## THE INTEGRATION OF LITERATURE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY COURSES



# RESEARCH MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE (RMI) MARA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY 40450 SHAH ALAM, SELANGOR MALAYSIA

#### PREPARED BY:

CHITTRA A/P MUTHUSAMY ELANGKEERAN A/L SABAPATHY RASAYA A/L MARIMUTHU

**SEPTEMBER 2008** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all we would like to thank God for providing us with this golden opportunity to further our educational professionalism.

We would like to record our indebtedness to the Director of Dungun Campus, Associate Professor Tengku Yusoff Tengku Mahmud. It is his constant encouragement to all the lecturers to be involved in research and publications that has given us the impetus to carry out this research.

We are no less grateful to our immediate supervisor, the Deputy Director (Academic Affairs), Associate Professor Wan Doorishah Wan Abdul Manan, in enabling us to give our commitment to our teaching duties as well as to research needs of the university.

We would also like to extend our sincerest appreciation to the head of research unit of the campus, Pn. Norazamina Mohamed, Coordinator of Research Management Unit (Terengganu), En. Rozlan Abdul Rahim, Dr. Faizah Mohamad and Pn. Jeyamahla Veeravagu, for their guidance and much needed motivation and encouragement to carry out and complete the research.

Last but not least, we appreciate the patience and cooperation given by our family members, friends and colleagues in completion of this research project.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of the study was to explore the possibility of integrating literature in English Language proficiency courses as it is far more rewarding and effective in enhancing language proficiency specifically in essay writing. It is the contention of the researchers that students lacking in content knowledge will perform poorly in their language ability and their essays will mirror such deficiency, limitations and shortcomings. An integrated literature based language curriculum can overcome these weaknesses. To prove this point a quasi experimental study was conducted on two BEL 200 intact groups from the Hotel and Catering programme; the control and experimental groups. Both groups underwent a four week experiment whereby one short story The Burden of Sin by S. Karthigesu was taught to the control group using the routine reading and comprehension teaching approach while the experimental group was taught using the reader response approach adapting Ibsen's The I Model text exploration and literary devises. A pretest was administered in the first week and a posttest administered in the fourth week. Descriptive and inferential statistics were collected and analyzed. Two non parametric tests were run. The results proved to be substantially significant to the study. Conclusively by integrating literature into proficiency courses, we can observe that this can promote simultaneous learning of academic content, English Language skills, critical thinking abilities and maturity in weighing cross-cultural issues; all important factors which are of national interest in ensuring a successful nation.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	E OF CONTENTS	i
LIST	OF TABLES	iv
LIST	OF FIGURES	V
ABST	RACT	vi
CHAF	TER 1 – Introduction	1
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7	Introduction / Background of the Study Background of Problem Statement Statement of Problem Objectives Research Questions Significance of the study Limitations of the study	4 6 7 8 8
1.8	Definitions of key terms / concepts	11
1.9 1.10	Key words	
СНА	PTER 2 – Literature Review	13
2.1 2.2 2.3	Introduction - Literature in the Malaysian Context	14 15
2.4	Setbacks in integrating literature in the ESL methodology	
2.5	Use of Local Works to teach Literature  Integrated Instruction	
2.6	5.1 Content Based Approach	23
	6.2 Reader Response Approach	
	5.3 Whole Language Approach	
2.7	Creativity	
2.7	7.1 Introduction	
	7.2 Definitions of Creativity	
	7.3. Creativity and Education	
	7.4 Creativity and the English Language	
2.8 2.9	Writing Conclusion	
CHAI	PTER 3 - Research Design and Methodology	. 33
3.1	Introduction	
3.2	Research Design2.1 Quasi –Experimental Design	
	2.2 Content Analysis	
3.3	Research Hypotheses	
3.4	Sample	
	Research Instrument.	

3.6 Treatment / Intervention/ Instructional Procedures. 3.6.1 Stages of Text Exploration adapted from Ibsen's (1990) The I-model. 3.7 Development of Research Instruments. 3.7.1 Procedure. 3.8 Data Collection Procedure. 3.9 Measurement. 3.10 Data Analysis Procedure. 3.11 Conclusion.	39 .41 .41 .43 .43 .44
CHAPTER 4 – Results and Interpretations	. 46
4.1 Introduction.	46
4.2 Descriptive Statistics for the Experimental and Control Groups	
4.2.1 Descriptive Statistics for the Experimental Group	
4.2.2 Descriptive Statistics for the Control Group	.47
4.3 Statistical (Inferential) Analysis of the Performance of the Samples	.48
4.3.1 Analysis of the Performance of the Experimental Group in the Pretest	
and Postest	
4.3.1.1 Language Proficiency Performance of the Experimental Group in the	
Pretest and Posttest	. 48
4.3.1.2 Creativity Performance of the Experimental Group in the Pretest and	
Posttest	. 49
4.3.1.3 Occurrence of the Number of Sentence Structures of the Experimental	
Group in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.3.1.4 Performance for Cultural Understanding (Question 1) of the Experimen	
Group in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.3.1.5 Performance for Cultural Understanding (Question 2) of the Experiment	
Group in the Pretest and Posttest	. 52
4.3.1.6 Performance for Cultural Understanding (Questions 1 and 2) of the	52
Experimental Group in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.3.2.1 Language Proficiency Performance of the Experimental and Control	54
Groups in the Pretest and Posttest	5/1
4.3.2.3 Occurrence of the Number of Sentence Structures of the Experimental a	
Control Groups in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.3.2.4 Performance for Cultural Understanding (Question 1) of the Experiment	
and Control Groups in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.3.2.5 Performance for Cultural Understanding (Question 2) of the Experiment	
and Control Groups in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.3.2.6 Performance for Cultural Understanding (Questions 1 and 2 = Total) of	
Experimental and Control Groups in the Pretest and Posttest	
4.4 Summary of Results for the Hypotheses Testing	
4.4.1 Dependent Samples (using the Wilcoxon Signed-ranked Tests for related	
measures)	
4.4.2 Independent Samples (using the Mann-Whitney U Tests for independ	ent
samples	63
4.5 Conclusion	64