UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

TECHNICAL REPORT

ANALYTICAL COMPARISON OF FUZZY SIMILARITY METHODS

P7S18

NUR ATIKA BINTI ARIPPIN (2016537579) NURUL IZZAH BINTI SHAPIAN (2016595797) NUR NAJIHAH BINTI MOHD HANAPIAH (2016341257)

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

Bachelor of Science (Hons.) (Management Mathematics)

Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

DECEMBER 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Firstly, we are grateful to Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to complete this research project successfully.

We would like to express our gratitude to Dr. Khairul Anwar bin Rasmani, our research supervisor for his patient guidance, enthusiasm in encouraging us finishing our research report and cultivating critiques. We would like to acknowledge with much appreciation to all the lecturers, whose contributions in stimulating suggestion and information especially to MSP660 lecturer, Mr. Azdi bin Maasar and our former lecturer of MAT530, Dr. Khairul Anwar bin Rasmani. Besides, special thanks to the staffs of UiTM Seremban 3, who involved directly or indirectly in preparing our research work. Lastly, an ultimate dedication of thanks to our parents and fellow friends for motivating us to finish our research report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLED	GEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CON	NTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGUR	ES	V
LIST OF TABLE	ES	vi
 INTROI 	DUCTION	1
1.1 Introd	luction	1
	em Statement	
	ctives	
	2	
	ficance	
	ne of this report	
	GROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW	
	overview of fuzzy similarity measure	
	Suzzy Number	
	Properties of Fuzzy Similarity Methods	
	Category of Fuzzy Similarity Methods	
	ed research	
	Applications of Fuzzy Similarity Methods in Decision Making	
	Need for Behavioral Analysis (Fuzzy Similarity Methods)	
	ter summary	
	DOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION	
	arch activities	
	arch method	
	Methods based on Operations on fuzzy sets	
	Methods based on Distance measures	
	Methods based on Euclidean distance	
	Pearson correlation coefficient	
	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	
	erical examples	
	Generation of fuzzy sets	
	Computation of fuzzy similarity	
	Computation of Pearson Correlation Coefficient	
3.3.4 (Graphical analysis to compare fuzzy similarity methods	29
	riew	
	vsis based on two trapezoidal fuzzy sets (Experiment 1)	
•	Generation of fuzzy numbers (Two trapezoidal fuzzy sets)	
	Graphical analysis of comparison between methods (two trapezoidal	50
	i)	30
	Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Two trapezoidal fuzzy sets)	
	Analysis of Variance between Fuzzy Similarity Methods (Two	52
	al fuzzy sets)	34
uapezolu	₩1 I₩24 7 U♥VU	J T

4.3 Analysis based on two triangular fuzzy sets (Experiment 2)	35
4.3.1 Generation of fuzzy numbers (Two triangular fuzzy sets)	35
4.3.2 Graphical analysis of comparison between methods (Two trian	gular fuzzy
sets)	35
4.3.3 Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Two triangular fuzzy sets)	37
4.3.4 Analysis of Variance between Fuzzy Similarity Methods (Two tri	angular
fuzzy sets)	39
4.4 Analysis based on trapezoidal-triangular fuzzy sets (Experiment 3)	40
4.4.1 Generation of fuzzy numbers (Triangular-trapezoidal fuzzy set	s)40
4.4.2 Graphical analysis of comparison between methods (Trapezoid	al-
triangular fuzzy sets)	40
4.4.3 Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Trapezoidal-triangular fuzzy s	
4.5 Analysis based on non-convex fuzzy sets (Experiment 4)	45
4.5.1 Generation of fuzzy numbers (Non-convex fuzzy sets)	45
4.5.2 Graphical analysis of comparison between methods (Non-conv	ex fuzzy
sets)	
4.5.3 Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Non-convex fuzzy sets)	47
4.5.4 Analysis of Variance between Fuzzy Similarity Methods (Non-	
fuzzy sets)	
4.6 Analysis on combination of different set-up of fuzzy numbers	
4.6.1 Graphical analysis (Overall analysis)	
4.6.2 Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Overall analysis)	
4.6.3 Analysis of Variance between Fuzzy Similarity Methods (Overa	ll analysis)
4.7 Discussion	
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	
REFERENCES	
APPENDIX	59

ABSTRACT

Fuzzy similarity methods have been widely used for decision making in numerous application domain. However, there is lack of specific guideline in choosing the most suitable method thus leading to struggles in solving the problem. This is particularly true for researcher or user who are not familiar with fuzzy similarity methods as they might apply unsuitable method which may lead to unreliable result and possibly invalid outcomes. In this research, Pearson correlation is used to determine the relationship between fuzzy similarity methods. Furthermore, the analytical behavior between methods will be compared and classified. Fourteen methods have been chosen to be a part of the process in computing the fuzzy similarity. Experiments on fuzzy similarity methods had been conducted based on four types of fuzzy sets which are two distinct trapezoidal fuzzy sets, two distinct triangular fuzzy sets, trapezoidal and triangular fuzzy sets and non-convex fuzzy sets. Outcomes from each experiment are analyzed based on graphical analysis and Pearson correlation coefficient. For further validation, the outcomes will be analyzed based on Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The findings of this research indicate that the fuzzy similarity methods can be classified into three categories which are methods with similar behavior, methods with distinct behavior and methods with opposite behavior.