



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We would like to thank God Almighty without whose blessing we will not have successfully completed this study.

Completing this research was a challenging project for us. However, fortunately we had the help of many parties that made this project less difficult. Even though a lot of problems arise in completing this study such as we lose the variables data in computer and so on, but we never give up to finish this study. We would like to thank to Professor Dr. Hazman Shah for his supportive and guidance in dealing with this study. Again, we thank to him from the bottom of our hearts for his guidance and motivation.

Our Supervisor, Professor Madya Dr. Nasruddin Mohammad deserves a special mention here. We would like to thank a lot because of his guidance, supportive and motivation make us success in completing this study on time. His toughness made us work harder and for that we will always be grateful.

We also would to thank the lecturers from Faculty of Administrative Science, Faculty of Mass Communication and Faculty Sports Science for their cooperation and motivation.

Lastly, we also take this opportunity to thank a lot to all of the respondents from the different programs for their cooperation to answer our questionnaires.

Thank You.

Marni bt Ghazali  
Suliana bt Arifin  
Bachelor of Administrative Science (Hons)  
Universiti Teknologi Mara, Shah Alam.



## **THE ABSTRACT**

### **ABSTRACT**

Teaching and learning styles, either match or mismatch has become the main issue in higher education system. The match between teaching and learning will offer a good implication to the education system. It is due to the matching between teaching and learning styles could offer a good image to the higher institution since they are able to produce good students with a good CGPA achievement.

The researchers have conducted a research in higher education concerning any differences between the individual learners and lecturers teaching styles in term of styles and strategies. Therefore, by having a good teaching styles, it would encourage the students to perform better in their study and at the same time it could minimize the poor achievement during tests or exams.

This study has examined the teaching styles and learning styles, students' perception toward lecturers teaching styles and students learning styles as to identify whether both of the styles are match or mismatch. Other than that this study also is to identify the problem faced by the students due to the mismatch between teaching and learning styles. Thus, about 110 respondents were selected that consist 100 students i.e. 25 students from three faculties and another 10 are lecturers. For the students we have conducted sets of questionnaires whereas for the lecturers, an interview was conducted. The questionnaires are divided into two parts; the first part is the basic question meanwhile to the second part requires the students to think. On the lecturers' side, in interview question, which consist of eight questions, was conducted in order to get the lecturers perception toward the lecturers teaching styles and their perception towards their students learning styles.

All the relationship can be measured by using correlation method cross tabulation and the frequency method. Through the findings, we found that it can be either match or mismatch between teaching and learning styles.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

1.1 Introduction	1-2
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research objectives	4
1.4 Scope of the study	4
1.5 Significance of the study	4-5
1.6 Limitation of the study	5
1.7 Definition of terms/ concepts	5-6

### **Chapter 2: Literature Review & Conceptual Framework**

2.1 Teaching and Learning Styles	7-9
2.2 Matching and Mismatching	9-13
2.3 Conceptual Framework	13-17

### **Chapter 3: Research Method**

3.1 Research Design	18
3.2 Unit of Analysis	18
3.3 Sample Size	19
3.4 Sampling technique	19
3.5 Measurement/ Instrumentation	20-21
3.6 Data Collection	21-23
3.7 Data Analysis	23

### **Chapter 4: Findings**

4.1 Respondent's Profile	24-25
4.2 Testing of Assumptions	25-26

4.3 Findings	26-35
Chapter 5: Discussion and Conclusion	
5.1 Discussion	36-38
5.2 Recommendations	39
5.3 Conclusion	40
References	41-42
Appendixes	