

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**INVESTIGATING THE LEARNER'S PERFORMANCE IN  
LEARNING PROGRAMMING BY INTEGRATING VISUALIZATION  
PROGRAMMING AND PAIR PROGRAMMING IN PROGRAMMING  
COURSES**

**NURUL AINI BINTI SAIRUNI**

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of

**Master of Computer Science**

**Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences**

**July 2015**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My highest gratitude to the All Mighty, Most Gracious Most Merciful, for the opportunity and blessing; which provide me strength to face all tribulations and trials in completing this master program. I am very grateful to Allah because I was able to finish my study. A bunch of thanks goes to Universiti Teknologi Mara as giving me the opportunity to go through such a memorable experience. There were also many people who have had a hand during this program and without them, it would be very impossible for me to finish it successfully. Firstly, I would like to thank my UiTM supervisor, Dr. Marina Ismail, whom had sacrifice most of her time and strength to guide me patiently in this endeavor. Here, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to him for his assistance and constructive comments. Besides, I owe the warmest gratitude to the people my parents, understanding husband loyal family and cooperative colleague for their endless support and prayers. Not forgotten, to other staffs of KPTM-Ipoh for their guidance and endless support. Finally, I am totally grateful for your uphill support and co-operation. May Allah pay all of your kindness towards me. In shaa Allah. Thank you.

## ABSTRACT

**Abstract**— This paper investigates the possible learning approach for novices in learning programming. Novices have problem in learning programming because many reasons. One of it is the incorrect use of study methodology. Many approaches have been introduce, however, there are no empirical evidence showing the best approach to learn programming by novices. In this study, four experiments were conducted with four groups of students for four different learning approaches, that are the conventional method for Group A, pair programming for Group B, using the visualization programming tool for Group C and combination used of visualization programming tool and pair programming for Group D. The visualization programming tool used is Ville. Each group consists of 30 students of mixed gender. The students were grouped randomly except when doing pairing which requires the pair to have different capability which is determined by their CGPA and SPM Mathematics' results. Each group will be given the pre-test, treatment and post-test. Pre test were carried out by all groups using the conventional method, while post-test were performed according to the different methods for each group. The differences in score for pre- and post-test were analyzed for each experiment. The result showed that Group D showed a significance improvement in score as compared to other groups. Thus, it gives an indicator that teaching of programming for novices is best performed by combining the pair programming and using the visualization tools. The combination of the two techniques has improved the performance of the students.

**Index Terms**— *learning programming, pair programming, software visualization, visualization programming*

# TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledgement	i
Abstract	ii
Table of Content	iv
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objective	4
1.4 Research Scope	5
1.5 Significances of Research	6
1.6 Conclusion	7
Chapter 2 Literature Review	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Overview of Programming and The Issues Arising Programming Education	8
2.3 Learning Programming	10
2.4 Pair Programming	11
2.5 Integrating Visual Programming	11
2.5.1 Sample Visualization Tool	13
2.6 Conclusion	23
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1 Introduction	24
3.2 Research Methodology	24
3.3 Theoretical Preliminary Research	27
3.4 Investigating Principles of Learning Programming	27
3.5 Evaluation	27

<b>3.6</b>	<b>Analysis</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3.6.1</b>	<b>Interview Summary with Lecturer</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>3.6.2</b>	<b>Qualitative Data</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>3.6.3</b>	<b>Quantitative Data</b>	<b>29</b>
3.6.4	Pre Test	30
<b>3.6.5</b>	<b>Post Test</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>3.6.6</b>	<b>Comparison Pre Test and Post Test result</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>3.6.7</b>	<b>Interview with Students</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Reporting and Documentation</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>32</b>
 <b>CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>		 <b>33</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Features of Pair Programming</b>	<b>33</b>
4.2.1	The Responsibilities of the Driver	33
4.2.2	The Responsibilities of a Navigator	34
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Analysis of Features Pair Programming</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Analysis of Difficulties Issues of Topic In Programming</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Evaluation Strategy</b>	<b>38</b>
4.5.1	Pre Test	38
4.5.2	Treatment	40
4.5.3	Post Test	41
4.5.4	Compare Pre Test and Post Test result	42
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Discussion of Result</b>	<b>45</b>
4.6.1	Part A: Comparison of Pre Test versus Post Test result	46
4.6.2	Part B: Comparison Pre Test for Group A versus Post Test for Group A result	47
4.6.3	Part C: Comparison Pre Test for Group B versus Post Test for Group B result	48
4.6.4	Part D: Comparison Pre Test for Group C versus Post Test for Group C result	49
4.6.5	Part E: Comparison Pre Test for Group D versus Post Test for Group D result	50