

# TITLE: EVALUATION OF VARIOUS TUNING RULES FOR TUNING OF PRESSURE PROCESS CONTROL

# **SUPERVISOR: SIR AZMI BIN MAHMOOD**

# SCHOOL OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

2023

#### ABSTRACT

Process control tuning is essential to maintaining process stability and ensuring that disturbances are quickly rejected. Any risks areas can be found and addressed before they become an issue through tuning and optimization. Through automated systems, suspicious warnings can be automatically detected, allowing for a quicker response time to elevate high-risk situations to compliance. By enhancing system confidence and educating analysts on what to watch out for, tuning fosters a situation that encourages group model optimisation. Systems that function well and produce the anticipated warnings require less human interaction, which increases efficiency and lower costs. By using an open loop test and the Reformulated Tangent Method, the controller parameters, response rate (RR), and dead time (Td) may be calculated. Then, the Ziegler-Nichols rule, Cohen-Coon rule, and Takahashi rule are used to calculate the optimal values of the Proportional Band (PB), Integral Time (I), and Derivative (D). The intended settling criteria, such as settling time and the amount of the integral absolute error (IAE), determine how well each of the tuning rules performs the process response. This study's goal is to analyse how various tuning rules affect the performance of pressure process controllers.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION<br>ABSTRACT<br>TABLE OF CONTENTS |                      | 2  |
|---|----------------------|----|
|   |                      | 3  |
|   |                      | 4  |
|   |                      |    |
| СНА   | PTER ONE BACKGROUND  | 6  |
| 1.1   | Introduction         | 6  |
| 1.2   | Literature Review    | 8  |
|   | 1.2.1 LR subtopic 1  | 8  |
|   | 1.2.2 LR subtopic 2  | 9  |
| 1.3   | Problem Statement    | 9  |
| 1.4   | Objectives           | 10 |
| 1.5   | Scope of Study       | 10 |
|   |                      |    |
| СНА   | PTER TWO METHODOLOGY | 11 |
| 2.1   | Introduction         | 11 |
| 2.2   | Materials            | 11 |
| 2.3   | Method/synthesis     | 11 |
|   |                      |    |
| CHAPTER THREE   |                      | 15 |
|   |                      |    |
| RESULT AND DISCUSSION                                 |                      | 15 |
| 3.1   | Introduction         | 15 |
| 3.2   | Result               | 15 |
|   | 3.2.1 Discussion     | 18 |
|   |                      |    |
| CHAPTER FOUR CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION            |                      | 21 |
| 4.1   | Conclusion           | 21 |
| 4.2   | Recommendation       | 21 |
|   |                      |    |

### REFERENCES

29

22

## CHAPTER ONE BACKGROUND

#### 1.1 Introduction

The performance standards for process plants have been harder to meet in recent years. Tighter product quality requirements have been mostly a result of increased competition, more environmental and safety regulations and constantly shifting economic conditions. The move toward complex interconnected processes in contemporary factories has made them more challenging to operate, which is a further complexity. Process control has grown more crucial in the process industries as a result of global competitiveness, continuously evolving economic conditions and stricter environmental and safety laws. Process control is a crucial consideration for creating increasingly sophisticated, adaptable processes for producing high value-added products. Control tuning is one of the challenging and sophisticated aspects of process control. Process tuning is essential to ensure that plant performance meets operating standards.

Controller tuning inevitably involves a tradeoff performance and robustness. The robustness aim of steady operation under many situations should be balanced against the performance goals of superior set-point tracking and disturbance rejection. It is customary to use a variety of factors and standards when determining which controller type is best for a certain application. Feedback was utilized during control tuning. In a feedback control system, the disturbance variable is not measured while the controlled variable is measured and the measurement is used to change the manipulated variable. The most useful choice is one that is based on the overall traits of the various feedback controllers.

It has three different types of feedback controllers: proportional (P), proportional integral (PI) and proportional integral derivative (PID). P controllers are only used for controlling liquid levels and gas pressure since they can only produce acceptable offsets with moderate values. A PI controller will be utilized to achieve steady state errors that are suitably modest. Therefore, despite the flow system response in the PI controller slowing down, the speed of the closed loop system stays sufficient courtesy to integral control mode. A feedback control loop or closed loop system is the