

A COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE BETWEEN GOVERNMENT UNIT TRUST FUND AND PRIVATE SECTOR UNIT TRUST FUND; COMPARISON BETWEEN PERMODALAN NASIONAL BERHAD AND PUBLIC MUTUAL FUND, HLG UNIT TRUSTS, SBB MUTUAL.

## **MOHD AZRIE BIN JAMAL**

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Bachelor of Business Administration (Hons) Finance

# FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION UITM JOHOR

2006

### Acknowledgement

In The Name of Allah, very grateful and praise to the Almighty Allah, the Most Gracious, Most Merciful and benevolent for the blessing that give me strength and patience in completing this research project on time. This study is a project of combined efforts of many individuals and I am gratefully acknowledging their contribution.

This research was the prepared to fulfill the requirement of Bachelor in Business Administration (Hons.) Finance. This piece of research has given me the opportunity to gain more knowledge than the mere classes attended. Notably, I am being exposed to the current issues on finance before continuing with the future endeavor challenges.

For my special acknowledgement, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and thanks to my advisor, Madam Faridah Najuna Bte Misman whose inspiring ideas and suggestions with skillful guideline as she were very helpful in preparing and completing this study. Not to be forgotten to the librarians of University Technology MARA, Johor Branch for their cooperation and assist during the data gathering process. Without those attributes, I will not be able to go as far as now.

Last but not least, to Encik Zulkifli Bin Mohamed with the assist in analyzing the data and friends for their understanding of my tasks and their encouragement and supports. May Allah bless all of you.

Thank you.

TAB	LE OF	CONTENT	PAGE		
			iv		
LIST	OF TA	BLES	vi		
ABS	KNOWLEDGEMENT T OF TABLES STRACT  APTERS  INTRODUCTION  1.1 Introduction 1.2 Problem Identification 1.3 Research Objectives 1.4 Significance of study 1.5 Scope of Study 1.6 Limitation of Study 1.7 Definition of Terms  LITERATURE REVIEW  2.1 Introduction 2.2 Overview of Malaysian Mutual Funds industry 2.3 Evidences from previous studies  RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DESIGN  3.1 Data Collection 3.2 Methodology  FINDINGS OF THE STUDY  4.1 Introduction 4.2 Average Return table 4.3 Total Risk (Standard Deviation) table 4.4 Coefficient of Variation table 4.5 Systematic Risk (Beta) table 4.6 The Treynor, Sharpe and Jensen Performance Index table  CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION  5.1 Conclusion	vii			
CHAPTERS					
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION			
			1		
			4		
			5		
			5		
			6		
			6		
	1.7	Definition of Terms	7		
2.0	LITERATURE REVIEW				
			8		
			8		
	2.3	Evidences from previous studies	10		
3.0	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DESIGN				
		Data Collection	15		
	3.2	Methodology	16		
4.0	FINDINGS OF THE STUDY				
			23		
			23		
			25		
			26		
			27		
	4.6	The Treynor, Sharpe and Jensen Performance Index table	28		
5.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION				
			32		
	5.2	Recommendation	33		
BIBLIOGRAPHY APPENDICES		35 37			

## LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
1.	Average Return	23
2.	Total Risk (Standard Deviation)	25
3.	Coefficient of Variation	26
4.	Systematic Risk (Beta)	27
5.	Treynor's Performance Index	28
6.	Sharpe's Performance Index	29
7	Jensen's Performance Index	30

#### **ABSTRACT**

The study investigates the government and private sector unit trust fund performance in 2001 to 2005. A monthly closing price data of 10 growth funds was taken from the Malaysian financial market in the period under study. All the samples are from the equity funds which is one of the categories of unit trusts. The funds will be ranked order to see the performance according to Treynor, Sharpe and Jensen. There are many advantages of investing in unit trust. But the question is do all the advantages works all the time and is it possible for an investor to fully rely on fund manager's professionalism?

This study tries to see which sector of unit trusts that may perform between the government unit trusts and the private sector unit trusts based on the ranking. The measure of performance applied in this study includes the non-risk adjusted performance measures, risk-adjusted performance measures and the measurement of risks. The result revealed that the private sector unit trusts is performing better than the government unit trusts.