

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL,
CONTEXTUAL AND ORGANISATIONAL
FACTORS ON MONEY LAUNDERING
RISK IN BANKING INSTITUTIONS:
A BEHAVIOURAL JUDGEMENT
APPROACH**

YUSARINA BINTI MAT ISA

Thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Accounting)

Faculty of Accountancy

November 2019

ABSTRACT

In recent years, various incidences of money laundering cases involving banking institutions as a conduit have surfaced even more concern over money laundering risk judgement. Despite the increased attention on money laundering risk, there are limited studies that look at the behavioural perspective of the money laundering risk judgement. Based on behavioural decision theory, Bonners' judgement and decision making framework and modified Simon's model for money laundering risk assessment, this study aims to address the above gap by examining the behavioural factors (which are classified into three dimensions - individual, contextual and organisational factors) that could influence money laundering risk judgement. To provide a holistic viewpoint on money laundering risk judgement, this study is designed into two sub-studies, denoted as Study One and Study Two which utilizes survey and between-within-subjects experimental method respectively. The combination of these two sub-studies is designed in such a way to provide robust findings to answer the questions of to what extent do the individual, contextual and organisational factors affect money laundering risk judgement and how do these individual, contextual and organisational factors intervene and interact, given the circumstances surrounding money laundering risk judgement. Drawing upon 165 and 185 cleaned responses for Study One and Study Two respectively, the data were analysed using Partial Least Squares of Structural Equation Modelling. For Study One, examination on the antecedent factors that could influence money laundering risk judgement reveals that individual factor (i.e. competency) as the anchor element within a person, is significant in influencing money laundering risk judgement either in its direct or indirect capacity. Study One also demonstrates that contextual factor (i.e. risk consciousness) mediates some of the relationships between competency and money laundering risk judgement. For the organisational factor (i.e. internal controls), Study One provides evidence of moderation effect on the relationship between competency and money laundering risk judgement. Study Two, which experimentally examine the factors influencing money laundering risk judgement in a controlled setting, corroborates the findings of Study One in confirming the indirect effect of individual factor (i.e. competency) on money laundering risk judgement. Study Two also provide further evidence that show the existence of mediation effect of contextual factor (i.e. CDD task intensity) and moderation effect of organisational factor (i.e. regulatory supervision) on the relationship between competency and money laundering risk judgement. For a less explored area in the study of behavioural judgement, this thesis provides significant contributions in confirming the theoretical foundations underlying behavioural judgement as well as adds value to the existing body of knowledge on money laundering risk judgement. Extending the study on behavioural judgement to a vastly different landscape in the context of money laundering risk in the banking institutions is hoped to set a new branch of research in the related areas. The theoretical foundation framed by this study could provide inputs for future studies by the academicians. Practically, the findings could offer a solid framework for the banking institutions and relevant regulators in improving money laundering risk management with enhanced understanding on the behaviours of the bank officers. The added knowledge on money laundering risk provided by this thesis could also benefit other stakeholders such as potential investors, shareholders and auditors in advancing their understanding towards the conduct of the banking institutions in managing money laundering risk.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I wish to thank Allah for giving me the opportunity to embark on my PhD study and for completing this long and challenging journey successfully. My utmost gratitude and thanks to my main supervisor Professor Dr Zuraidah Mohd Sanusi for her support and encouragement, as well as her willingness to share her time, knowledge and *expertise*. I am also constantly grateful to my co-supervisors Associate Professor Dr Mohd Nizal Haniff and Professor Paul A. Barnes for their assistance and support in every possible way throughout this journey.

My appreciation goes to the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia and my employer, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia for awarding the scholarship for me to further my doctorate study. I also would like to acknowledge the continuous supports given by the Faculty of Accountancy and Accounting Research Institute, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia in allowing me the space and time to complete my study.

Special thanks to my colleagues and friends for their endless supports and always willing to extend their help when needed. Each one of you deserves a special mention here, but given this limited space, I will keep your names in my heart.

I am also thankful to the panel of examiners - Associate Professor Dr Erlane K Ghani, Associate Professor Dr Noor Afza Amran and Professor Rob McCusker - whose valuable feedback have provided me with guidance in improving my thesis.

The preparation of this thesis has received remarkable supports from those people whom I have engaged with from within the banking industry and Bank Negara Malaysia. Collectively, they provided fundamental input in understanding the area of research at the initial stage of the study. They also provided valuable feedback and input particularly in developing the research instruments. My heartfelt appreciation goes to all who have contributed directly and indirectly in this search, not forgetting to all the respondents/participants who have contributed their responses.

Last but not the least, a heart-warming gratitude and appreciation to my family - my loving parents (Haji Mat Isa Ahmad and Hajjah Khatijah Hamid), my beloved husband (Mohd Sobri Mansor) and my adorable children (Nisa Nazurah, Muhammad Riezqy and Nadine Nur Iman) for their relentless supports and prayers throughout my journey. It's a long and challenging journey, but definitely a worth one.

Without all these people, this thesis is far from completion. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	in
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Chapter Overview	1
1.2 Introduction of Study	1
1.3 Background of Study	5
1.4 Problem Statement	10
1.5 Research Questions	13
1.6 Research Objectives	14
1.7 Scope of Study	16
1.8 Rationale of the Study	17
1.9 Significance of the Study	19
1.9.1 Theoretical Foundation	19
1.9.2 Conceptual Framework	20
1.9.3 Research Methodology	21
1.9.4 Sample Coverage	22
1.10 Definition of Terms	23

1.10	Structure of the Thesis	24
CHAPTER TWO: OVERVIEW OF MONEY LAUNDERING		26
2.1	Chapter Overview	26
2.2	Money Laundering	26
2.2.1	Overview of Money Laundering	27
2.2.2	Money Laundering Process	28
2.2.3	The Extent of Money Laundering Problem	30
2.2.4	Anti-Money Laundering Regime	34
2.2.5	Legal Requirements of AMLA in Malaysia	38
2.2.6	Country Assessment on Anti-Money Laundering Initiatives	40
2.3	Money Laundering Risk in the Banking Institutions	42
2.3.1	Overview of Banking Institutions in Malaysia	42
2.3.2	Classification of Money Laundering Risk in the Banking Institutions	44
2.3.3	Cases of Money Laundering Involving Banking Institutions	46
2.3.4	Money Laundering Risk of Bank Officers	48
2.4	Chapter Summary	53
CHAPTER THREE: LITERATURE REVIEW		54
3.1	Chapter Overview	54
3.2	Judgement and Decision Making	54
3.2.1	Definition of Judgement and Decision Making	57
3.2.2	Behavioural Decision Making	58
3.2.3	Determinants of Judgement	59
3.2.4	Underlying Theories on Judgement	61
3.3	Money Laundering Risk Judgement	64