UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT ORTHODONTIC ADHESIVES ON TENSILE BOND STRENGTH OF AESTHETIC ORTHODONTIC BRACKETS

ZEINAB MASAUD MOHAMED AL-TOWATI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Dental Science

Faculty of Dentistry

December 2011

Candidate's Declaration

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student	:	ZEINAB MASAUD MOHAMED AL TOWATI
Student ^s I. D. No.	:	2008411096
Program	∎: 	DS 780
Faculty	:	Dentistry
Thesis Title	:	Effect of Different Orthodontic Adhesives on Tensile Bond Strength of Aesthetic Orthodontic Brackets

<u>J.</u> mainter Signature of Student :

*

Date

December 2011

ABSTRACT

Aesthetic appearance of fixed orthodontic appliance and good mechanical performance of orthodontic adhesive-bracket system are primary concerns of orthodontic patients. The objectives of this study were to investigate the effect of orthodontic adhesives and time of storage on tensile bond strength (TBS) of the brackets. A total of 240-exrtacted bovine teeth were collected and divided into 24goups with each group was bonded with stainless steel, monocrystalline, polycrystalline and polyurethane brackets using two different orthodontic adhesives (Transbond XTTM and AegisTM). The samples were stored in distilled water for 1 day (T1), 1 week (T2) and 1 month (T3) before tested for TBS using universal testing machine. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to study the enamel roughness, assess the adhesive remnant index (ARI) and identify the type of failure between different samples of two types of composites. Data collected were analyzed using two-way ANOVA. Results showed that monocrystalline ceramic brackets had the highest values of tensile bond strength after the three different times of water storage (T1, T2 and T3) irrespective of adhesive used while polyurethane brackets were the lowest. The TBS of the brackets cemented with Transbond XT[™] significantly increased with time of water storage while AegisTM decreases. SEM results of ARI were significantly different between Transbond XT[™] and Aegis[™] with respect to the amount of composite material that remained on the tooth surface. This study concluded that the tensile bond strengths of ceramic brackets bonded with Transbond XTTM and AegisTM were higher than that of stainless steel and polyurethane. The tensile bond strength of bracket bonded with amorphous calcium phosphate composite (AegisTM) was within the acceptable range of 2.9 - 10 MPa that could improve the high bond strength of ceramic brackets. The tensile bond strength of Transbond XTTM increased with the time of water storage from one day to one month. Tensile bond strength of bracket cemented with Aegis[™] decreased with time of water storage.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise is to Allah and may peace and blessings of Allah be upon our prophet Muhammad and upon all his family and companions. Thanks to Allah who give me good health in my life and helped me on every steps. Without the help of Allah, It would not have been possible for me to achieve anything in this research.

I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners during when the report was compiled. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. With gratitude to their immense contribution, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Abu Hassan, for his advices and supports to accomplish this research.

I am also extremely grateful to my co-supervisor, Dr. Saba Fouad Husain for her encouragement, guidance and for making this research a success.

Also I am thankful to the laboratory technician Mr. Mohamed Shahir Abd Rahman who helps me in performance scanning electron microscope which was one of the important steps of the research.

My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues for the support and incisive comments in making this study a success. Their views and tips were indeed useful.

Finally I am thankful my entire family members especially my husband for his prayers and encouragement which helped me to take the right step in the life.

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION	Ĥ
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	Х
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

Background of Study		
1.1.1 Types of Orthodontic Brackets	1	
1.1.2 Orthodontic Adhesives	3	
1.1.3 Effect of Water Storage on Tensile Bond Strength of	4	
Orthodontic Brackets		
Problem Statement	4	
Reasons of Conducting of This Study		
Objectives of the Study		
Null Hypotheses		
Importance of the Study	6	
	 1.1.2 Orthodontic Adhesives 1.1.3 Effect of Water Storage on Tensile Bond Strength of Orthodontic Brackets Problem Statement Reasons of Conducting of This Study Objectives of the Study Null Hypotheses 	

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Orthodontic Brackets	
	2.1.1 Stainless Steel Brackets	
	2.1.1.1 Advantages of stainless steel brackets2.1.1.2 Disadvantages of stainless steel brackets	8 9
	2.1.2 Ceramic Brackets	9
	2.1.2.1 Advantages of ceramic brackets	9
	2.1.2.2 Disadvantages of ceramic brackets 2.1.2.3 Composition of ceramic brackets	9 9
2.2	2.1.3 Plastic Brackets Tooth Enamel	11 12