

**Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**Facilities Complaints and Evaluations  
System For Kolej Kerawang UiTM  
Kuala Terengganu (e-CEK)**

**Faten Anis Azreen Bt Zakaria**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for  
Bachelor of Information Technology (Hons.)  
Business Computing  
Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences**

**January 2017**

## STUDENT DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis and the project to which it refers is the product of my own work and that any idea or quotation from the work of other people, published or otherwise are fully acknowledged in accordance with the standard referring practices of the discipline.

.....

FATEN ANIS AZREEN BT ZAKARIA

2014991571

JANUARY 26, 2014

## ABSTRACT

Facilities Complaints and Evaluations system for Kolej Kerawang (e-CEK) is a system that being developed for Unit Pengurusan Kolej Kerawang (UPKK). This system enable user to manage information of facilities complaints and evaluations in a systematic way. Currently, all the facilities complaints and evaluations are conducting manually that requires student to fill in the form, submit the form to the college staff and college staff need to approve the complaints. Then, college staff will contact staff of Bahagian Pengurusan Fasiliti (BPF) for further action. With the increasing number of students, the manual process is time consuming and need a lot of book usage to record the complaint information. This system will enhance the flow of current process and facilitate user in handling the complaints and evaluation task. Adapted Waterfall Model is used for development of e-CEK which contains five phases that need to be followed. The five phases are planning, analysis, design, development and testing and evaluation. Other than that, User Centered Design (UCD) are implemented in this system development which is involve the user directly in each phase. Functionality testing is conducted by the developer and tester by using the test plan based on stated requirements. In term of usability, the system is evaluated by three experts and thirty respondents. Six constructs were used in the evaluation process that consists of ease of use, user interface, user experience, consistency and satisfaction. Overall, the highest mean is satisfaction construct (Mean=4.53, SD=0.57). For future enhancement, it is recommended that this system to have a notification module where notification via short message system (SMS) for the technician to be alerted that they have been assigned for a new task.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CONTENT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>SUPERVISOR APPROVAL</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>STUDENT DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	6
1.3 Objective	8
1.4 Scope of Facilities Complaints and Evaluations System for Kolej Kerawang (e-CEK)	9
1.5 Significance	10
1.6 Project Framework	12
1.7 Gantt Chart	13
1.8 Conclusion	15
<b>CHAPTER TWO:LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	16
2.2 Web-Based Application	17
2.3 Benefit and Risk of Web-Based Application	18
2.4 Management Information System (MIS)	19
2.4.1 Evaluation System	20
A. Online Complaint Management System	20
B Offline Complaint Management System	21

2.4.2 Evaluation System	21
A Online Evaluation Management System	22
B Offline Evaluation Management System	22
2.5 System Development Model	22
2.5.1 Waterfall Model	23
2.5.2 Extreme Programming	25
2.5.3 Spiral Model	26
2.5.4 Rapid Application Development	28
2.5.5 Prototype	29
2.6 Comparison of System Development Model	30
2.7 Human Centered Design	31
2.7.1 User-Centered Design	31
2.8 Similar Existing System	32
2.8.1 UiTM e-Aduan Fasilitas	32
2.8.2 iPelajar, UiTM Kampus Dungun	35
2.8.3 UKM Feedback and Complaint System (e-Fact)	37
2.8.4 E-ADUAN MPP UPM	40
2.8.5 UiTM Evaluation System	41
2.8.6 Teaching Assessment UPM	43
2.8.7 Course Evaluation (e-SPP), UMT	43
2.9 Comparison Features of Existing System	44
2.9.1 Comparison Features of Complaint System	45
2.9.2 Comparison Features of Evaluation System	46
2.10 Implication of Literature Review	46
2.11. Conclusion	47

## **CHAPTER THREE:RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Methodology Overview	50
3.3 Project Development Methodology	51
3.3.1 Planning	52
3.3.2 Analysis	53
A. User Requirement	54
B. System Requirement	55