibre | 12 |
| 2.4.2.3 Aspect Ratio | 13 |
| 2.4.2.4 Volume Fraction (V_f) | 13 |
| 2.4.3 Mechanical Properties of SFRC | 14 |
| 2.4.3.1 Flexural Strength | 14 |
| 2.4.3.2 Tensile Strength | 15 |
| 2.4.3.3 Compressive Strength | 15 |
| 2.5 Structural Behavior of Ribbed Slab | |
| 2.5.1 Bending Capacity | 16 |
| 2.5.2 Shear Capacity | 17 |
| 2.5.3 Cracking | 18 |
| 2.6 Review of Using Finite Lement Analysis (FEA) | 19 |
| 2.6.1 General | 19 |
| 2.6.2 Non-linear Finite Element Analysis | 20 |
| 2.6.3 An Overview on ABAQUS | 21 |
| 2.6.4 FE Modelling on Cracking | 21 |
| 2.6.4.1 Concrete Damaged Plasticity | 23 |
| 2.6.5 Application of FEA in RC Slab | 26 |
| 2.7 Gap of Research | 27 |
| 2.8 Summary | |