UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

DRIVERS AND CHALLENGES OF XBRL ADOPTION PROCESS IN MALAYSIA: EXPERIENCES OF FOUR REGULATORS IN THE FINANCIAL REPORTING ENVIRONMENT

AZLEEN BINTI ILIAS

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**(Accountancy)

Faculty of Accountancy

September 2019

ABSTRACT

Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) has evolved for over more than a decade that it affects the financial reporting landscape worldwide. However, since its evolvement, XBRL has yet to be fully adopted around the world, particularly in Malaysia. This study aims at providing better understanding on XBRL adoption by identifying the current state of XBRL adoption and type of adopter among the regulators in Malaysia. Subsequently, this study identifies what factors and how these factors influence XBRL adoption process of the regulators. This study develops a framework by utilising two research frameworks developed by Rogers's (1983) adoption process and DiPietro, Wiarda and Fleischer's (1990) Technological, Organisational and Environmental (TOE) framework. A case study approach consisting of interview and content analysis was used. This study shows that the regulators are moving towards full XBRL adoption although slow. Out of the four regulators, two regulators are considered early adopters whilst the remaining two regulators are early majority. Within the technological context, relative advantage and trialability are the driving factors in the knowledge and persuasion phase. In the decision-making phase, compatibility of XBRL taxonomy and stability production of XBRL instance documents, and standardised XBRL submission are the driving factors whilst stability of XBRL taxonomy, standardised XBRL taxonomy, availability of tools and software are challenges in the XBRL adoption process. In the implementation and confirmation phase, complexity of XBRL taxonomy is considered a challenge in the XBRL adoption process. Within the organisational context, management support is the driving factor whilst lack of expertise, skills and knowledge on XBRL are considered a challenge in the knowledge and persuasion phase. In the decision-making phase, capability and data assurance are challenges whilst in the implementation and confirmation phase, resource capacity, cost of adoption and financial resources are the driving factors to XBRL adoption process. Finally, within the environmental context, in the knowledge and persuasion phase, the driving factor is success stories. In the decisionmaking phase, the driving factors are motivation of regulatory pressure and incentive provision whilst lack of effective XBRL committee involvement, leadership of XBRL committee, work culture communication among XBRL committee members are challenges in the XBRL adoption process. In the implementation and confirmation stage, reliance on external support, lack of government support, limited trading partners, critical mass, professional bodies' pressure and stakeholders' involvement are considered challenges in the XBRL adoption process. The findings in this study serve as guidelines to other regulators that have plans to adopt XBRL.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Allah swt. Alhamdullilah to Allah for letting me go on in this amazing and huge of challenges journey. I thank Allah for giving me the strength and ability to complete this thesis. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to both my main supervisor Associate Professor Dr Erlane K. Ghani and my second supervisor Dr Zubir bin Azhar for the continuous support of my PhD study and related research, for their patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. Their guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having excellent supervisors for my PhD journey. Besides my supervisors, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard question, which pushed me to widen my research from various perspectives. Without their precious support, it would not be possible to conduct this research.

Special thanks to the participants and organisations who have participated in the interviews and contributing their time, knowledge and views related to this study. To ensure the privacy for their contributions, they cannot be named and perhaps more appropriately acknowledged. Special thanks also to Dr Faizul Abdullah for proofreading and editing the entire thesis. I am indebted to the academic and administration staff of the Faculty of Accountancy, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), who provided on-going support. My deepest appreciation goes to my employer, Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN) especially College of Business Management & Accounting (COBA). They provided me with the administrative support during my study. Without their support, this journey would not have been possible.

On a personal note, I would like to express my sincere indebtedness to my great families, Ilias Abu Bakar, and Azlan Ilias who has been supportive, great love and confidence on me. I would like to thank to my only sister, Aliah Ilias for her support throughout the four and half years of my PhD journey despite on a long distance from Kuala Lumpur to Pahang. I thank my friends, Rahida, Nasrudin, Idy, Suhaila, Mazlina, Hafizah, Yusasniza, Anis, Ida, Lin, Aima and Syamimi that provide moral support in my journey. In particular, I am grateful to Prof Dr Azizi, Dr. Siti Uzairiah, Prof T. Ramayah, Prof Dr Noorhayati Mansor and Prof Dr Saidfudin for their prayers in my PhD journey on stimulating my knowledge. Last but not the least, I would like to thank to everyone that contribute directly and indirectly for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and my life in general. May God bless all of them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page			
CON	NFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii			
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION		iii			
ABSTRACT		iv			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES		v vi xii xiv			
			LIST	Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
			СНА	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
			1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4			
1.3	Study Motivation	6			
1.4	Scope of Study	8			
1.5	Research Objectives and Research Questions	9			
1.6	Research Implementation	10			
1.7	Operational Definitions	11			
1.8	Organisation of Thesis	12			
1.9	Summary and Conclusion	14			
CHA	APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	15			
2.1	Introduction	15			
2.2	Definitions of XBRL	15			
2.3	The Emergence of XBRL	18			
2.4	The Development of XBRL Adoption	19			
2.5	Benefits of XBRL	22			

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Business reporting is a process of communicating companies' economic performance to stakeholders for various decision making purposes. This involves providing essential information to meet various stakeholders' expectations (Ernst &Young, 2014). The manner business reporting is communicated to stakeholders is tasked by regulators, companies, government agencies and users of information, hence, it benefits those latter in various different ways. This is done by providing business reporting, which consists of financial and non-financial information that is accountable and transparent. Such a position is crucial as sufficient and credible information can improve the confidence level of investors and other stakeholders transacting with the companies. Ligteringen and Arbex (2010) noted that business reporting provides more information on historical financial performances to investors, rather than non-financial information in making investment decisions. In terms of reporting financial information, Seetharaman, Subramaniam and Shyong (2005) reported that financial reporting is vital for communicating companies' economic performances to their stakeholders. However, these companies' traditional financial reporting practices have several weaknesses when meeting stakeholders' information needs.

The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) (2010) has emphasised that the traditional financial reporting is not capable of addressing a broad range of stakeholders' needs. Wood (2010) suggested that the traditional financial reporting focuses on only two key stakeholders, namely investors and companies. As for investors, a company's financial information is used for making investment decisions; whilst for the company, the management can obtain a better understanding of the company's strengths and weaknesses. However, the traditional financial reporting has been criticised before as it lacks quality, as in understandability (Ernst &Young, 2014), reliability (Bonson, Cortijo & Escobar, 2009) as well as comparability and relevance (Pollock & Papiernik, 2001). Consequently, calls have been made to enhance the quality of financial reporting in order to improve companies' responsibility to their