UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

EXPLORING FACTORS
CONTRIBUTING TO THE SUCCESS
OF REFORMATION IN TERMS OF
INSTITUTIONAL GOVERNANCE:
CASE STUDY OF UNIVERSITE
TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

NUR SHAZREEN NADIA BINTI SHAHRUL AZILA SHAHRIZAL BIN MUHAMAD YASNI NURUL HUDA BINTI HJ. MOHD YASSIN

MBA

JULY 2018

ABSTRACT

Malaysian government continues to steer higher education initiatives into a national agenda by introducing the Government Transformation Program and since then, the agenda has become the important role in the context of improvising Malaysia socioeconomic and service delivery quality development. Transformation usually focus on the organization stakeholders, the performance of the organization that leads to the improvement in productivity which involves in an attempt of improvising the whole process of the organization, this is where wealth creation of knowledge and creativity arise and challenge the ideal knowhow technology and knowledge management of the people. These attempt is to improve the performance the areas of quality, speed and cost need an idealistic business model that will reinvent the organization into highest potential and acceptance by the stakeholders. With this respect, higher education institutions have been regarded as a national asset and measures are continuously being introduced to safeguard this asset. The effect is partially contributed by the Higher Learning Global ranking system, the rapid changes in the education system by the Ministry of Higher Education, the way forward in the education industries and also the University effort to keep abreast with delivering the best quality of academic education to the nation as well as providing holistic graduates to the market supply. It was identified that the essence of core values of high achievement of an institutional bodies are the talent management, the corporate governance and the capital management.. The major trend is the reengineering of the management of the higher education institution in Malaysia to make it more competitive so it can promote the national higher education to the global platform. However, Malaysia specifically follow the global ideologies and practices without further investigation to develop its own unique systems and neglecting our rich tradition and cultures. Thus, the aim of this study is to arrive at specific direction for our higher education institutions to embrace the impact of globalization to their advantage and the impact of the institutional governance reformation point of views and how the impact has affected UTM DNA as a whole were observed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

To His Most Gracious and Most Merciful, we would like to express our gratitude as this report finally comes to its conclusion and submitted. We would like to thank our ABR academic advisor, Dr. Zamri bin Miskam for his continuous support and assistance. Our utmost gratitude to Pro Vice Chancellor Strategic Management Office, Prof. Ir Dr. Shahrin bin Mohammad of UTM and Prof. Dr. Awaluddin bin Mohamad Shaharoun of Faculty of Engineering, Islamic University of Madinah for their endless guidance and advise. We also express our gratitude to all academic members of the EMBA Group for their unlimited guidance, energy, time, expertise, patience, advice and openness to a wide range of opinion. Without their invaluable knowledge, advice and guidance we seek throughout the process, this report couldn't be successful.

Being able to work on this project, we strongly believe that higher education in Malaysia will become the a broad social and learning advantages and becomes the future economic development and knowledge-wealth creation to widening participation with more matured and international students as well as increased postgraduate activity. We also believe that the need for lifelong learning and up skilling among the workforce will also contribute to growth. This continuous increasing capacity will become advantage for higher education, and will also bring serious challenges in terms of human resource learning innovation and practices, funding and operational matters.

We would also like to extend our gratitude to the organization which has participated in this study, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru for sharing much needed information on its operation. On a more personal note, we personally thanked our parents, family members and friends for their endless love and encouragement in completing this report. Last but not least, to any individual who have supported, helped and worked with us throughout journey to complete our Master's Degree, with thank you from the bottom of our hearts.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| AUTI | HOR'S DECLARATION | 11 |
|------|---|----------|
| ABST | TRACT | iii |
| ACK) | NOWLEDGEMENT | ıv |
| LIST | OF TABLES | VIII |
| LIST | OF FIGURES | 1X |
| LIST | OF GLOSSARY / ABBREVIATION | х |
| СНА | PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 | Research Background | 12 14 |
| | Figure 1.1: UTM Sustainability Model 2017 | 14 |
| 1.2 | Research Problem | 15 |
| | Figure 1.2: UTM Organisation Structure 2018 | 16 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of Study | 18 |
| 1.4 | Significance of Study | 19 |
| 1.5 | Scope of Study | 19 |
| СНА | PTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 | Literature Review | 23 |
| | Globalization | 23 |
| | Income Generation and Financial Implication | 25 |
| | Institutional Policies | 26 |

| | Knowledge Management | 28 |
|------|--|----|
| | New Academia Learning Innovation | 29 |
| | Management Changes | 31 |
| | Restructuring Process | 32 |
| | Synergy | 33 |
| | Talent Management (The People) | 33 |
| | Leadership | 35 |
| | System and ICT | 37 |
| CHA] | PTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Research Methodology | 41 |
| 3.2 | The Research Design | 41 |
| 3.3 | The Population and Sample Data | |
| | Figure 3.3: Student Enrolment 10 years Trend Analysis | |
| | Figure 3.4: Achievement in QS World University Ranking by | 46 |
| | Institutional (2008 – 2018) | |
| 3.4 | The Instrument for The Research | 47 |
| 3.5 | Data Collection Method | |
| 3.6 | The Techniques and Data Analysis | 49 |
| | 3.6.1 SWOT Analysis | 49 |
| | 3.6.2 Correlation Coefficient | 49 |
| | 3.6.3 Internal Factor Evaluation (IFE) Matrix | 49 |
| СНА | APTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
| 4.1 | Background of Study | 51 |
| 4.2 | Findings and Analysis | 52 |
| | Table 4.1: SWOT Analysis Chart | 57 |
| | Table 4.2: Analysis of Factors/elements influencing the reformation process in UTM | 60 |