

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**TECHNICAL REPORT (MSP 660)**

**SELECTION OF THE BEST SECONDARY SCHOOL  
STUDENT USING THE CRITIC-PROMETHEE II  
METHOD**

**(P11S22)**

**AHMAD QAWIEM BIN JAMALLUDIN (2021189907)**

**AHMAD ZULHAKIM BIN AZHAR (2021101581)**

**ZULAIKHA HUSNA BINTI KHAIRUL ANUAR (2021113897)**

**SUPERVISOR:**

**NOR FARADILAH MAHAD**

**Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement**

**for the degree of**

**Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Management Mathematics**

**College of Computing, Informatics and Media**

**FEBRUARY 2023**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the name of ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Firstly, we are grateful to Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to complete this project successfully.

We would like to express my gratitude to our supervisor Nor Faradilah Mahad, our lecturers Prof Madya Dr Nur Azlina Abd Aziz (MAT530), Dr. Rossidah Wan Abdul Aziz (MSP660) and Mr Abdullah Yahya (MSP660) for guiding us to complete this study. The completion of the report gives us much pleasure. Without their support, we are certain that we would be unable to do our task properly and submit it before the deadline. We also want to thank them for providing a clear and comprehensive example for this project, which made it easier for us to complete our report in the manner that they desired. Apart than that, we want to express our gratitude to all of our friends who reminded us to finish this project before the deadline. They also assist in correcting errors when we have difficulty in solving the problem. Finally, we want to thank everyone that involved in completing the task. This has been a continuous motivation for us as we presented opinions and views during this project. They also provide a nice and comfortable environment in which we can easily do our task.

Thank you.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.4 SIGNIFICANCE AND BENEFITS OF THE STUDY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.5 SCOPE OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.6 LIMITATION OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.7 DEFINITION OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW AND BACKGROUND OF THE THEORY .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.1 MULTIPLE-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING (MCDM) METHOD.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.2 PREFERENCE RANKING ORGANISATION METHOD FOR ENRICHMENT EVALUATIONS (PROMETHEE) II METHOD.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.3 CRITERIA IMPORTANCE THROUGH INTERCRITERIA CORRELATION (CRITIC) METHOD.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>2.4 CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3.1 CONCEPTUAL DIAGRAM.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3.2 CRITIC METHOD FRAMEWORK .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>3.3 PROMETHEE METHOD FRAMEWORK.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>3.4 IMPLEMENTATION OF CRITIC-PROMETHEE II METHOD.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>3.4.1 THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CRITIC METHOD.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>3.4.2 THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PROMETHEE II METHOD..</b>	<b>30</b>

<b>CHAPTER 4: RESULT AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>4.1 RESULTS OF THE CRITERIA.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>4.2 RESULTS OF THE ALTERNATIVES.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>4.3 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>5.1 CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>5.2 RECOMMENDATION .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>APPENDIX A .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>APPENDIX B.....</b>	<b>61</b>

## ABSTRACT

School is an institution or an effective place to transform knowledge from educators to students and as a means of education. Students learn more information and develop their morals here. In order to inspire each student's excitement for improving the learning process, the best students are chosen over a certain period of time. The selection of the best secondary school student is one of the decision-making problems that can be solved using Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) method. However, determining criteria weights is a problem faced by many MCDM methods. Therefore, the objectives of this study are to integrate the Criteria Importance Through Intercriteria Correlation (CRITIC) method with the Preference Ranking Organisation Method for Enrichment Evaluations (PROMETHEE) II method in solving the best student selection problem, to rank the secondary school students using the CRITIC-PROMETHEE II method and to perform the sensitivity analysis over the CRITIC-PROMETHEE II method in measuring the consistency of the student ranking in various conditions. A real-life data about the best secondary school student selection for SPM 2021 examination is implemented to demonstrate the application of the CRITIC-PROMETHEE II method. The data involved three decision makers, three alternatives (A, B, C) and five criteria (C1, C2, C3, C4, C5). The findings show that the ranking order of criteria is  $C4 > C2 > C5 > C3 > C1$ . Meanwhile, the ranking order of alternatives is  $A > C > B$ . Other than that, the sensitivity is performed to validate the results from the study whether there is any influence on the final ranking of the alternatives and the results show that A is still the most preferred alternative. In conclusion, the CRITIC-PROMETHEE II method can be used to analyse the perfect order of secondary school student from best to worst.