

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MEASUREMENT AND ITS EFFECT ON COMPANIES' FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN MALAYSIA

EFFI ZULAICCA BINTI MD NAZID 2013835096

BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
(HONS) FINANCE
FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA
SEGAMAT, JOHOR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Through the following dissertation is an individual work, I could never have explored the depths without the help, support, guidance and efforts of a lot of people. First of all, I would like to thank to Allah Almighty who enabled me to research on such a hot issue of these days. I am grateful for the moral support extended with love by my parents whose financial support and passionate encouragement made it possible for me to complete this study.

A special gratitude to my advisor, Madam Diana Mazan, who has helped me a lot throughout the entire semester with her constant guidance and encouragement including her advices and experiences on doing project paper. For her unwavering support, I am truly grateful.

Not to forget, my beloved friends. Without the help from my friends, I would never be able to complete this thesis. They have helped me a lot with the data, analysis and other things that are related with this study. Lastly, thank you to my parents for their assistance, continuous support and sincere blessings.

Thank you.

ABSTRACT

Corporate governance is defined as the system of processes by which the company is controlled where the participants such as board of directors, managers, shareholders and auditors need to manage the business and affairs of the company towards achieving the company's short and long term goals. In short, corporate governance plays an important role in ensuring the best performance of the company. The purpose of this study is to determine the effects of corporate governance on property industries' financial performance. Several companies such as MK Land Holdings Berhad, Damansara Realty Berhad, YTL Land and Development Berhad, Sapura Resources Berhad, Country Heights Holdings Berhad and Amcorp Properties Berhad are selected to investigate the financial performance. Board size, audit quality, leverage and firm size are examples of corporate governance. Board size is the number of directors on the board of the company. Audit quality is calculated by dividing statutory audit fees to the amount of sales. Leverage is the amount of total debt divided by the total assets of the firm. Meanwhile, firm size is the firm's total assets. Corporate governance has basic rules to guarantee managers do the right thing under the constant threat that they might face real competition and others. Shareholders have rights in corporate governance such as voting, inspecting, receive dividends also sue and considerations. Besides that, corporate governance also has its own functions, for example, goals and risk management, to ensure accountability which allows for flexibility in adjusting goals, shareholder's meetings and government regulations.

Table of Contents

TTTLE PAGE	i
DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORKii	i
LETTER OF SUBMISSIONiii	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv	,
ABSTRACTv	,
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION1	
1.1 Background of Study	
1.2 Problem Statements5	,
1.3 Research Objectives	
1.3.1 Main Research Objective5	
1.3.2 Specific Research Objectives5	
1.4 Research Questions6	
1.4.1 Main Research Question6	
1.4.2 Specific Research Questions6	
1.5 Significant of Study7	
1.6 Scope of Study7	
1.7 Limitation of the Study8	
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW10	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Empirical Evidence on Board Size11	
2.3 Empirical Evidence on Audit Quality	
2.4 Empirical Evidence on Leverage	
2.5 Empirical Evidence on Firm Size	
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY15	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Sample Data	
3.2.1 Data Collection 15	

3.2.1.1 Secondary Data	i
3.2.1.2 Electronic Sources	16
3.3 Variables	10
3.3.1 Dependent Variable	10
3.3.2 Independent Variable	17
3.4 Method of Data Analysis	19
3.4.1 Simple Linear Regression Model	
3.4.2 Descriptive Test	20
3.4.3 Unit Root Test	21
3.4.4 Heteroscedasticity	21
3.5 Theoretical Framework	22
3.6 Hypothesis	23
CHAPTER 4: DATA ANALYSIS	
4.1 Descriptive Stats	24
4.2 Unit Root Test	26
4.3 Multicollinearity	27
4.4 Regression Analysis	28
4.5 Normality Test	30
4.6 Heteroscedasticity	31
4.7 Comparing OLS and FE	32
4.8 Hausman Test	33
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION	34
CHAPTER 6: RECOMMENDATION	36
REFERENCES	37
APPENDIX	30