

ICRESAFE 2022

E-PROCEEDING OF

1st INTERNATIONAL E-CONFERENCE ON GREEN & SAFE CITIES 2022

Sustaining the Resilient, Beautiful and Safe Cities for a Better Quality of Life!

20 & 21 SEPTEMBER 2022

Organisers:







Co-organisers:

OFFICE OF RESEARCH, INDUSTRIAL LINKAGES, COMMUNITY & ALUMNI (PJIM&A), SERI ISKANDAR CAMPUS DEPARTMENT OF BUILT ENVIRONMENT STUDIES & TECHNOLOGY (JABT), FACULTY OF ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING & SURVEYING (FSPU)



Sustaining the Resilient, Beautiful and Safe Cities for a Better Quality of Life

ORGANISED BY

Gresafe_Cities RIG
The University of Queensland, Australia
Kampus Hijau UiTM Perak

CO-ORGANISED BY

Research, Industrial Linkages, Community & Alumni Network (PJIM&A)

© Unit Penerbitan UiTM Perak, 2022

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, stored in any retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means; electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise; without permission on writing from the director of Unit Penerbitan UiTM Perak, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak Branch, 32610 Seri Iskandar Perak, Malaysia.

Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia

Cataloguing in Publication Data

No e ISBN: 978-967-2776-13-0

Cover Design: Muhammad Falihin Jasmi

Typesetting: Ts Dr Azizah Md Ajis

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Patron : Prof. Sr. Dr Md Yusof Hamid

Advisor : Assoc. Prof. Ts Dr Norhafizah Abdul Rahman Chairman 1 : Assoc. Prof. Ts Dr Siti Rasidah Md Sakip : Assoc. Prof. Sr Dr Nur Azfahani Ahmad

Secretary 1 : Ms Nur'Ain Ismail

Secretary 2 : Ms Nurhidayah Samsul Rijal Treasurer 1: : Dr Nor Nazida Awang Treasurer 2 : Dr Nadiyanti Mat Nayan

MAIN SECRETARIAT

Invitation & Sponsorship : Ts Dr Ida Nianti Md Zin (L)

Dr Nor Eeda Ali Ms Nur'Ain Ismail

Ms Nurhidayah Samsul Rijal

Ts Ahmad Haqqi Nazali Abdul Razak

Participation, Registration &

Certificates

Dr Atikah Fukaihah Amir (L)

Ms Marina Abdullah

LAr Ruwaidah Borhan

Promotion & Website : Ts Nur Hasni Nasrudin (L)

Ts Sr Dr Asmat Ismail

Information technology (IT &

AV) & Media

Mr Aizazi Lutfi Ahmad (L)

Mr Muhammad Anas Othman

Mr Tuan Sayed Muhammad Aiman Sayed Abul Khair

Scientific Reviewers &

Publication

Assoc. Prof. Sr Dr Thuraiya Mohd (L) - Sc. Reviewer

Assoc. Prof. Dr Sallehan Ismail (L) - Journal

Assoc. Prof. Sr Dr Siti Aekbal Salleh Assoc. Prof. Dr Kharizam Ismail

Assoc. Prof. Ts Dr Siti Akhtar Mahayuddin Assoc. Prof. Sr Dr Nur Azfahani Ahmad

Assoc. Prof. Sr Dr Natasha Khalil Dr Puteri Rohani Megat Abdul Rahim

Ts Dr Azizah Md Ajis Sr Dr Asmalia Che Ahmad

Sr Dr Asmalia Che Ahma Dr Dzulkarnaen Ismail Dr Lilawati Ab Wahab Ms Marina Abdullah

Event Manager & Moderator : Ts. Ahmad Haqqi Nazali (L)

IDr Dr Othman Mohd Nor-TPr Dr Kushairi Rashid Dr Mohd RofdziAbdullah Ar Haji Azman Zainonabidin

Banquets & Charities : Ms Noor Faiza Rasol (L)

Mr Afzanizam Muhammad Ms Siti Rohamini Yusoff

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BAMBOO FIBRE BIO-COMPOSITE: A REVIEW

Anith Athierah Aziz¹, Sallehan Ismail^{2*}, Siti Akhtar Mahayuddin³ *Corresponding Author

1,2,3 Department of Built Environment Studies and Technology, Faculty of Architecture, Planning and Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak Branch, 32610 Seri Iskandar, Perak

anithathierahaziz@gmail.com *salle865@uitm.edu.my sitia880@uitm.edu.my

Abstract

Global environmental concerns and awareness of renewable green resources are growing demand for eco-friendly, sustainable, biodegradable natural fiber reinforced composites. Natural fibers have essential physicochemical and mechanical qualities in the composite sector. Recent interest in bamboo fiber focuses on replacing or reducing nonrenewable glass fiber as bamboo bio-composites are eco-friendly. Different processing parameters such as fiber extraction and surface modification of the composites affect the characteristics of composites. To solve the issues relating to reinforcing fibers, polymer matrix materials, and composite manufacturing procedures, various investigations on bamboo fiber bio-composites have been done in recent decades. Bamboo fiber has poor interfacial adhesion with polymer matrix and low mechanical qualities due to its hydrophilic nature. The purpose of this article is to summarize the research done on bamboo fiber bio-composites during the previous few decades. As a result, this article provides a critical review of the developments in bamboo fiber biocomposites and key results presented in the literature, with a focus on the processing procedure and ultimate properties of bamboo fibers with polymeric matrices. This research can serve as a guide for future reference on bamboo fiber reinforced composites and promote their utilization.

Keywords: bamboo fibre, bio-composite, mechanical properties


INTRODUCTION

The rising costs of raw materials for engineering and standard plastics, the future sustainability of natural reservoirs, and the threat to the environment have prompted the creation and manufacture of polymer composites to employ natural recyclable materials (Ortega et al., 2021). The use of synthetic fibers has dominated the reinforcement market in recent years; however, natural fiber reinforcement has gained significant momentum to replace this synthetic fiber in a variety of applications (Sanjay et al., 2019). Nowadays, due to concerns over the environment and the necessity for sustainability, there has been an intensifying in replacing the synthetic fibers by natural plant fibers. The properties of natural fibers because of their low density, mechanical robustness, inexpensive, durability, sustainability, and biodegradability providing the economical functional, beneficial of environmental also structural construction applications (Karimah & Rasyidur, 2021). Utilization of synthetic fibers including the aramid fibers, carbon fibers and glass fibers has been mixed with renewable and

non-renewable polymers to fabricate composites. Nonetheless, natural fibers as reinforcement have increased in interest. In the meantime, bio-composites are a type of composite materials that consist of plant-derived resin system and natural fibers (Saba et al., 2017).

The three types of composite materials are polymer matrix composite (PMC), metal matrix composite (MMC), and ceramic matrix composite (CMC). Green composites are a subset of bio composite materials that include both reinforced and polymer matrix phases produced from biological or renewable sources (Saba et al., 2017; Varis et al., 2019). The term "bio composites" refers to: petroleum-derived, nonbiodegradable polymers reinforced with biofibers such as polypropylene (PP), polyethylene (PE), polyester, epoxy, or vinylester; (ii) biopolymers reinforced with biofibers such as PLA, PHA; and (iii) biopolymers reinforced with synthetic fibers such as glass or carbon. Biopolymers reinforced with biofibers are sometimes referred to as "green composites" since they are thought to be more environmentally friendly (Faruque & Bilisik, 2020; Yatim et al., 2010).

Figure 1 *Fabrication of Bio-composite*



Source: (Saba et al., 2017)

Amongst the natural fiber plants, bamboo is considered as essential plant fiber and has great potential in bio-composite industry. Sun et al., (2020) stated that in Asian countries bamboo is considered as natural engineering material. Bamboo is fast growing grass. It can reach up to 15-30m full height within 2-4 months period of times and daily growth rates about 20cm up to 100cm. Overall, mechanical characteristics of naturally grown bamboo vary greatly due to variations in species, growth pattern, and grown features, limiting their wide structural uses (Sun et al., 2020). Bamboo fiber considered attractive due to its low density, high mechanical characteristic, and low cost as a sustainable structural material for variety of applications (building construction, housing, flooring) (Bahari & Krause, 2016). According to Khalil et al., (2012), bamboo fiber is known to have the mechanical toughness, thermal stability, and ease of bamboo fiber extractions, as well as its ease of chemical modification, have made it adaptable in composite sector. The most appealing and well-known qualities of bamboo fibers is their economic values, lightweight, high specific strength, and nonhazardous

nature. From previous study, some species of bamboo fiber can reach a massive tensile strength of 100 MPa while constructional bamboo species could reach up to 400 MPa tensile strength (Gao et al., 2022; F. Wang et al., 2015). As a result, constructional bamboo fibers have the superior prospective in mechanical behavior if used properly. Aside from bamboo strip-based composites, to regulate the extraction of bamboo fibers from bamboo trees, the fabrication of bamboo-based composites is compulsory (Khalil et al., 2012). Bamboo fibers possessed finer mechanical distinctive than other natural fibers, however it is brittle due to additional lignin content that covered the bamboo fibers. Thus, there are varieties of method that have been developed to extract bamboo fiber for reinforcement of composites such as alkaline treatment was used for simplification of bamboo fiber extraction also enhances the separation of bamboo fiber for formulation of bamboo fiber reinforced polymer composites (Yang et al., 2010).

For clearer and safer environment there are some new ideas by researchers towards a new direction in eco-composite which is providing the beneficial to ecological and environmental over the synthetic or conventional composites. Bamboo fibers' economic value, lightweight, high specific strength, and non-hazardous nature are among the most appealing qualities of this material, prompting researchers to study in the direction of composite technology. As a result, it is clear that bamboo fiber-based composites have the potential to replace non-renewable, expensive synthetic fibers in composite materials, particularly in the construction industries. Therefore, in this paper, a systematic review of the bamboo fiber as bio composites along with the physicochemical properties and polymer matrices are included. The factors affecting the mechanical properties of the bamboo fiber are also summarized.

Type of Natural Fibers

Natural fiber has been proposed as a replacement for synthetic fiber because of its benefits, which include light weight, biodegradability, low cost, low energy needs, plentiful availability, high strength, and elasticity modulus. Kenaf, jute, flax, sisal, coir, and bamboo fiber are some of the most widely researched plant fibers as shown in Table 1. Bamboo fiber has gotten a lot of attention because of its low density, high stiffness, and high strength, as well as the fact that bamboo grows quickly, making it readily available (Chin et al., 2020). Research was conducted utilizing the G. Scortechinii (Buluh Semantan) bamboo species, which is common in Southeast Asia and has good mechanical characteristics. Bamboo that is 3–5 years old is generally suggested for usage in the building sector.

Table 1 *The Important Properties of Natural Fibres*

Fiber/Property	Abaca	Bamboo	Banana	Coir	Cotton	Flax	Hemp	Jute	Kenaf
Density (g/cc)	1.5	0.85	1.35	1.2	1.21	1.38	1.47	1.23	1.2
Diameter (µm)	20	56.5	21	18.5	23.5	21.5	30.5	15	24
Length (mm)	4.9	2.75	0.65	1.65	35.5	37.5	30	3.4	6.2
Tensile strength (MPa)	621.5	566	721.5	175	442	689	845	480	612.5
Young's Modulus (Gpa)	32.35	53	29.5	6	8	60	45	37.5	41
Elongation at break (%)	2.9	4.65	5.5	20	6	2.1	3.05	2.3	4.8
Moisture content (%)	14	14	10.5	10	33.5	7	8	12	9.1

Source: (Dasore et al., 2021)

Bamboo fibers with a low density (1.4 g/cm³) have superior mechanical properties, including higher specific stiffness and bending load strength than synthetic glass fibers (Jawaid et al., 2021). Bamboo made up of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin contributing a major part of more which is 95% of total mass of bamboo as mentioned in Table 2. Moreover, the cellulose content is higher percentages about 73.83% compared to oil palm (44.20-49.60), coir (36.62-43.21), corn stalks (38.33-40.31), jute (69.21-72.35), kenaf (3750-63.00) and sisal (43.85-56.63) (Rasheed et al., 2020). Lower content of holocellulose and alpha-cellulose will lead to higher moisture content (Wahab et al., 2013).

Table 2 *The Chemical Composition of Natural Fibres*


Fiber/Property	Abaca	Bamboo	Coir	Cotton	Hemp	Jute
Cellulose (%)	60.8-68.0	36.1-54.6	32.0-43.4	82.7-98.0	55-90	58.0-71.5
Hemicellulose (%)	17.5-21	11.4-16.6	0.3	4.0-5.7	12	13.6-24.0
Lignin (%)	5-15.1	20.5-28.5	40-45.8	0.7	2-5	11.8-16
Pectin (%)	<1	<1	3	4	3	2
Fat and wax (%)	<1	1-4	0-6	2-3	1.7	<1

Source: (Bourmaud et al., 2018)

Factors Influencing the Mechanical Properties of Bamboo Fiber

Bamboo has great mechanical properties because its main component is fiber and the fibers in its tissue are all arranged in the same direction (Liu et al., 2012). The mechanical qualities of different fiber species differ due to their function in nature, which is reflected in their physical, chemical, and morphological features. According to Liu et al., (2012) the tensile strength, for example, is mostly provided by cellulose content, and the microfibrillar angle is proportional to the strain-to-failure due to irreversible deformation of the cell wall. The modulus of elasticity is proportional to the cellulose concentration and inversely proportional to the microfibrillar angle. The bamboo cellulose fibrils in the walls of the fibers are almost all aligned in the same direction. This makes the fibers' longitudinal elasticity the best it can be, while their lignification makes them more rigid across their width (X. Wang et al., 2012).

Figure 2
Bamboo Fibre Structure



Source: (Liu et al., 2012)

Many factors influence the mechanical properties of bamboo fibers, including the chemical makeup and structure of the bamboo fibers, moisture content, bamboo age, and so on (Chen et al., 2022). Moreover, Chen et al., (2022) mentioned that the tensile modulus of bamboo was reduced when hemicellulose was removed although it did not alter appreciably when lignin was removed. The tensile strength of bamboo fiber dropped when chemical elements were removed, but ductility declined marginally with the removal of lignin but rose with the removal of hemicellulose.

Table 3 shows the composition and mechanical properties of a few natural fibers. Bamboo contains a high amount of lignin (32%), and a small microfibrillar angle (2–10) when compared to other lignocellulosic fibers (Liu et al., 2012). These elements contribute to the fiber's polylamellate wall structure's extraordinarily high tensile strength, flexural strength, and stiffness. The reported mechanical properties of bamboo fiber vary due to the various testing methodologies and samples evaluated. Amada et al., (1997), for example, assessed the original bamboo fiber's tensile strength and modulus to be 600 MPa and 46 GPa, respectively, but when lignin was removed from fresh bamboo fiber using alkali pretreatment, the strength and modulus were considerably increased.

Table 3Natural Fibres Chemical Composition and Mechanical Properties

Fibers	Density (Kg/m³)	Microfibr il angle	Cellulose (%)	Lignin (%)	Tensile strength (MPa)	Elongation at break (%)	Young's modulus (GPa)
Bamboo	0.6 - 0.8	2-10	60.8	32.2	140-800	1.3	11–30
Banana	1.4	11	65	5	500	5.9	12
Coir	1.1 - 1.5	30–49	32–43	40-45	131-220	15–40	4–6
Flax	1.5	5–10	64–71	2	345-1100	2.7 - 3.2	27.6

Source: (Liu et al., 2012)

The structure of bamboo fibre cells is complex, with a multi-layered middle layer of the cell's secondary wall (Osorio et al., 2011). As a result, the lignification of the thin and thick layers varies, as does the orientation of the microfibrils, resulting in a relatively considerable change in mechanical properties between adjacent wall layers (Pramudi et al., 2021). The multi-layered cell wall construction improves fracture resistance and encourages internal sliding between cell wall layers during tension (Fig. 2) (Chen et al., 2022). The microfibril angle also has an impact on the mechanical characteristics of the fibre; typically, the tensile strength and tensile modulus of the fibre improve as the microfibril angle decreases as mentioned by Chen et al., (2022). As a result, the decreased microfibril angle is a key feature that contributes to bamboo fibre's exceptional mechanical qualities.

Table 4 *Bamboo Fibril Mechanical Testing Findings*

Sample	Equipment	Strength (MPa)	Strain (%)	Modulus (GPa)
Fiber bundle	Tensile tester	387	16.7	2.7
Single fiber	Micro-tensile tester	916	12.6	13.6
Regenerated fiber	Tensile tester	290	71.2	1.8
Single fiber	Nanoindenter	N/A	N/A	13
Bamboo nanofibril	AFM	N/A	N/A	40

Source: (Liu et al., 2012)

The strength of bamboo fiber bundles was 387 MPa, with an average elastic modulus of 2.7 GPa, as indicated in Table 4. Single bamboo fibers had three times the strength. This divergence can be explained by bamboo fiber's non-uniform diameter or the presence of weak places in the fibers. The average elastic modulus of a single fiber was roughly 13 GPa, which was comparable to the nanoindentation result but significantly greater than that of fiber bundles. The difference in mechanical properties between fiber bundles and single fibers is due to the fact that the strength of the bamboo fiber is greater than the bonding between the bamboo fiber and the matrix. Due to the size impact, the AFM testing findings revealed that a nanofibril had a higher modulus than a single bamboo strand. When the fiber's size is lowered to the nanoscale, the fibril can prevent the existence of flaws, and the strength is more likely to exceed the theoretical strength of covalent bonds (Liu et al., 2012). The mechanical properties of bamboo entities have a significant size influence. Regenerated bamboo fiber has lower strength and modulus than the original fiber but higher strain, most likely due to crystalline structural conversion.

Moisture Content of Bamboo Fiber

Moisture content is an important factor that influences the mechanical characteristics of wood. The necessary moisture content of wood and lumber as a construction material ranges from 6% to 8% for indoor use and from 9% to 14% for exterior wood or building envelope components inside completed assemblies (Chen et al., 2022). Bamboo is a hygroscopic substance that may absorb or expel water to maintain equilibrium with its surroundings. The moisture content or equilibrium moisture content of the bamboo species was determined after conditioning at 27°C and 70% relative humidity. The findings also show that bamboo samples conditioned at 27°C and 70% relative humidity were not infested with fungi. Based on previous research, fungi started to break down bamboo when the amount of water in it was more than 15%. Wang et al., (2013) investigated the effect of moisture content on the mechanical properties of bamboo at the macroscopic and cell wall scales and found that, at the fiber saturation point, the compressive modulus of elasticity, indentation modulus of elasticity, and hardness of bamboo fiber decreased as moisture content increased. Chen et al., (2022) studied the effect of moisture content changes on the mechanical properties of bamboo fibers using tensile tests of single fiber and nanoindentation and discovered that as moisture content increased, the tensile modulus and tensile strength of bamboo fibers decreased significantly while elongation at break increased.

Liu et al., (2012) explain that moisture can cause substantial damage to the fiber-matrix interface, which can result to inefficient stress transfer from the matrix to the reinforcement. This is in addition to the fact that moisture can alter the properties of both the polymer matrix and the natural fibers themselves. The process of degradation begins with the swelling of cellulose fibers, which creates stress at the interface and leads to the formation of microcracks in the matrix around the swelled fibers. The cracks make water absorption and its subsequent attack on the interface even worse. The absorbed water begins to create intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the fibers, which in turn decreases the interfacial adhesion between the fiber and the matrix. Additionally, water-soluble compounds begin to leach out of the fibers as a result of the absorbed water. In the end, this results in the fiber and the matrix becoming unbonded from one another. The bamboo fiber became more pliable after it absorbed moisture, which resulted in an increase in extensibility and a decrease in the elastic modulus. However, the bamboo fiber's tensile strength was not considerably impacted by this change. When it comes to the interfacial strength of the finished composites, it is possible that exposure to excessive humidity during material storage and composite formation can be significantly more detrimental than exposure to moisture after fabrication. Therefore, the relative humidity that is present during the manufacturing process of composites has a significant effect on the

interfacial shear strength (IFSS) of the composites that are ultimately produced. This agreed by Liu et al., (2012) that potentially destructive effects of moisture on the interface between natural fiber and resin could be mitigated, at least partially, by a variety of fiber treatments.

Moreover, the tendency of natural fibers such as bamboo fiber to collect water is an additional important disadvantage of employing natural fibers as polymer reinforcement. The water absorption of natural fibers results in thickness swelling and dimensional instability of the composite, which can lead to significant composite deterioration in a short period of time. In addition, as the composite absorbs water, it becomes more sensitive to fungi, insects, and other dangerous creatures. Hence, substantial moisture content by bamboo fiber can be a significant limiting factor for the final application of the composite which required additional consideration.

Degree of Cure

For the development of natural fiber composites, bamboo is the suitable choice due to the good mechanical characteristic. Bamboo possesses the natural honeycomb fiber-reinforced composite materials. The mechanical properties of bamboo which consist of tensile strength, elongation at break, Young's Modulus are included in this paper based on previous study. Based on the study made by J. Huang and Young (2019), the bamboo fiber was fabricated using resin that transfer into molding process and later treated with alkaline treatment. The bamboo was cure with epoxy adhesive and the tensile strength being measured in Table 5. The result shown decreasing in tensile strength from 717.53 MPa to 473.05 MPa for the alkali treated and untreated bamboo fibers as well for the young's modulus showing a decreasing value from 43.34 GPa to 33.31 GPa. From the outcome revealed that alkaline-bamboo fiber (ALK-BF) has higher tensile strength due to better interface shear strength even though the ALK-BF tensile strength is weaker.

Table 5 *The Mechanical Properties of Bamboo Fiber*

	Density (g/cm ³)	Equivalent Diameter (μm)	Tensile Strength (Mpa)	Elongation At Break (%)	Young's Modulus (Gpa)
BF	$0.93_{-0.04}^{+0.06}$	$643.15_{-66.15}^{+81.00}$		$2.03_{-0.38}^{+0.56}$	43.34 _{-8.55} ^{+8.66}
ALK-	$1.29_{-0.07}^{+0.05}$	$583.80_{-33.93}^{+38.20}$	$473.05_{-52.63}^{+101.12}$	$2.05_{-0.39}^{+0.53}$	$33.31_{-1.92}^{+3.09}$
BF					

Source: (J. Huang & Young, 2019)

Table 6The Mechanical Properties of Treated and Untreated Bamboo Layer

Bamboo Layer	Tensile Strength (Mpa)		Ultimate/ (KN)	Break Load	Impact Test (J)	
,	Treated	Untreated	Treated	Untreated	Treated	Untreated
1	7.81	6.74	0.930	0.265	1.5	1
2	9.51	4.35	0.150	0.205	2.5	1.5
3	18.07	16.51	0.180	0.045	3	1.8

Source: (Lokesh et al., 2020)

The mechanical behavior of natural fiber polymer composite (NFPC) is primarily determined by a large number of characteristics, including the volume percentage of fibers, fiber length, the quantitative relationship between fibers and matrix, fiber-matrix adhesion, fiber orientation, and stress transmission at the interface. (Lokesh et al., 2020). According to Lokesh et al., (2020), the excessive fiber content in composite materials degrades the mechanical characteristics of the composite due to a lack of adequate bonding between the matrix and fiber at their interface. As the result, the load transmission to the bonding fibers is disrupted as shown in Table 6. This might explain why greater compositions of bamboo fibers have lower impact and flexural strength values. The current study shows that increasing the treated content of fiber in composite materials enhances impact strength, tensile strength, and flexural strength.

Extraction of Bamboo Fiber

Bamboo culm strength is derived from multiple bamboo fibers oriented longitudinally along its length. Bamboo fiber is a natural bio-composite with the primary chemical elements of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin as shown in Table 7. Bamboo fibers are derived from bundles, which are vascular bundle components scattered within the culm's diameter. With an increasing percentage of fiber bundles, the size of the vascular bundle decreases from bottom to top. However, the fraction of fibers does not change greatly with age. Bamboo fibers have a significantly higher amount of lignin than other natural fibers, resulting in their exceptional strength (Shah et al., 2016). Stronger fibers result from more robust culm structures. Excess lignin, on the other hand, impedes the extraction process, preventing the separation of individual fibers. The lignin that remains on the fiber surface after extraction results in strong but brittle fibers (Zakikhani et al., 2014).

Bamboo fibers can be removed mechanically, chemically, or by a combination of the two methods as shown in Table 7. Tensile testing of single bamboo fiber suggests that steam explosion is the best method of extraction for creating high-strength bamboo fiber (Shah et al., 2016). After the rolling mill process, bamboo fiber has the lowest tensile strength of 270MPa. Bamboo fiber has a somewhat lower strength than kenaf, ramie, and flax. However, bamboo fibers' range of 615 to 862 MPa is included among natural fibers. Even though they all come from the same species, natural fibers, like bamboo fibers, have very different microstructures even though they all grow from the same plant. Several parameters required consideration to make a better comparison between natural fibers such as type of chemical used, controlled temperature for dying process, selected single fiber's length for tensile testing and single fiber's density.

Table 7 *Bamboo Fibers Mechanical and Physical Properties Based on Extraction Methods*

Extraction Procedure	Tensile Strength (Mpa)	Young's Modulus (Gpa)	Fibre Length (Mm)	Fibre Diameter (µm)	Density (G/Cm3)
Mechanical					
Steam explosion	516	17	-	-	-
Steam explosion	441 ± 220	36 ± 13	-	15 to 210	-
Steam explosion	383	28	-	-	-
Steam explosion	441	35.9	-	0.8 to 125	-
Steam explosion	615 to 862	35.45	-	-	-
Steam explosion	308 ± 185	25.7 ± 14.0	-	196±150	-
Rolling mill	270	-	220 to 270	100 to 600	-

Grinding Retting Crushing	450 to 800 503 420±170	18 to 30 35.91 38.2±16	- - -	- - 262±160	1.4 0.91
Chemical					
Chemical	341	19.67	-	-	0.89
Chemical	450	18	10	270	1.3
Chemical	329	22	-	-	-
Alkaline	419	30	-	-	-
Alkaline	395±155	26.1 ± 14.5	-	230 ± 180	-
Combined Mech	anical and Ch	emical			
Chemical +	645 Max:	-	> 10	50 to 400 HC:	0.8 to 0.9
Compression	1000			150 to 250	
Chemical +	370 Max:	-	120 to 170	HC: 50 to 100	-
Roller mill	480				

Source: (Zakikhani et al., 2014)

Orientation and Fiber Length

An experiment was carried out to investigate the mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composites along with the effect of fiber orientation by Rao et al., (2020). Table 8 shows the orientation of fiber could affect the mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composites. The maximum tensile strength is observed with 0° orientation for bamboo fiber reinforced composites as 125 MPa and at the minimum reading recorded with 90° orientation is 122 MPa.

Table 8 *Maximum Tensile Strength and Impact Strength for Different Orientations*

Fiber Orientation	Fiber Loading (Wt.%)	Tensile Strength (Mpa)	Impact Strength (J/M)
0°	24	125	250
90°	24	112	230

Source: (Rao et al., 2020)

Table 9The Tensile Properties of Alkali-Treated Bamboo Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Composite with Different Fiber Aspect Ratios

Average of Equivalent	Tensile Strength	Young's	Elongation (%)
Diameter (Mm)	(Mpa)	Modulus (Gpa)	
719.33–15.46 ^{+14.31}	213.47-7.15 ^{+11.27}	$11.96 - 0.87^{+0.41}$	$1.76 - 0.16^{+0.13}$
$583.80 - 33.93^{+38.20}$	$222.71 - 21.30^{+15.52}$	$13.10 - 1.65^{+1.36}$	$2.01 - 0.19^{+0.14}$
340.76–25.53+98.00	269.05-20.43+22.62	$16.43 - 1.38^{+1.59}$	$2.23 - 0.31^{+0.27}$

Source: (J. K. Huang & Young, 2019)

A test made by Huang and Young (2019) resulted three batches of alkali-treated bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composites with different fiber diameters, the tensile strength and modulus have slightly increase for smaller fiber diameter as demonstrated in Table 9.

However, the rising tendency is not as clear due to the significant variation. Tensile strength and modulus of composites with a smaller fiber diameter of 340.76 m are dramatically increased when compared to composites with a greater fiber diameter. It may be deduced that while bamboo fiber length is constant, the tensile strength and Young's modulus of bamboo fiber composites increase when bamboo fiber diameter decreases.

Bamboo Fiber Matrix Adhesion

A few chemical methods can improve the mechanical properties of bamboo fiber-reinforced composites, especially the interfacial adhesion between the fibers and the matrix, such as sodium hydroxide (NaOH), nitric acid-potassium chlorate (HNO₃–KClO₃), sodium hypochlorite (NaClO), and benzoate (Noori et al., 2021). Among the several chemical treatments given to bamboo fibers, the most common is alkaline treatment, also known as mercerization. Alkaline treatment is a chemical technique that can enhance the characteristics of composites reinforced with lignocellulosic fibers (Hassan et al., 2020). The alkaline treatment is a very successful and relatively inexpensive procedure that involves immersing the bamboo fibers in a specific concentration of aqueous sodium hydroxide (NaOH) for a certain duration and temperature (Ouarhim et al., 2018).

Table 10Properties Of Typical Thermoplastic and Thermoset Polymers Used in Fabrication of Bamboo Fiber Compositions

Property/ Thermoplastic	Polypropylene (PP)	Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)	Polylactic Acid (PLA)	Polystyrene (PS)	High-density Polyethylene (HDPE)
Density (g/cm ³)	0.899 -0.920	1.42 - 1.81	1.26	10.4 - 10.6	0.94 - 0.96
Tensile strength (MPa)	26.0 - 41.4	15 - 52	25 - 30	25 - 69	14.5 - 38.0
Elongation (%)	15 - 700	20 - 40	1.8	1.0 - 2.5	2.0 - 130
Young modulus (GPa)	0.95 - 1.77	1.12 - 3.66	2.34	4 - 5	0.4 - 1.5
Property/Thermoset	Epoxy	Polyester	Elastomer (1	natural rubber)	
Density (g/cm ³)	1.1-1.4	1.2-1.5	0.91- 0.93	,	
Tensile strength (MPa)	35-100	30.9	20-30		
Elongation (%)	1-6	2	750-850		
Young modulus (GPa)	3-6	3.1	0.001-0.005		

Source: (Shah et al., 2016)

A study found that bamboo fiber reinforced PVC thermoplastic composites has a substantial potential for application as alternative fillers in the development of sustainable biobased composites with greener and cleaner credentials (Bahari & Krause, 2016). The properties of the polymeric matrix (thermoplastic or thermoset) also affect how well a biocomposite works mechanically. Thermosetting matrices are more commonly used for high-performance advanced composites than thermoplastic matrices (reversible process). Polyethylene (PE), polystyrene (PS), nylons, polycarbonate (C), polyactals, polyamide-imide, polyether-ether kenote (PEEK), polysulphone polyphenylene sulphide, and polyether imide are examples of thermoplastic polymers.

As shown in Table 10, the mechanical properties of thermosetting polymers have substantially higher strength and stiffness values than thermoplastic polymers. Because of this, thermosetting polymers are used and thought of more often than thermoplastic polymers as structural bio-composites for building materials and structures. The numerous fibre bundles that are still bound together in the natural lignin of the bamboo strip contribute to the strip's durability as a type of fibre. The process of fabricating composites is made simpler by the

fibres' alignment in a unidirectional direction within the bamboo strips. The strips of bamboo were first impregnated with an epoxy matrix before being arranged in a unidirectional alignment in the mould of the hot press. Following the application of hot pressing to the sample, a post-curing process consisting of 12 hours at 40°C was completed (Hebel et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the design of the composites is constrained by this type of fibre since bamboo strips are unable to deform or bend easily, which makes it difficult to set certain designs of the composite mould.

Table 11The values of tensile properties bamboo fiber epoxy reinforced

BF/EP Composit	es	ALK-BF/EP Composites		
Tensile Strength	Young's Modulus	Tensile Strength	Young's Modulus	
(MPa)	(GPa)	(MPa)	(GPa)	
$167.87_{-25.01}^{+13.05}$	$8.54_{-0.98}^{+2.25}$	$222.71_{-21.30}^{+15.52}$	$13.10_{-1.65}^{+1.36}$	

Source: (J. Huang & Young, 2019)

From a test outcome made by J. Huang and Young, (2019) the untreated BF has inadequate interface adhesion with the epoxy as illustrated in Table 11. Fiber pullout test were conduct, from the outcome it was found that BF/EP composites has poor interface adhesion between the BF and epoxy while for ALK-BF/EP composites has smooth fracture surface which resulted better mechanical properties. In comparison to untreated BF, ALK-BF exhibits greater interface shear strength with the epoxy. Additionally, it was observed that the ALK-BF shear strengths showed greater dispersion, which might be due to unequal alkali washing of the bamboo fiber during the alkaline treatment procedure. According to the foregoing findings, the interfacial adhesion between the ALK-BF and the epoxy resin was improved, resulting in a greater reinforcing effect in composites.

Bamboo fibre reinforced polymer composites (BFPC) are a lightweight bio-composite with similar strength to conventional materials (Khalil et al., 2012). Based on the tensile strength of polymer matrices in Table 10, the tensile strength of its composites can be deemed according to Shah et al., (2016). Commonly, the result of tensile properties in composites is better when higher fibre content. Nevertheless, at some point, corresponding to Shah et al., (2016) the matrices are unable to completely bind all the fibres, resulting in a reduction in tensile strength as fibre loading rises. A research made by Thwe and Liao (2002), showed that by increasing the percentage of bamboo fibre reinforced polypropylene (BFRP) it merely increased the tensile strength of the composites and furthering rising the percentage would have a negative effect on tensile strength. Moreover, more void formations in the composite resulting from inadequate handling during fabrication later then lead to micro crack formation under loading and reduce tensile strength. The outcome of the research the optimum percentage of fibre content by mass is 30% for BRFP considering both tensile strength and tensile modulus.

Moreover, research by Daramola et al., (2019) soaking the bamboo strips 0.5M NaOH in 1dm³ of water at room temperature for 3 days and subjected to a pressure of 2MPa to loosen the fiber then dried in oven at 50°C for 24h before cutting to shorter lengths of 25mm. The effect of chemical treatment towards the bamboo fiber resulted the surface of bamboo fiber appeared rough showing many outgrowths or fibrillation but less prominent. Not to mention, it enhanced the interfacial adhesion and strength of composite. The result for the tensile strength of reinforced high-density polyethylene (HDPE) reaches its highest strength with 6wt.% composite with a value of 29.4MPa. However, there is a drop of tensile strength by increasing the fiber volume because of the tensile properties are dependent on the orientation of fiber and fiber/matrix interfacial adhesion (Daramola et al., 2019). Not to mention, the stress-

strain ration yield at higher value caused by 2wt.% bamboo fiber which required higher load to fracture and possessed higher hardness which indicate that the surface of composite is more rigid and higher resistance to penetration.

Chin et al., (2020) stated that, there is similarity in lower tensile and flexural strength for both BFRPC and BFVC contrasted to BFREC. Alternatively, for BFRPC and BFRVC the ultimate tensile modulus is vaguely greater compared to BFREC by 12% and 13% correspondingly. Furthermore, there also a slightly higher in ultimate flexural modulus by 40% for BFRPC fiber loading. The mechanical performance with different types of BFRC for BFRVC, BFRPC, and BFREC was found increased in order. The performance of epoxy resin resulted a stronger adhesive behavior for reinforcement bonding ability, improved in resist to fatigue and micro-cracking. It reported that the tensile strength for epoxy matrix was nearly 20-30% which is higher than polyester and vinylester. Lastly, epoxy matrix has the ability accessing bamboo fiber flaws which result in better load transferred. From the previous studies that being made, the result indicates the presence of a good compatibility between the bamboo fiber and matrix which enhances the physical and mechanical properties (Chin et al., 2020).

Summarize for Mechanical Properties of Bamboo Fiber Biocomposite

The mechanical properties of bamboo fiber bio composites are influenced by a variety of elements, including the type of polymer matrix, the related fiber types, processing, and morphologies of the fibers, as well as their dispersion, distribution, orientation, and interfacial interactions (Kumar et al., 2019). Additionally, a bamboo fiber bio composite's strength is more dependent on the fiber than the matrix because the strength of reinforcing fiber is higher than that of the matrix material. As a result, the bamboo fiber bio composite's strength can be increased to a certain extent by adding more fiber to the composite structure (Abdellaoui et al., 2019). However, as the amount of bamboo fiber exceeds the limit, the amount of porosity in the composite increases, and the load transferred via the interface decreases, which causes a greater reduction in the strength and stiffness of the composite material (Faruque & Bilisik, 2020).

Additionally, when the amount of fiber in a composite structure rise, the material absorbs more water, which frequently compromises the mechanical properties of the composite and agreed by Faruque & Bilisik, (2020). The length of the reinforcement bamboo fiber also has a significant impact on the mechanical properties of the composite, in addition to the amount of fiber present (Pickering et al., 2016). The bamboo fiber's load-bearing capacity improves with increasing aspect ratio. It should be observed that an extremely long bamboo fiber can tangle and cause an uneven distribution of the reinforcement and the fiber. The composite matrix, which shields the surface of the bamboo fiber from external stresses, is another crucial component. The polymer matrix increases the composite's durability by transferring forces applied externally to the reinforcement materials. The strength of the bio composites increases with the amount of interfacial interaction between the bamboo fibers and matrix. The interfacial bonding is crucial and the real indication of the composite qualities when the applied load is passed from the matrix to the reinforcing components (Ferreira et al., 2017). The hydrophobicity of the bamboo fibers, the interfacial bonding between matrix and fiber, and the roughness all need to be improved in order to increase the interfacial bonding between the bamboo fiber and the matrix. Several processes, including mechanical interlocking, chemical bonding, electrostatic bonding, and inter-diffusion bonding, are typically used to strengthen the link between the fiber and matrix (Gholampour, 2020).

CONCLUSION

From the preceding discussion, it can be concluded that bamboo fibers bio composite are potential candidates for replacing synthetic fibers as reinforcement in polymer composite

due to their excellent properties, including low density, low cost, high impact resistance, and high flexibility, low specific gravity, less abrasiveness to equipment, biodegradability, biocompatibility, and renewability. Although it is clear that bamboo fibers have significant shortcomings, such as higher moisture content, these can be fixed by implementing various physical and chemical changes. Bamboo fibers' poor wettability and lower moisture resistance limit their use in composites to some extent, however, chemical treatment can fix these issues. Some techniques for processing natural fiber reinforced polymer composite include hand layup, injection molding, and compression molding. The amount of cellulose and hemicellulose has a significant impact on these composites' mechanical characteristics. The diameter, length of the fiber, fiber content, and manufacturing method are a few more elements that influence the mechanical properties of composites. The tribological characteristics of a composite are affected by the different fiber orientations. By increasing the fiber loading, composite materials' wear resistance is improved. With an increase in fiber loading, these composites' coefficient of friction both rises and falls. There are numerous natural fibers that can be employed as reinforcement for the creation of novel natural fiber reinforced polymer composite materials.

Future generations' sustainable future depends on the current industrial trend toward eco-efficient products and processes. High-performance, biodegradable materials and renewable plant materials can offer a new foundation for sustainable and eco-efficient advance technology products, competing with synthetic/petroleum-based products that are depleting natural petroleum feedstock. Natural fibers and bio composites derived from natural sources can replace petroleum-based products in the future. Bamboo is a renewable feedstock with fast growth and good mechanical qualities. Using advanced technology to make biocomposites from bamboo fiber changes the future. Well-designed bamboo goods can help preserve natural resources. Based on this review, bamboo fibers can be used for advanced and designed product creation. It will be an alternate technique to manufacture bio composites that can be utilized for household furnishings, construction, fencing, decking, flooring, and lightweight automotive components or sports equipment. Low cost, accessible availability, and elegant designs will turn the dependent present into a sustainable future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Lestari SDG Triangle 2019 – (Grant No., supported this research - 600-RMC/LESTART SDG-T 513 (099/2019) from the Universiti Teknologi MARA. The authors are grateful to the sponsor for the financial supports of this research works.

REFERENCES

- Amada, S., Ichikawa, Y., Munekata, T., & Shimizu, H. (1997). Fiber texture and mechanical graded structure of bamboo. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 8368(96), 13–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-8368(96)00020-0
- Bahari, S. A., & Krause, A. (2016). Utilizing Malaysian bamboo for use in thermoplastic composites. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 110, 16–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.03.052
- Bourmaud, A., Beaugrand, J., Shah, D. U., Placet, V., & Baley, C. (2018). Progress in Materials Science Towards the design of high-performance plant fi bre composites. *Progress in Materials Science*, 97 (July 2017), 347–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2018.05.005
- Chen, C., Li, H., Dauletbek, A., Shen, F., Hui, D., Gaff, M., Lorenzo, R., Corbi, I., Corbi, O., & Ashraf, M. (2022). *Properties and Applications of Bamboo Fiber*—*A Current-State-of-the Art*. https://doi.org/10.32604/jrm.2022.018685

- Chin, S. C., Tee, K. F., Tong, F. S., Ong, H. R., & Gimbun, J. (2020). Thermal and mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced composites. *Materials Today Communications*, 23(September 2019), 100876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2019.100876
- Daramola, O. O., Akinwekomi, A. D., Adediran, A. A., Akindote-White, O., & Sadiku, E. R. (2019). Mechanical performance and water uptake behaviour of treated bamboo fibre-reinforced high-density polyethylene composites. *Heliyon*, *5*(7), e02028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02028
- Dasore, A., Rajak, U., Balijepalli, R., Verma, T. N., & Ramakrishna, K. (2021). An overview of refinements, processing methods and properties of natural fiber composites. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, xxxx. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.103
- Faruque, A. Al, & Bilisik, K. (2020). Plant-Based Natural Fibre Reinforced Composites: A Review on Fabrication, Properties and Applications. *Coatings*, 10, 1–34.
- Gao, X., Zhu, D., Fan, S., Rahman, M. Z., Guo, S., & Chen, F. (2022). Structural and mechanical properties of bamboo fiber bundle and fiber/bundle reinforced composites: a review. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 19, 1162–1190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2022.05.077
- Hassan, M. Z., Roslan, S. A., Sapuan, S. M., Rasid, Z. A., Mohd Nor, A. F., Md Daud, M. Y., Dolah, R., & Mohamed Yusoff, M. Z. (2020). Mercerization optimization of bamboo (Bambusa vulgaris) fiber-reinforced epoxy composite structures using a Box-Behnken design. *Polymers*, 12(6), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12061367
- Hebel, D. E., Javadian, A., Heisel, F., Schlesier, K., Griebel, D., & Wielopolski, M. (2014). Process-controlled optimization of the tensile strength of bamboo fiber composites for structural applications. *Composites Part B*, 67, 125–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2014.06.032
- Huang, J. K., & Young, W. Bin. (2019). The mechanical, hygral, and interfacial strength of continuous bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 166(July 2018), 272–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.12.013
- Huang, J., & Young, W. (2019). The mechanical, hygral, and interfacial strength of continuous bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Composites Part B*, 166(December 2018), 272–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.12.013
- Jawaid, M., Awad, S., Fouad, H., Asim, M., Saba, N., & Dhakal, H. N. (2021). Improvements in the thermal behaviour of date palm/bamboo fibres reinforced epoxy hybrid composites. *Composite Structures*, 277(September). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.114644
- Karimah, A., & Rasyidur, M. (2021). A review on natural fibers for development of ecofriendly bio-composite: characteristics, and utilizations A review on natural fibers for development of eco-friendly bio-composite: characteristics. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 13, 2442–2458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.06.014
- Khalil, H. P. S. A., Bhat, I. U. H., Jawaid, M., Zaidon, A., Hermawan, D., & Hadi, Y. S. (2012). Bamboo fibre reinforced biocomposites: A review. *Materials and Design*, 42, 353–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.06.015
- Liu, D., Song, J., Anderson, D. P., Chang, P. R., & Hua, Y. (2012). Bamboo fiber and its reinforced composites: structure and properties. 1449–1480. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-012-9741-1
- Lokesh, P., Surya Kumari, T. S. A., Gopi, R., & Loganathan, G. B. (2020). A study on mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced polymer composite. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 22(xxxx), 897–903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.11.100
- Noori, A., Lu, Y., Saffari, P., Liu, J., & Ke, J. (2021). The effect of mercerization on thermal and mechanical properties of bamboo fibers as a biocomposite material: A review.

- Construction and Building Materials, 279, 122519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122519
- Ortega, F., Versino, F., Valeria, O., María, L., & García, A. (2021). Biobased composites from agro industrial wastes and by products. In *Emergent Materials*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42247-021-00319-x
- Osorio, L., Trujillo, E., Van Vuure, A. W., & Verpoest, I. (2011). Morphological aspects and mechanical properties of single bamboo fibers and flexural characterization of bamboo/epoxy composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 5, 396–408. https://doi.org/10.1177/0731684410397683
- Ouarhim, W., Zari, N., Bouhfid, R., & Qaiss, A. E. K. (2018). Mechanical performance of natural fibers-based thermosetting composites. *Mechanical and Physical Testing of Biocomposites, Fibre-Reinforced Composites and Hybrid Composites*, 43–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102292-4.00003-5
- Pramudi, G., Raharjo, W. W., Ariawan, D., & Arifin, Z. (2021). Utilization of Bamboo Fiber in the Development of Environmentally Friendly Composite A Review Utilization of Bamboo Fiber in the Development of Environmentally Friendly Composite A Review. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1096(1), 012038. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1096/1/012038
- Rao, H. R., Reddy, K. M., Reddy, P. V., & Reddy, R. M. (2020). Effect of fibre orientation on mechanical properties of Hardwika binata and bamboo fibre reinforced epoxy composites. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 21, 1265–1269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.01.116
- Rasheed, M., Jawaid, M., Parveez, B., Zuriyati, A., & Khan, A. (2020). Morphological, chemical and thermal analysis of cellulose nanocrystals extracted from bamboo fibre. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 160, 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.05.170
- Saba, N., Jawaid, M., Sultan, M. T. H., & Alothman, O. Y. (2017). *Green Biocomposites for Structural Applications Green composites*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49382-4
- Sanjay, M. R., Siengchin, S., Parameswaranpillai, J., Jawaid, M., Iulian, C., & Khan, A. (2019). A comprehensive review of techniques for natural fi bers as reinforcement in composites: Preparation, processing and characterization. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 207, 108–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.11.083
- Shah, A. U. M., Sultan, M. T. H., Jawaid, M., Cardona, F., & Talib, A. R. A. (2016). A Review on the Tensile Properties of Bamboo Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites. *BioResources*, 11(4), 10654–10676. https://doi.org/10.15376/BIORES.11.4.10654-10676
- Sun, X., He, M., & Li, Z. (2020). Novel engineered wood and bamboo composites for structural applications: State-of-art of manufacturing technology and mechanical performance evaluation. *Construction and Building Materials*, 249(1239), 118751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118751
- Thwe, M. M., & Liao, K. (2002). Effects of environmental aging on the mechanical properties of bamboo \pm glass @ ber reinforced polymer matrix hybrid composites, 33, 43–52.
- Varis, J., Chauhan, V., & Ka, T. (2019). Review of natural fiber- reinforced engineering plastic composites, their applications in the transportation sector and processing techniques. *Thermoplastic Composite Materials*, 35(8), 1–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/0892705719889095
- Wahab, R., Mustafa, M. T., Sudin, M., & Mohamed, A. (2013). Extractives, Holocellulose, α -Cellulose, Lignin and Ash Contents in Cultivated Extractives, Holocellulose, α Cellulose, Lignin and Ash Contents in Cultivated Tropical. *Biological Sciences*, *4*(3), 1235–1247.

- Wang, H., Wang, H., Li, W., Ren, D., & Yu, Y. (2013). Effects of Moisture Content on the Mechanical Properties of Moso Bamboo at the Macroscopic and Cellular Levels. *Biological Sciences*, 8(4), 5475–5484.
- Wang, F., Shao, J., Keer, L. M., Li, L., & Zhang, J. (2015). The effect of elementary fibre variability on bamboo fibre strength. 75, 136–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.03.019
- Wang, X., Ren, H., Zhang, B., Fei, B., & Burgert, I. (2012). Cell wall structure and formation of maturing fibres of moso bamboo (Phyllostachys pubescens) increase buckling resistance. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 9, 988–996. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2011.0462
- Yang, Z., Peng, H., Wang, W., & Liu, T. (2010). Crystallization behavior of poly(ε-caprolactone)/layered double hydroxide nanocomposites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 116(5), 2658–2667. https://doi.org/10.1002/app
- Yatim, J. M., Hafizah, N., Abd, B., & Mahjoub, R. (2010). *Biocomposites for the Construction*. *i*, 1–29.
- Zakikhani, P., Zahari, R., Sultan, M. T. H., & Majid, D. L. (2014). Extraction and preparation of bamboo fibre-reinforced composites. *Materials and Design*, 63, 820–828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.06.058

Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Perak Kampus Seri Iskandar 32610 Bandar Baru Seri Iskandar, Perak Darul Ridzuan, MALAYSIA Tel: (+605) 374 2093/2453 Faks: (+605) 374 2299



Prof. Madya Dr. Nur Hisham Ibrahim Rektor Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Perak Surat kami : 700-KPK (PRP.UP.1/20/1) : 20 Januari 2023

TERIMA

2 5 JAN 2023

Tindakan
Universil Teknologi MARA Perasi

**DEMBAT REKTOR

Tuan.

PERMOHONAN KELULUSAN MEMUAT NAIK PENERBITAN UITM CAWANGAN PERAK MELALUI REPOSITORI INSTITUSI UITM (IR)

Perkara di atas adalah dirujuk.

- 2. Adalah dimaklumkan bahawa pihak kami ingin memohon kelulusan tuan untuk mengimbas (digitize) dan memuat naik semua jenis penerbitan di bawah UiTM Cawangan Perak melalui Repositori Institusi UiTM, PTAR.
- 3. Tujuan permohonan ini adalah bagi membolehkan akses yang lebih meluas oleh pengguna perpustakaan terhadap semua maklumat yang terkandung di dalam penerbitan melalui laman Web PTAR UiTM Cawangan Perak.

Kelulusan daripada pihak tuan dalam perkara ini amat dihargai.

Sekian, terima kasih.

"BERKHIDMAT UNTUK NEGARA"

Saya yang menjalankan amanah,

Setuju.

27.1-2023

PROF. MADYA DR. NUR HISHAM IBRAHIM REKTOR UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA CAWANGAN PERAK KAMPUS SERI ISKANDAR

SITI BASRIYAH SHAIK BAHARUDIN Timbalan Ketua Pustakawan

nar