COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SEVERAL CLASSICAL AND MODIFIED CONJUGATE GRADIENT USING INEXACT LINE SEARCH

UZIR BIN IBRAHIM

Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirement for Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Computational Mathematics in the Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences Universiti Teknologi Mara

JULY 2018

DECLARATION

I certify this report and the project to which it refers is the product of my own work and that any idea or quotation from the work of other people, published or otherwise are fully acknowledged in accordance with standard referring practices of the discipline.

113/14

UZIR BIN IBRAHIM

2015429492

JUN 06, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENT

| DECLARATION BY SUPERVISOR | i |
|---|------|
| DECLARATION | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | iv |
| LIST OF TABLE | vi |
| LIST OF FIGURE | viii |
| ABSTRACT | ix |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Fundamental concept of optimization | 1 |
| 1.3 Problem statement | 4 |
| 1.4 Objectives | 5 |
| 1.5 Significant of the project | 5 |
| 1.6 Scope of the project | 5 |
| 1.7 Project benefit | 6 |
| 1.8 Definition of term and concept | 7 |
| 1.9 Literature review | 8 |
| CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY | 10 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 10 |
| 2.2 Research step | 10 |
| 2.3 Fundamental of Conjugate Gradient methods | 16 |

| 2.3.1 Conjugate Gradient methods | 16 |
|---|----|
| 2.3.2 General algorithm of CG methods | 18 |
| 2.4 Conclusion | 20 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 3 IMPLEMENTATION | 21 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 21 |
| 3.2 Calculation example | 21 |
| 3.3 Conclusion | 26 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 27 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 27 |
| 4.2 Numerical results | 27 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 42 |
| 4.4 Conclusion | 45 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 46 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 46 |
| 5.2 Conclusion | 46 |
| 5.3 Recommendations | 47 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 48 |
| APPENDIX | 49 |

ABSTRACT

Conjugate gradient (CG) method is one of the method in finding minimization or maximization of objective function to solve unconstrained optimization problems. Many studies have been conducted recently to improve this method but some of the modified CG seem to be more difficult and complicated than the older ones previously suggested. Therefore, in this paper, some simple classical and modified CG method will be compared based on number of iterations and CPU time to find the best solution point. The global convergence result is solved using strong Wolfe-Powell (SWP) inexact line search. Four difference initial point and five test functions is being used to test the efficiency of the CG coefficient.