## UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# DEVELOPMENT OF BIMAXILLARY DENTAL PROCLINATION INDEX THROUGH DENTAL CAST ANALYSIS

### RUQOYYAH MUSLIMAT BINTI OTHMAN

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Clinical Dentistry** (Orthodontics)

**Faculty of Dentistry** 

November 2019

#### **ABSTRACT**

The severity of bimaxillary proclination (bimax) cannot be objectively assessed because there's no index to ration treatment. This study aimed to identify the prevalence of bimaxillary protrusion/proclination among orthodontic patients attending postgraduate orthodontic clinic at Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Teknologi MARA and to categorise the severity and develop an index specific for bimaxillary proclination. The first part of the preliminary study was performed to obtain prevalence data of bimaxillary protrusion in general in which 100 profile photographs were chosen from postgraduate orthodontic residents patient's list. They were analysed for its bimaxillary protrusion features by 2 operators at 2 occasions. In the second part of the preliminary study, 259 (first occasion) and 40 (second occasion) of profile and intraoral photographs were analysed by five assessors for skeletal and dental Class I bimax. Subsequently, 160 study casts of bimaxillary proclination cases were selected. All study casts were number coded. The features of bimaxillary proclination comprising of upper and lower incisors inclination and overbite measurement were evaluated and its severity graded into 4 main categories of B1, B2, B3 and B4 in the initial stage of Bimaxillary Dental Proclination (BDP) Index development. Subdivision were introduced into the index to indicate the inclination towards different malocclusions (ie: B1, B2/II, B2/III, B3/II, B3/III, B4/II, B4/III). Calibration exercises of the study casts were completed by 4 assessors to test for inter-rater agreement. After 2 weeks interval, 2 assessors rescored the study casts to test for intra-rater agreement. BDP Index was then tested among 4 orthodontists and 10 postgraduate orthodontic students after BDP index was further simplified into B1, B2(II), B2 (III), B3(II) and B3(III). Statistical analysis using SPSS (version 23) and Stata (version 13) was used to obtain kappa score to assess the agreement among assessors. It was found that, 31-37% were considered to show bimaxillary protrusive profile. Whilst the prevalence of skeletal and dental Class I bimax ranging from 28%-33% and 38%-40% respectively. Calibration and validation of BDP index scoring among 4 assessors showed good inter-rater agreement with the score of 0.73 and during index testing, inter-rater agreement showed moderate agreement (0.45). Therefore, BDP index can be considered to be applied for bimax populations with fine tunings and recalibration of the index.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly, I would like to thank Allah for giving me the opportunity and strength to embark on my study and for completing this long and challenging journey successfully.

I would like to express my gratitude and deep appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Noraina Hafizan Norman, and co-supervisors, Dr. Sarah Haniza Abd. Ghani and Dr. Noor Airin Muhamad Aidil Koay for their continuous support, patience, guidance and ideas in assisting me with this project.

My sincere thanks go to my colleague, Siti Maisarah Ahmad Razin, for the assistance and cooperation during our journey in completing this research project. Special thanks to Ms Izyan Hazwani for the guidance on statistical input and to all my lecturers and colleagues for helping me during testing exercise of this project.

I also would like to thank all staff at the UiTM orthodontic lab for helping me during my laboratory works.

Finally, my heartfelt thanks go to my family, who accepted and continuously supporting my decision to pursue my study. Thank you.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF PLATES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Occlusion and Malocclusion	5
2.2 Aetiology of Malocclusion	9
2.2.1 Genetic Factor	10
2.2.2 Environmental Factor	10
2.2.3 Pathological Factor	14
2.3 Prevalence of Malocclusion	15
2.4 Benefits and Risks of Orthodontic Treatment	18
2.5 Facial Attractiveness	19
2.6 Bimaxillary Protrusion/Proclination	21
2.7 Dental Cast Analyses	28
2.8 Indices	31

2.8.1 Handicapping Labio Lingual Deviations Index	33
2.8.2 Treatment Priority Index	35
2.8.3 Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record	37
2.8.4 Occlusal Index	41
2.8.5 Swedish Dental Board Priority Index	43
2.8.6 Irregularity Index	44
2.8.7 Dental Aesthetic Index	46
2.8.8 Index of Orthodontic Treatment Need	49
2.8.9 Peer Assessment Rating	55
2.8.10 Index of Complexity, Outcome and Need	59
2.8.11 Index of Orthognathic Functional Treatment Need	63
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	67
3.1 Study Design	67
3.2 Study Population	67
3.2.1 Sampling Method	67
3.2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	67
3.2.3 Sample Size Calculation	68
3.3 Ethical Approval	69
3.4 Data Collection	69
3.4.1 Preliminary Study	69
3.4.1.1 First Part of Preliminary Study	70
3.4.1.2 Second Part of Preliminary Study	72
3.4.2 Bimaxillary Dental Proclination (BDP) Index Development	74
3.4.2.1 Photographs Assessment and Study Casts Collection	74
3.4.2.2 Study Cast Analysis	75
3.4.2.3 BDP Index Criteria and Calibration Exercises Among	75
Assessors	
3.4.2.4 Testing of BDP Index	82
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	87
4.1 Preliminary Study (First Part)	87