# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# DEVELOPMENT OF A NOVEL FAILURE PREDICTION TOOL (CHYCLOP) USING ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR HYBRID COMPOSITE LAMINATES BASED ON COMBINED LAMINATION AND FAILURE THEORIES

## **MOHAMAD BIN MALI**

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

**College of Engineering** 

**July 2022** 

#### ABSTRACT

Failure of a structure could be catastrophic and thus the mechanism of failure needs to be understood well. At present, physical tests have been the common approach for failure assessment and prediction. Depending only on physical test is very costly, tedious and time consuming. As an alternative, FE programming is an option but it requires strong theoretical and mathematical knowledge, in addition to the competency in computer programming skills. Analytical approach is also an option but when it involves composite materials, the calculation will become very complex and require high-level mathematical computation. Considering all these, there is a need for an accurate failure prediction tool, yet simple to use. However, until today there is no software or computer program to perform failure analysis of hybrid composite laminates analytically based on all three lamination theories and various failure theories. Accepting this challenge, this study is aimed to develop a MATLAB program as a novel failure prediction tool for hybrid composite laminates based on combined lamination and failure theories, to comprehensively validate the program, to assess the effect of various combined lamination theories, failure theories and angle of fiber orientation on the hybrid composite laminates, and finally to propose a new failure theory with interaction terms as it could accurately determine the ply-by-ply failure of hybrid composite laminates. The output of this study, a MATLAB program that functions as a novel failure prediction tool (named and copyrighted as CHyCLOP or Compendious Hybrid Composite Laminates Failure Load Predictor) for hybrid composite laminates based on combined lamination and failure theories has been successfully developed equipped with a user-friendly Graphical User Interface (GUI). In general, the main results unveil a good agreement with various past experiments and numerical results. The FPF results are found to be more accurate (average 10% error) compared to LPF results for the data of below 45°. However, for the data above 45°, LPF is found to be more accurate (average 15% error). CHyCLOP also success in reducing the percentage error (11.4%) on the 15° in contrast to the past experiment, compared to past FE (38.8%) and by past analytical program (29.4%). In comparison with other past numerical method, the average percentage error is 10.0% and, in most cases, error was found to be less than 5%. This strongly indicates that the validated developed MATLAB program (CHyCLOP) can be accepted as a new tool to investigate the effect of displacement and failure analysis of composite laminates and hybrid composite laminates. Regarding the third Research Objective, it is found that the different lamination theories and failure theories show no significant effect based on ANOVA (P value = 0.999) for lamination theories and average of 3% different for failure criteria. Nevertheless, for certain range of fiber angles, the results show some variation of error. Based on all these analyses and results, finally a new failure criterion with interaction which can accurately determines ply-by-ply failure of hybrid composite laminates is also proposed. In addition, this novel criterion has been proven to be useful in generating accurate failure curves for hybrid Kevlar-Glass epoxy composite laminates and hybrid Glass-Kevlar epoxy composite laminates. In conclusion, this study proves that the developed novel failure prediction tool, can be very useful in estimating the displacement and failure load of hybrid composite laminates. The study has also contributed to enhancing knowledge about failure assessment of hybrid composite laminates under uniaxial tension load by applying various approach based on combined lamination and failure theories. This study is novel as to date, no similar study has been reported.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the most beneficent, the most merciful.

First and foremost, all praise is only for Allah S.W.T, the Almighty, for providing me with the opportunity to pursue my PhD studies and successfully complete this long and difficult journey. Salam and Salawat to Nabi Muhammad S.A.W, our beloved prophet.

I could not have undertaken this journey without my supervisor, Professor Ir. Dr. Jamaluddin Mahmud or Prof J who generously provided knowledge, expertise invaluable advice, continuous support and patience. I am also grateful to my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Shahrul Azam Abdullah @ Ab. Aziz.

Special thanks to my family, Mohd Atar Mali, his wife, Haszlinda Mohd Sharuddin, and their childrens, Eqhwan Mohzanee Mohd Atar and wife, Anis Syairah Tuan Haji Ir Mohd Yusop, Eymel Daniel Mohd Atar and wife, Nur Hadirah Tuan Haji Sarun, and Edriel Haikal Mohd Atar; Zalilah Mali, her husband, Jamaluddin Ambiah, their childrens, Syeril Azira Jamaluddin, Syahrul Amin Jamaluddin and wife, Siti Halijah Ismail, and thier granschildrens, Muhammad Firas Amsyar Syahrul Amin, Nur Falisha Aaira Syahrul Amin and Nur Farha Aleesa Syahrul Amin; Mohd Tahir Mali, his wife, Hanizah Abdullah, and their childrens, Imran Hakim Mohd Tahir, Haris Aiman Mohd Tahir and Irfan Danial Mohd Tahir; Mohd Naim Mali and his wife, Raja Ayu Raja Ayob; Zahida Mali, her husband, Shaheezam Sabaruddin, and their childrens, Ameernur Iqmal Shaheezam, Ameenur Iman Shaheezam, Ameera Nur Dania Shaheezam and Ameernur Izz Shaheezam; Zairulliati Mali and her childrens, Afnan Audiputra Zolhaidi, Ammar Amniputra Zolhaidi, Aqwa Awraputra Zolhaidi and Asyfa Amaniputri Zolhaidi; and Zairulazwana Mali. Their kind assistance and encouragement have made my life a wonderful time.

I had the pleasure of collaborating with my colleagues and friends, especially the Vanguard members, who never gave up, constantly offered help and inspired me.

Words cannot express my gratitude to my adored mother, Zawyah binti Mahammad Nazri, and to the loving memory of my dearest late father, Mali bin Abdul Majid, for having the vision and perseverance to educate me. This triumph is dedicated to both of you.

Alhamdulillah. All praise belongs to Allah.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

iii
iii
iv
v
vi
X
xiii
XV
xvi
xvii

CHA	PTER (	ONE: IN	TRODUCTION	1
1.1	Resear	rch Backg	round	1
1.2	Motiv	ation		4
1.3	Problem Statement			
1.4	Objectives			
1.5	Scopes and Limitations of Study			
1.5	Significance of Study			
СНА	PTER 1	rwo: li	TERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	Introd	uction		10
2.2	<ul><li>2.2 Basic Mechanics of Composite Laminates</li><li>2.2.1 Lamination Theories</li></ul>			
		2.2.1.1	Classical Lamination Theory (CLT)	11
		2.2.1.2	First Order Shear Deformation Theory (FSDT)	14
		2.2.1.3	Higher Order Shear Deformation Theory (HSDT)	15
	2.2.2	Failure C	Criteria for Composite Laminates	19
		2.2.2.1	Maximum Stress Criterion	19

		2.2.2.2 Maximum Strain Criterion	20	
		2.2.2.3 Tsai-Wu Criterion	20	
		2.2.2.4 Tsai-Hill Criterion	21	
		2.2.2.5 Hashin Criterion	21	
		2.2.2.6 Hoffman Criterion	22	
		2.2.2.7 Mall Criterion	22	
2.3	Failur	e Analysis in Composite Materials	22	
	2.3.1	Experimental Method	23	
	2.3.2	Finite Element Method/Analysis (FEM/FEA)	24	
	2.3.3	Analytical Method	26	
2.4	4 MATLAB usage for Composite Laminates Analysis			
2.5	Hybrie	brid Composite Laminates 3		
2.6	Resear	rch Gap	32	
CHA	PTER 1	THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	36	
3.1	Introd	uction	36	
3.2	Stage	1: Development of MATLAB Program	38	
	3.2.1	Input Data	40	
	3.2.2	Computation Stage (Analytical Equation to Program Code)	41	
		3.2.2.1 Classical Lamination Theory (CLT)	48	
		3.2.2.2 First Order Shear Deformation Theory (FSDT)	53	
		3.2.2.3 Higher Order Shear Deformation Theory (HSDT)	59	
	3.2.3	Failure Theories	66	
		3.2.3.1 Maximum Stress Criterion	67	
		3.2.3.2 Tsai-Wu Criterion	68	
		3.2.3.3 Hashin Criterion	71	
		3.2.3.4 Mall Criterion	74	
	3.2.4	Output Data	77	
	3.2.5	MATLAB Graphical User Interface (GUI)	77	
3.3	Stage 2	2: Numerical Validation	78	
	3.3.1	Case Study 2-1: In Comparison to Various Approaches	79	
	3.3.2	Case Study 2-2: In Comparison to Experiment and Past Study	80	
	3.3.3	Case Study 2-3: In Comparison to Experiment and Commercial	FE	
		Software	81	