UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

DEVELOPING A RESILIENCE DESIGN FRAMEWORK FOR DISASTER EVACUATION CENTRES

AAINAA BINTI SUHARDI

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** (Design and Built Environment)

Faculty of Architecture, Planning and Surveying

July 2022

ABSTRACT

Due to the challenges of disasters in Malaysia, local residents and relief teams are given early warning and time to mitigate and prepare for evacuation in advance. Disaster victims will be carefully assisted to the designated public buildings for shelter, safety, and protection. However, the existing spaces and facilities of these buildings are mainly designed for their specific purposes. The inefficiency of spaces and facilities was recognised as a major issue. Therefore, the buildings' resilience is questionable. Thus, the Multidisciplinary Centre for Earthquake Engineering Research's 4R resilience framework study is considered practical for the centre's resilience investigation. It combines robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and rapidity to build a more disaster-resilient centre. The research employs a multistage investigation that combines qualitative and quantitative methods. Firstly, document analyses of official shelter guidelines; secondly, a case study of selected disaster evacuation centres; thirdly, semistructured interviews; and finally, a questionnaire survey for field testing. The main findings of the research are the minimum design standards, the space provisions of the centre and the integration of resilience properties into the design and space. Resilience is very important in disaster evacuation centres, where the design and layout should be planned in advance to be able to withstand future disasters and recover from the damage they cause. A triangulation process is used during the development of the operational framework, which takes place in the final stage of the research. The utility of the framework has been tested in previous case studies and verified through the peer debriefing method. The final building evaluation form is also being tested on an operating evacuation centre. This process indicates the reliable outcomes from the framework testing. As a whole, the resilience design framework achieves multiple goals: improving robustness during disaster preparedness, encouraging rapid response and recovery, and utilising redundant resources and resourceful solutions in the centre.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, Allah's blessings have brought me to the completion of this thesis. I thank Him for all the opportunities and trials He has showered me throughout this bittersweet journey. Firstly, I wish to sincerely thank my supervisory committee, Professor Madya Dr. Ismail Samsuddin and Dr. Dzulkarnaen Ismail from the Faculty of Architecture, Planning and Surveying of University Teknologi Mara, Seri Iskandar campus, Perak. Thank you to their guidance, understanding, patience and most importantly, I have gained positive encouragement to complete this thesis. It has been a great pleasure and honour to have both great minds as my supervisors.

My deepest gratitude goes to all of my family members, especially my husband, Muhammad Alif Anwar and my beautiful children, Budiman, Ilham and Bakti. It would not be possible to write this thesis without the support from my dearest mother Noorul, my brother Aizzat, sister Khairunisaa and nieces Aeishah and Raeisah, my parent inlaws Sawiyah and Saa'ri, and my brother in-laws, Farhan, Sufyan, Fauzan and Afifi.

I offer my special thanks to my colleagues: Pn. Roslinda, En. Mohd. Najib and En. Amir Fasha for their ongoing motivation and their sincere help during this PhD journey.

I would sincerely like to thank all of my beloved friends who support me throughout my studies. Most importantly I would like to thank Arina, Zaleha, Anis Aida and Dhiya for their contributions in this research.

Last but not least, this thesis is dedicated to the loving memory of my late father Ar. Suhardi Noordin for his vision and determination to educate me this far. This piece of victory is dedicated to you.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CON	FIRMA	TION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTI	HOR'S	DECLARATION	iii
ABST	RACT		iv
ACK	NOWL	EDGEMENT	V
TABI	LEOF	CONTENT	vi
LIST	OF TA	BLES	X
LIST	OF FI	GURES	XV
LIST	OF AB	BREVIATIONS	xxii
CHA	PTER (ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Resear	rch Background	1
1.2	Motiv	ation	2
1.3	Proble	em Statement	3
1.4	Objec	tives	6
1.5	Scope	s and Limitations of Study	6
1.6	Defini	tion of Terms	7
1.7	Signif	icance of Study	8
1.8	Metho	dology	9
1.9	Outlin	e of Research	11
1.10	Summ	ary	14
СНА	PTFR 1	FWO LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2 1	Introd	uction	15
2.1 2.2	Disast	er studies and resilience in emergency sheltering	16
2.2	271	Disaster management cycle	16
	2.2.1 2 2 2	Disaster frameworks and resilience concepts in disaster	17
	2.2.2	Enhancing disaster prenaredness towards effective disaster response	1/
	2.2.3	Emininening disuster preparedness to wards effective disuster response	18
	2.2.4	Disaster evacuation centre as emergency sheltering	19
	2.2.5	Disaster shelter design guidelines	20
2.3	Disast	er resilience in Malavsia	26
	2.3.1	Disaster events in Malavsia	26
	2.3.2	Linking disaster risk management to concepts of disaster resilience	31
	2.3.3	Malaysian resilience agenda	32
	2.3.4	Roles of government in disaster risk reduction (DRR) in Malaysia	32
	2.3.5	Total Disaster Risk Management (TDRM) developed by Mercy	
		Malaysia	33
2.4	The in	nportance of resilience in disaster evacuation centres	34
	2.4.1	Shelter resilience towards disaster	34
	2.4.2	Adaptation of disaster resilience strategies and the MCEER 4R	
		resilience framework	35

	2.4.3	Defining resilience of a disaster evacuation centre	37
	2.4.4	Measuring the resilience of a disaster evacuation centre	39
2.5	Disast	er evacuation centres in Malaysia	41
	2.5.1	Main challenges of the design and space in the disaster evacuation	L
		centre	41
	2.5.2	Compliance with official shelter guidelines	43
	2.5.3	Compliance with the Directive No.20 (Malaysian National Security	7
		Council, 2012)	43
	2.5.4	Compliance with the Uniform Building By-Laws (1984)	44
	2.5.5	Compliance with our cultural needs and religious beliefs	45
	2.5.6	Case study of Likas Sports Complex, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah	46
	2.5.7	Case study of Kuala Lumpur Humanitarian Response Depot (HRD))
		or AHA Centre, Subang	48
2.6	The sh	elter design standards in disaster evacuation centres	50
	2.6.1	The site planning of a disaster evacuation centre	50
	2.6.2	The spatial layout of a disaster evacuation centre	51
	2.6.3	The existing design of a disaster evacuation centre	52
	2.6.4	The existing construction of disaster evacuation centre	53
2.7	The sp	bace provision in disaster evacuation centres	53
	2.7.1	Perceiving spaces of the disaster evacuation centre	53
	2.7.2	Space provisions of a disaster evacuation centre	55
	2.7.3	The theoretical framework of resilience design for a disaster	
		evacuation centre	56
	2.7.4	The conceptual design framework of resilience design for a disaster	
20	C	evacuation centre	- <u>59</u>
2.8	Summ	evacuation centre ary	59 60
2.8	Summ	evacuation centre hary	59 60
2.8	Summ	evacuation centre ary THREE METHODOLOGY	59 60 61
2.8 CHA 3.1	Summ PTER T Introd	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction	59 60 61 61
2.8 CHAI 3.1 3.2	Summ PTER 7 Introd Metho	evacuation centre ary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages	 59 60 61 61 65
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3	Summ PTER T Introd Methc Docur	evacuation centre aary THREE METHODOLOGY uction odology stages nent analysis	 59 60 61 61 65 66
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages nent analysis htudy	 59 60 61 61 65 66 69
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi-	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages nent analysis study structured interview	 59 60 61 61 65 66 69 71
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel	evacuation centre ary THREE METHODOLOGY uction odology stages nent analysis study structured interview opment of the operational framework	 59 60 61 61 65 66 69 71 73
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio	evacuation centre ary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bology stages nent analysis study structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing	 59 60 61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applic Summ	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages nent analysis htudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary	 59 60 61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ	evacuation centre ary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages ment analysis study structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77
2.8 CHAI 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages nent analysis htudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77
2.8 CHAI 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHAI	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applic Summ	evacuation centre ary THREE METHODOLOGY uction odology stages nent analysis study structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing ary	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.1	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi Devel Applic Summ PTER I Introd	evacuation centre ary FHREE METHODOLOGY uction bology stages nent analysis structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ PTER I Introd Docur	evacuation centre ary FHREE METHODOLOGY uction bdology stages nent analysis tudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction nent analysis findings Udentification of relevant design considerations in efficient duty	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 78 79
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2	Summ PTER 7 Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ PTER I Introd Docur 4.2.1	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bodology stages ment analysis study structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction ment analysis findings Identification of relevant design considerations in official shelter midelings	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 79 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2	Summ PTER 7 Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ PTER I Introd Docur 4.2.1	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction bology stages nent analysis tudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction nent analysis findings Identification of relevant design considerations in official shelter guidelines Udentification of key shelter design stendards	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 79 79 60
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ PTER I Introd Docur 4.2.1	evacuation centre hary FHREE METHODOLOGY uction dology stages nent analysis tudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction nent analysis findings Identification of relevant design considerations in official shelter guidelines Identification of key shelter design standards Identification of key shelter design standards	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 79 86
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2	Summ PTER 7 Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applio Summ PTER I Introd Docur 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction dology stages nent analysis study structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction nent analysis findings Identification of relevant design considerations in official shelter guidelines Identification of key shelter design standards Identification of resilience properties in the shelter design standards	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 78 79 86 5 6 6 6 71 73 75 77 78 79 86 6 6 6 6 6 6 79 79 86 79 79 79 70 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 79 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 75 77 78 79 75 79 75 79 75 77 75 77 76 77 77 78 77 79 75 77 77 77 78 77 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 70 7 70 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2	Summ PTER 7 Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applid Summ PTER 1 Introd Docur 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	evacuation centre hary THREE METHODOLOGY uction dology stages nent analysis itudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing hary FOUR FINDINGS uction nent analysis findings Identification of relevant design considerations in official shelter guidelines Identification of key shelter design standards Identification of resilience properties in the shelter design standards	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 79 86 95 97
2.8 CHA 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 CHA 4.1 4.2 4.3	Summ PTER T Introd Metho Docur Case s Semi- Devel Applid Summ PTER I Introd Docur 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Case s	evacuation centre ary FHREE METHODOLOGY uction dology stages nent analysis tudy structured interview opment of the operational framework cation of the operational framework on case studies and field testing ary FOUR FINDINGS uction nent analysis findings Identification of relevant design considerations in official shelter guidelines Identification of key shelter design standards Identification of resilience properties in the shelter design standards Identification of resilience properties in the shelter design standards	61 61 65 66 69 71 73 75 77 78 78 79 86 95 97 95 97 77 78 79 86 79 79 79 79 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70