UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

NEUROPROTECTIVE AND ANTINEUROINFLAMMATORY EFFECTS OF MYRMECODIA PLATYTYREA TUBER AQUEOUS EXTRACT

NOR AYUNI BINTI NORDIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science** (Pharmacology)

Faculty of Pharmacy

May 2019

ABSTRACT

Myrmecodia platytyrea (Family: Rubiaceae) is commonly known as the Ant-nest plant and locally as Sarang Semut. It is used in Indonesian traditional medicine for the management of oxidative stress- and inflammation-related diseases such as cancer, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases and could have potential in treating Alzheimer's disease (AD). Hence, our study aimed to investigate the potential of M. platytyrea tuber aqueous extract (MPAE) in inhibiting neuroinflammation, in vitro and in vivo. The in vitro antineuroinflammatory effects of MPAE (0.025 - 0.5 mg/ml) were investigated by measuring the cytotoxicity and proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL- 1β and IL-6) production in FeSO₄-, H₂O₂- and LPS-stimulated astrocyte cell line. For the in vivo study, MPAE was assessed in non-LPS mice and LPS-neuroinflammation mice model by Morris water maze (MWM) test. ICR male mice aged 24 weeks were grouped into four and six groups (n=6/group), respectively to each model. For first model, Group 1 was pretreated with distilled water (10 ml/kg, p.o.) while Group 2-4 with MPAE (100, 200 and 400 mg/kg, p.o.). In LPS-model, Group 1 and 2 were pretreated for six days with distilled water, Group 3 with standard nootropic agent, piracetam (400 mg/kg, p.o.) and Group 4-6 with MPAE (100, 200 and 400 mg/kg, p.o.). Then, LPS (3 mg/kg; i.p) was administered to the mice of Group 2-6 for 3 days. The mice were subjected to 2 days of training followed by 3 days of MWM test and a day of probe test. Next, the brain was collected for bioassay analysis and molecular works. The antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, GPx), inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β), cholinergic activities (ACh and AChE) and amyloid protein (A β_{1-40} and A β_{1-42}) assays were conducted using ELISA assay. Meanwhile, inflammatory markers (COX-1, COX-2, PGE₂, iNOS and NFk^β) were determined via RT-PCR from the brain homogenate. From the results, MPAE was not cytotoxic on astrocytes with IC₅₀ value of 1.54±0.26 mg/mL. However, MPAE did not protect the astrocytes against Fe₂SO₄, H₂O₂, or LPS but demonstrated an increment of cell death in the astrocytes in a dosedependent manner. The level of the cytokines was increased dose-dependently. Treatment of MPAE on non-LPS mice significantly (p<0.05) worsened the memory and learning, decreased the antioxidant activity and increased the inflammatory cytokines, inflammatory mediators, cholinergic activities and production of A β protein compared to control mice. In LPS-neuroinflammation mice model, administration of LPS caused a significant (p<0.05) cognitive impairment compared to control mice. Remarkably, mice that received piracetam and MPAE (200 mg/kg) were significantly (p<0.05) improved the memory and learning in MWM test. MPAE (200 mg/kg) was significantly (p<0.05) increased the antioxidant enzyme activities compared to LPS group. MPAE (200 mg/kg) was significantly (p<0.05) reduced the proinflammatory cytokines and inflammatory mediators compared to LPS group. Consistently, MPAE (200 mg/kg) significantly (p<0.05) inhibited the production of A β peptides and elevated concentration of ACh while inhibited AChE compared to LPS group. To conclude, MPAE has a potent neuroprotective agent and antineuroinflammatory effect via modulation of the inflammatory mediators in LPS-induced neuroinflammation. Thus, suggesting MPAE having potential therapeutic application against neuroinflammation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to pay my gratitude to Allah for giving me the opportunity to embark on my Master and the strength with His blessings for completing this long and challenging journey successfully.

I would like to express my gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Mizaton Hazizul Hasan, my main supervisor for her patience and endless guidance and devotion in this research project. Thank you for the constant support in fostering my independence and nurturing my development as a young researcher during these past four years; thank you so much.

A cordial thanks to Dr Nur Suraya Adina Suratman, my co-supervisor, for her assistance, morale and motivational supports. I also would like to add a special thank you to Prof. Dr. Aishah Adam for her brilliant knowledge regarding Pharmacology and Toxicology that she shared with me, not to mention her life experiences to be such a successful person in the academic world, which really inspired me. Thank you very much. My appreciation to the facilities provided by UiTM and the research-academic environment that I believed I may not experience it somewhere else.

A special thanks to my fellow teammates from Pharmaco-Toxicology Lab, UiTM Puncak Alam for their support, knowledge, and endless assistance during these years. I believe without them; my study would not be as what it is. Not forgetting, thanks to all the supportive staffs, postgraduates and lecturers of the Faculty of Pharmacy, UiTM for the guidance and improvements. This study was supported by the Research Excellence Fund, Research Management Institute, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia and Institute of Graduate Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. Finally, my deepest gratitude is to my very supportive parents and family members.

Thank you for understanding me during my ups and downs without prejudice, for the eternal encouragement and support. Thank you, Allah, for giving me all these people and ease in every difficulty throughout my journey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ü
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	Ŷ
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION		1
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Objectives	3
1.4	Significance of Study	4
1.5	Scope of Study	4
1.6	Limitation of Study	4

СН	APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Alzheimer's Disease (AD)	6
	2.1.1 Etiology and Symptoms of AD	7
	2.1.2 Pathophysiology of AD	8
	2.1.2.1 Inflammatory Hypothesis	8
	2.1.2.2 Cholinergic Hypothesis	9
	2.1.2.3 Amyloid Cascade Hypothesis	9
	2.1.2.4 Tau Hypothesis	10
	2.1.3 Treatment of AD	10
2.2	Inflammation and AD	13
	2.2.1 Role of Neuroinflammation in AD	13

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Neuroinflammation is a brain's activation of the innate immune system functions to protect the central nervous system (CNS) against infectious insults, injury, or disease (Zhang and Jiang, 2015). It has been well established that neuroinflammation is actively involved in neurological diseases and disorders such as Alzheimer's disease (AD) (McGeer and McGeer, 2010). It is a complex response involving a host of cellular and molecular changes, recruitment of peripheral immune cells, induction of some intracellular signalling pathways, and release of inflammatory mediators in the brain. All these factors can contribute to the occurrence of neuronal dysfunction and death in AD, either alone or in combination. These observations and other researches indicate that neuroinflammation is an early and continuous feature of AD (Morales et al., 2014).

AD is a neurodegenerative disease and is the most common form of dementia and accounts for more than 80% of dementia cases worldwide in elderly people (Anand et al., 2014; Ulep et al., 2017). It leads to the progressive loss of mental, behavioural, functional decline and ability to learn (Anand et al., 2014). The neuropathological features of the disease are the aggregation of A β and hyperphosphorylation of tau protein (Castellani, et al., 2014). Five drugs have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) viz. cholinesterase inhibitors (donepezil, galamantine, rivastigmine and tacrine) and N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA)-receptor antagonist (memantine) which provide modest benefits to treat the cognitive symptoms of AD (Casey et al., 2010). At present, there is no treatment that can reverse, stabilize or even delay the course of this progressive dementing disorder (Honig & Boyd, 2013). A variety of side effects are observed with these drugs which are cholinergic in nature and include effects on the brainstem (nightmares); on the neuromuscular junction (leg cramps); vagal heart innervation (bradycardia or syncope) (Honig & Boyd, 2013). Since AD is irreversible, there is a need to uncover and develop new neuroprotective agents to halt development and progression of the disease.