

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**MOLECULAR BASIS OF RHD  
VARIANTS AMONG RHD  
NEGATIVE BLOOD DONORS IN  
MALAYSIA: A CROSS-SECTIONAL  
STUDY**

**SAFURA BINTI RAMLI**

Thesis submitted in fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
**Master of Health Sciences**  
**(Medical Laboratory Technology)**

**Faculty of Health Sciences**

**July 2018**

## ABSTRACT

Rhesus blood group system consists of a few variants such as partial D, Weak D and DEL phenotype. Rhesus genotyping on those phenotypes has been intensively studied and found vary in different populations. To date, there is a paucity of data for DEL and weak D phenotype in Malaysian population, thus the purpose of this study was to determine the molecular basis and the prevalence of DEL and weak D phenotype in Malaysia. A total of 322 RhD negative blood samples were collected from the blood donors at National Blood Centre, Kuala Lumpur Malaysia. Serological and molecular analyses was performed, and positive samples from the *RHD* gene amplification were further tested for the the presence of *RHD 12227A* allele by using SSP-PCR to detect DEL phenotype. Meanwhile, a specific kit was used to determine the the subtype of weak D. Among the 322 blood samples, 21 samples (6.5%) were confirmed as DEL phenotype by the presence of *RHD1227A* allele and two samples positive for weak D type 15 (0.6%). Majority of DEL samples came from Malays donors (n=10), followed by Chinese (n=6) and Indians (n=5), whereas all weak D samples came from Chinese ethnic. In conclusion, the prevalence of DEL and weak D phenotype is lower in Malaysia compared to other Asian countries. This study added to the understanding of molecular basis underlying DEL and weak D phenotypes in our population and provided useful information for adopting suitable genotyping strategies in future.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude towards Allah S.W.T for His Most Gracious and Most Merciful, I finally able to accomplish my master degree. I am ever thankful to the God Almighty, for I believe that without Him in my life, I would not be the same person as I am today.

Special appreciation goes to my supervisor, Dr Mazura Bahari. Without her being my teacher, this whole process would not have been possible. Her care, affection and unfailing support at all times helped me go through this process. In these years, the bond that has been created between us will last for a lifetime. I also would like to acknowledge the director National Blood Center, Dr Noryati Abu Amin and Dato' Dr Faraizzah Dato' Abd Karim for giving us the opportunity to collect samples in the centre. Sincerely thank my co-supervisor, Dr Rozi Hanisa Musa who has assisted a lot during sample collection as well as providing advice and comments during my laboratory work. Not forgetting Dr. Maimunah Mustakim and Mr Fahmi Mastuki whom I am also grateful for their valuable suggestion.

I am deeply appreciative my husband, Mohd Khairul Amran who has always supported me in different stages of my life. I take this opportunity to mention the names of my parents, Mr Ramli and \_\_\_\_\_, words like thankfulness or gratefulness fall short in expressing my gratitude for them. Also, my siblings for the unwavering and unconditional support they have given me over the years.

Special thanks to Dr Yanji Li from Institute of Clinical Blood Transfusion Guangzhou Blood Center, China for her kind-hearted to help me during data analysis. I will always be indebted to my best friends, Muhammad Idham and Nurul Ain for being a constant source of inspiration and help me during the entire master's degree program. Their enthusiasm, hard work, and interest in providing the best in knowledge and facilities, in spite of debilitating constraints, cannot be simply expressed in mere words. Last but not least I would like to thank all my lecturers in UiTM Puncak Alam, postgraduate friends and 'tresmignon' family who supported me during laboratory work and incented me to strive towards my goal.

The acknowledgement will be incomplete if I do not mention the funded for this project, the Ministry of Education under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (600-RMI/FRGS 5/3 26/2014) and also all the donors who donate their blood for this study. Apart from the above-mentioned names, I would also like to thank all the people who have directly or indirectly helped in the successful completion of my master's degree program.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>AUTHOR'S DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Objectives	5
1.4 Hypothesis	5
1.5 Significance of Study	6
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Red Blood Cell Membrane Structure and Expression of Blood Group	7
2.2 The Rhesus (Rh) Blood Group System	8
2.2.1 Introduction	9
2.2.2 Terminology and Nomenclature	10
2.2.3 Frequency of Rh Antigen and Rh Phenotypes	13
2.2.4 The Molecular Basis of Rhesus Blood Group System	15
2.2.5 Rh Protein	17
2.3 RHD Negative and Variants of RHD	19
2.3.1 RhD Negative (True negative)	20
2.3.2 Partial D	20

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Rhesus (Rh) blood group system was first discovered in 1940 by Landsteiner and Wiener, a world's most eminent figures in transfusion medicine (Westhoff C., 2007). Generally, Rh has subscribed to the theory that it is second most clinically important and immunogenic blood group in transfusion medicine after ABO blood group system. Rh was traditionally categorized into Rh positive or Rh negative groups based on the foundation of the presence or correspondence of D antigen either its presence or not correspondingly. Genetically, Rhesus proteins were encode by two genes which are *RHD* and *RHCE* gene, that located in a tail to tail direction toward the end of the short arm of chromosome 1 (p34–36) (Huang, 2009). RhD encodes D antigen while RHCE encodes CE antigens in various arrangements such as ce, CE, Ce or cE. Van Kim, Colin, & Cartron, (2006) postulated that RHD and RHCE are closely related and differs in 36 out of 417 amino acids. Central to the entire theory of RhD negative diversity, the frequency of RhD negative has been reported to vary among different ethnic groups.

In a multi-ethnic society country such as Malaysia, it is of outmost important to understand D-negative phenotype distribution to estimate compatible blood unit availability and to evaluate risk of Hemolytic Transfusion Reaction (HTR) and Hemolytic Disease of Newborn (HDN). A documented report from University Malaya stated that ccee phenotype is the most prevalent phenotype among D-negative donors in Malaysia, (Kyaw, Maniam, Nadarajan, & Shanmugam, 2012). Another recent study conducted by Musa, Muhamad, Hassan, Ayob, & Yusoff, (2015) revealed that the most prevalent RhD negative phenotypes among Malaysian's blood donor was ccee phenotypes. In contrast, a study in Australia revealed that the most common RhD negative phenotypes among RhD negative blood donors are ccEe and Ccee (Scott, et al., 2014), while another finding in Taiwan denoted that Ccee and CCee are predominant phenotypes in their population (Chen et al., 2004).