

**Best Cadet Selection Using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)
Approach**

By

ABDUL AZIZ BIN MUDA

A project paper submitted to

**FACULTY OF COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL
SCIENCES
UNIVERSITITEKNOLOGI MARA**

In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the

BACHELOR OF COMPUTER SCIENCE (HONS)

Approved by:

**Wlin Ya Wan Hussin
(Supervisor)**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA
NOV 2010**

Acknowledgement

Alhamdulillah finally the report has reached the final stage and is successfully completed within the prescribed time. First and foremost, I wish to express my gratitude to all those people who have made this report a success in one way or another.

To mention, especially to my supervisor, Mr. Wan Ya Wan Hussin, who has been patient and kind for sharing her professional thoughts, guidance, endless support, advices and constructive comments in helping me to complete this report. I also wish to thank the Encik Fakrul Hazman Bin Yusof coordinator of CS project (CSC 230) for her advices. Both of them are really nice and never refuse to help me even when I'm in difficult situations.

Lastly, I would like to extend my appreciation to my parents, lectures and friends for their constant patience, guidance and support. Thank you very much! May God bless all ofyou.

Abstract

The current best cadet selection use by ROTU consists of qualitative and quantitative assessments. The assessment conducted on derived from two major components - ROTU assessments and academic assessments. They are few incidents where some of coaches disagree with selection result. Therefore, ROTU required new assessment method to be use to solved this issue. An AHP prototype has been develop using waterfall model to be use as solution.

Keywords: *Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM), Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).*

Table of Content

DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v-vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii-ix
LIST OF TABLES	x-xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
1. Chapter 1- Introduction	1-6
1.0 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1-2
1.1.1 Types of Training.....	2-4
1.2 Problem Statement.....	4-5
1.3 Research Objective.....	5
1.4 Research Scope.....	5
1.5 Research Significance.....	6
2. Chapter 2 - Literature Review	7-25
2.0 Introduction.....	7
2.1 Decision Making (DM).....	7-8
2.2 Types Of Decision Making Environment.....	8
2.2.1 Decision making under certainty.....	8
2.2.2 Decision making under risk.....	8
2.2.3 Decision making under uncertainty.....	9
2.3 Decision Making Process.....	9-12
2.4 Group Decision Making.....	12-13
2.5 Multi - Criteria Decision Making (MCDM).....	13
2.5.1 MCDM Methods.....	14-

2.5.1.1	Outranking.....	14-15
2.5.1.2	Multi - Attribute Utility Theories (MAUT).....	15
2.5.1.3	Simple Multi - Attribute Rating (SMART).....	15-16
2.5.1.4	The Analytic Network Process (ANP).....	16
2.5.1.5	The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).....	17-22
2.5.1.6	Strength of AHP.....	22-25
3. Chapter 3	- Methodology.....	26-65
3.0	Introduction.....	26-28
3.1	Requirements And Analysis.....	28
3.1.1	Preliminary Studies.....	29
3.1.2	Data Collection.....	29-32
3.2	Design.....	33
3.2.1	Interface Design.....	33-34
3.2.1.1	System structure chart.....	34-35
3.2.1.2	Main Page.....	36
3.2.1.3	Main Menu Login.....	36-37
3.2.1.4	Login Page.....	37
3.2.1.5	Main Page for Admin.....	38
3.2.1.6	Manage Staff.....	38-39
3.2.1.7	Manage Best Cadet.....	40
3.2.1.8	Main Page for Staff.....	42-45
3.2.2	Database Design.....	45-50
3.3	Implementation.....	50
3.3.1	Engine Development.....	50-52
3.3.1.1	Developing the Hierarchy.....	52-53
3.3.1.2	Establish Priorities.....	53
3.3.1.3	Pair wise Comparison.....	53-56
3.3.1.4	Synthesization.....	56