

ACCOUNTING BULLETIN Faculty of Accountancy UiTM Kedah





The editorial board would like to express their heartfelt appreciation for the contributions made by the authors, co-authors and all who involved in the publication of this bulletin.

Published by: Faculty of Accountancy Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Kedah

Cover Designed by: Naqib Idlan Nadzri

Copyright @ 2021 Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Kedah.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, stored in any retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission from the Rector, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, 08400 Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia.

The views, opinions and technical recommendations expressed by the authors are entirely their own and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Faculty or the University.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL BOARD	i
FROM THE RECTOR'S DESK	ii
MESSAGE FROM THE HEAD OF FACULTY	iii
EDITOR'S NOTE	iv
Robotic Process Automation for Future Accountants: A Threat or Asset? <i>Wan Adibah Wan Ismail</i>	1-3
The COVID-19 Pandemic and Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs): A Humble Proposal. Intan Marzita Saidon	4-7
Are Millennials Whistle-blowers? Nadzri Ab Ghani	8-12
New Technologies: A Brief Introduction Muhammad Hariz Hamid	13-15
Is Tax Evasion Unethical? Roshidah Safeei	16-19
Integrated Reporting Epiphenomenon: Benefits and Challenges Siti Sakinah Azizan	20-23
Tax Auditors' Judgment and Decision Making Nor Azrina Mohd Yusof	24-26
ESG Reporting: Are We Ready? Muhammad Hariz Hamid	27-29
Accountants of the Future: What Skills will be in Demand in the Post-Pandemic Era? <i>Wan Nailah Abdullah</i>	30-32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Enhancing Qualitative Characteristics of Useful Financial Information: Slant from Malaysian Companies Act 2016 <i>Muslimah Mohd Jamil</i>	33-35
Training Requirements of Directors in Malaysia Noora'in Omar	36-38
FinTech in the Post-pandemic Future Wan Nailah Abdullah	39-41
Contradistinction of Agency and Stewardship Theories: A Brief Discourse <i>Muslimah Mohd Jamil</i>	42-44

Integrated Reporting Epiphenomenon: Benefits and Challenges

Siti Sakinah Azizan Faculty of Accountancy, UiTM Kedah ssakinah263@uitm.edu.my

Integrated reporting has been widely discussed in recent years by looking from the views of industrial practices and academicians. We can define integrated reporting as one of corporate reporting that integrates financial and non-financial aspects to enhance the company's value. It covers six domains of social aspects: financial, manufacturing, intellectual, human, social and relationship, and natural capital (IIRF, 2021). The evolution of integrated reporting started in 2013 until the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) had established the International Integrated Reporting Framework (IIRF) (updated in 2021). This framework is a guidance where the Council has already established the guiding principles, content elements, and fundamental concepts inside the integrated report. As a result, the report's information will give value-added to the stakeholders, and of course to the company itself.

The majority of the accounting scholars agreed that integrated reporting provides numerous benefits to the adopting companies. One of the benefits is that it creates opportunities for companies to give clear communication and direction about their businesses to the stakeholders, who, in turn, are willing to contribute their investment and business decisions (IFAC, 2017). It improves the company's reputation and its relationship with the stakeholders (ACCA, 2017). Moreover, integrated reporting will augment the disclosure of information and reduce information asymmetry (Hoque, 2017). This action will enhance investors' confidence and trust in the company.

In addition, the integrated reporting provides a clearer view of the businesses regarding their sustainability issues and performance (ACCA, 2017). Some believe that integrated reporting might overcome the weaknesses of sustainability reporting. For instance, sustainability reporting required the reporter, i.e., the company, to provide only a three-bottom line of disclosure if that information does not link to the financial statement. Thus, it will distort the decision-making process where the management cannot make informed decisions (Hughen et al., 2014). Besides, integrated reporting is expected to provide better linkages between sustainability issues and the organizational strategy to ensure it creates value for the stakeholders. By looking at the other side of the coin, there are some challenges in adopting the companies' integrated reporting. One of the main challenges is the integrated reporting framework provides little guidance on understanding the concepts. The concept of integrated thinking strained the approach of integrated reporting itself (M. La Torre et al., 2019). The earlier statement is supported by Dumay and Dai (2017), and Feng et al. (2017), where they agreed that there is a lack of understanding on integrated thinking as the concept is somewhat vague. It is further supported by the feedback on IIRC's call in 2017. It disclosed that the preparers of integrated reporting are still unclear on the concept of integrated thinking and on how to apply it (IIRC, 2017). To mitigate this issue, the whole party in the organization, either in top or bottom position, should embrace and appreciate implementing the integrated reporting in the company. This process will have a massive impact on the effectiveness of integrated reporting (Singh et al., 2019).

Another challenge is that the costs of implementation of integrated reporting may outweigh the benefits as it requires more time and resources. It is because the company needs to engage the experts in preparing it. This problem can be seen in many Asian countries, including Malaysia, making this integrated reporting a voluntary reporting, and the adoption process is still low. It is further supported by the study conducted by Balasingam et al. (2019). They reported that the challenges faced by the public listed companies in Malaysia are related to the consideration of cost, availability and readiness of information, and the ability of the preparers of integrated reporting. Other researchers, Jamal and Ghani (2016), researched actual property companies in Malaysia and found that compliance with integrated reporting is unsatisfactory. It is because the compliance levels of integrated reporting range from non-compliance to moderate compliance only. In conclusion, integrated reporting is one of the comprehensive reporting mechanisms that combine financial and non-financial information. Integrated reporting communicates the strategy, performance and value-driven information that will enhance the confidence and trust of the stakeholders towards the organization. Integrated reporting is intended to provide the firm with more significant opportunities to create value, particularly its relationships with the stakeholders. However, integrated reporting can only be impactful if the underpinning concept is implemented efficiently and the challenges are handled effectively.

References:

ACCA. (2017). Insights into integrated reporting: Challenges and best practice responses. www.accaglobal.com

Balasingam, S., Arumugam, D., & Kong, A. H. (2019). The challenges in adopting and implementing integrated reporting in public listed companies in Malaysia. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2278-3075, 7(5S).

Dumay, J., & Dai, T. (2017). Integrated thinking as a cultural control?. Meditari Accountancy Research, 25(4), 574–604.

Feng, T., Cummings, L., & Tweedie, D. (2017). Exploring integrated thinking in integrated reporting—An exploratory study in Australia. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 18(2), 330–353.

Hoque, M. E. (2017). Why company should adopt integrated reporting?. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 2017, 7(1), 241-248.

Hughen, L., Lulseged, A., & Upton, D. R. (2014). Improving stakeholder value through sustainability and integrated reporting. The CPA Journal, 84(3), 57-61.



IFAC. (2017). Creating values for SMEs through integrated thinking. www.ifac.org

International Integrated Reporting Framework (IIRF). (2021).

International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC). (2017). International integrated reporting Framework implementation feedback. London, UK.

Jamal, J., & Ghani, E. K. (2016). Integrated reporting practices among real property listed companies in Malaysia. Management & Accounting Review (MAR), [S.l.], 15(1), 251-274.

M. La Torre, C. Bernardi, J. Guthrie, J. Dumay (2019), Integrated Reporting and Integrated Thinking: Practical Challenges, Challenges in Managing Sustainable Business: Reporting, Taxation, Ethics and Governance (pp.25-54)

Singh, J., Sadiq, M., & Kaur, K. (2019). Integrated reporting: Challenges, benefits and the research agenda. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 7(8), 2019.