UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

STUDIES ON PROGRAMMING CHECKLIST IN ORGANIZATION

MOHD AZIZUL BIN SALLEH

This thesis submitted in the fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Information Technology

Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Science

January 2015

i

ABSTRACT

Checklist is one of main practice that had been use by many organization especially organization that involved with programming. It had been proven very useful in assisting organization to achieve its target. It is also well-known for its simple features and low cost of implementation. Many had labelled that checklist is a great tool that helped them to achieve their target. It is often heard that in some occasion, organization did produce defects. Some of this defect had prevention steps that already mentioned in the checklist. This raised a major question among its practitioner. They questioned if checklist is already efficient. Some of them also question if it is fool prove. Therefore, there are immediate needs to find the solution and source of these defects. Purpose of this research is to investigate if the checklist is really working as many people thought it would be. Factor such as attitude, human behaviour, long list, weak enforcement, complex technical term, urgency of request, experience, document version, changed of process and misinterpretation need to be investigate to prove this claim. To achieve this target, Mix method approach had been used. Survey and interview in a single case study had been done. This involved a population of 70 programmer and developer within a well-known international company in Cyberjaya. A sum of 46 Correspondents had participated in the survey study and 3 interviewees had contributed their feedback during these studies. Resulted from this research, it is found out that the entire factor which had been mentioned above is vague in some area. It is found out that long checklist did not have effects on packager/programmer during research. Further study should be done on this area. A study should be done on how to improve the efficiency of checklist in the future research recommendation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Allah SWT, because with His mercy I am able to complete my project paper to fulfil requirements of the IT Project (SYS 798) course. This project could not have been written without the assistance and guidance of Mohamad Norzamani Bin Sahroni who not only served as my supervisor but also encouraged and challenged me throughout the academic program.

I also would like to thank all participants who took part in this study. Without the generous help of many people, it would have been very difficult for me to complete this project. I thank them all.

Finally, this thesis is dedicated to the loving memory of my very dear late father for the vision and determination to educate me. This is dedicated to you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	i
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Statement of Problem	5
1.3 Research Aim	7
1.4 Research Objectives	7
1.5 Research Questions	7
1.6 Scope of Study	8
1.7 Significant of Study	8
1.8 Research Design Summary	9
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Programming Checklist	11
2.1.1 Purpose	12
2.2 Programming Checklist Issues	13
2.2.1 Attitude and Human Behaviour	13
2.2.2 Long Checklist with Weak Enforcement of Checklist	14
2.2.3 Complex Technical Jargon and Terms	16
2.2.4 Urgent Due Date	17

	2.2.5 Experience	19
	2.2.6 Out Dated Checklist and Changed Process within Checklist	20
	2.2.7 Misinterpretation	22
СН	APTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	23
3.1	Research Approach	23
3.2	Planning	25
3.3	Data Gathering	25
	3.3.1 Material	25
	3.3.2 Methods	26
	3.3.3 Research Design	26
	3.3.4 Sampling Frame, Size and Population	27
	3.3.5 Sampling Technique	28
	3.3.6 Unit of Analysis	29
	3.3.7 Data Collection Procedures	29
	3.3.8 Instruments	31
3.4	Data Analysis	38
3.6	Proposed Framework for Programming Checklist (PC)	39
3.5	Summary	39
СН	APTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	40
4.1	Response Rate	40
	4.1.1 Survey Questionnaire Response	40
	4.1.2 Interview Response	42
4.2	Results and Analysis: Survey Questionnaire	43
	4.2.1 Section A: Background	43
	4.2.2 Section B: Issue Faced	52
	4.2.3 Section C: Improvement	66
4.3	Results and Analysis: Interview	68
	4.3.1 Respondents Background	68