UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

TECHNICAL REPORT

A GOAL PROGRAMMING MODEL FOR CAPITAL BUDGETING

P5S18

SUHAILAH BINTI SUFI NURUL SHAFIQAH BINTI ABDULLAH NURUL SYAZWANI BINTI MOHD FAIDZAL

Bachelor of Science (Hons.) (Mathematics) Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

DECEMBER 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Firstly, I am grateful to Allah S.W.T for giving us strength and blessing in completed this project successfully. All praise to Allah the One ease every single hardship to complete this research.

I would like to gives a special thanks to our supervisor Madam Busyra Binti Latif for giving opportunity and guide us in making our projects. Her help has been very valuable for us and with her dedicate assistance we would manage our project very well until it completes within the stipulated times. We also would like to thank our lecturer, Dr. Mat Salim Bin Selamat who taught and guide us about the final year project since we are doing the proposal for this project until now.

Besides that, we would like to thanks especially for our family who always support us to render this project. Do not forget also to our friend that always gives us the motivation to complete this project. Thanks a lot for their concern and guidance.

With that, thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOV	WLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE C	OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF	TABLES	iv
LIST OF	FIGURES	iv
ABSTRA	СТ	v
DEFINIT	ION OF TERM AND CONCEPTS	vi
NOMENO	CLATURE	viii
INTROD	UCTION	1
1.1	Motivation	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Objective	4
1.4	Scope of Project	5
1.5	Significant of Project	5
BACKGROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	Background Theory	6
2.2	Literature Review	7
METHOD	OOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION	9
Step	1: Identify the problems and objectives of the project	10
Step	2: Collecting Data	10
Step	3: Formulate mathematical model of goal programming	12
Step	4: Execute the model in LINGO software	19
Step	5: Result	23
Step	6: Discussion	23
RESULTS	S AND DISCUSSION	24
4.1	Interpretation of LINGO Software results according to suggested data fro	m
	Lorie and Savage (1955).	24
4.2	Interpretation of LINGO Software results according to modified data from	n
	Lorie and Savage (1955).	26
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		33
5.1	Conclusion	33
5.2	Recommendation	34
REFEREN	NCES	35
APPENDIX A		37
APPENDIX B		40
APPENDIX C		44
APPENDIX D		47

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Investment Projects Based on Net Present Value
Table 3.2: Modified Investment Project Based on Net Present Value 11
Table 4.1: The investment projects that are proposed to be accepted or rejected.24
Table 4.2: The output value and decision of investment projects for Case 1, Model 1 25
Table 4.3: Conditions of goals to be achieved. 26
Table 4.4: The description of goals deviations. 26
Table 4.5: The output value and decision of investment projects for Case 2, Model 2 27
Table 4.6: The summary of goals output value and achievement of Case 2, Model 2 28
Table 4.7: The output value and decision of investment projects for Case 3, Model 3 29
Table 4.8: The summary of goals output value and achievement of Case 3, Model 3 30
Table 4.9: The output value and decision of investment projects for Case 4, Model 4 31
Table 4.10: The summary of goals output value and achievement for Case 4, Model 4 32

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Flowchart of Methodology Process	. 9
Figure 3.2: Coding for Model 1	19
Figure 3.3: Coding for Model 2	20
Figure 3.4: Coding for Model 3	21
Figure 3.5: Coding for Model 4	22

ABSTRACT

Capital budgeting or know as investment appraisal is important decision process that involve in the large scale of business field. Thus, through this study we are on the lookout for alternative ways in evaluating the investment projects by using Goal programming (GP) approach. The data were executed by LINGO 17.0 and the result showed that the objective functions are fully achieved. Investments are difficult to evaluate since it involving unmanageable number of iteration and considered for profitability. Therefore, GP models are most compatible in dealing with multi objective where we emphasize different goals in four models in order to find the combination of the investment projects that will give optimum result for the business development process. Furthermore, these investment projects are evaluated based on the Net Present Value (NPV). We used the well-known existing data from (Lorie and Savage, 1955). The results of the combination of investment projects are vary for each model. Six investment projects, X₁, X₃, X₄, X₆, X₇, X₉ accepted in Model 1, while in Model 2 the investment project 7, X7 has been replaced with investment project 8, X8 after added more constraints. Same output given in Model 3 and Model 4 which accepted investment projects X1, X2, X3, X5, X6, X7 when places new weightage and new goal constraints for the model respectively. This project is beneficial for the top management as a decision maker in making a decision. In addition, GP model for capital budgeting can also be applied to others capital problems such as loan-deposit ratio, liquidity, and capital adequacy.