# MEASUREMENT OF QUALITY COST TOWARDS SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF A PROJECT

## By AHMAD HAFIZUL BIN ZAINAN

Report is submitted as the requirement for the degree of Bachelor Engineering (Hons) (Civil)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA APRIL 2007

#### **DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE**

I am Ahmad Hafizul Bin Zainan, Uitm no. 2004362951 confirms that the work is my own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** 

In preparing this thesis, I would like to thanks and acknowledge all the peoples that have

contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. I wish to express my appreciation

to my supervisor, Mr Abdul Rahman Bin Ayub, Head Devision of Construction

Engineering and Project Management, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti

Teknologi Mara Pulau Pinang for his guidance, advices and motivation. This

acknowledgement also to Pn Tengku Muhaini Tuan Mat, Coordinator of Information

Technology and Science Quantitative, Universiti Teknologi Mara Pulau Pinang for her

advice, careful guidance and comments toward the accomplishment of this thesis.

Not to forget to all contractors in Pulau Pinang on cooperation in providing much useful

information to the thesis.

I am also to express my fully thankful to my parent for their encouragement and

motivation. My appreciation also extends to all my colleagues who have provided

opinion, advices and most important a moral spirit to finish this thesis. I hope that I had

fulfilled the tasks in doing this thesis.

AHMAD HAFIZUL BIN ZAINAN

(ARRIL 2007)

111

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| CHAPTI                | ER   |                             | PAGE |
|-----------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|
|                       |      |                             |      |
| DECLARATION           |      |                             | ii   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT       |      |                             | iii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES       |      |                             | x    |
| LIST OF TAI           | BLES |                             | xi   |
| LIST OF CHARTS        |      |                             | xiv  |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS |      |                             | xv   |
| LIST OF APPENDIX      |      |                             | xvi  |
| ABSTRACT              |      |                             | xvii |
|                       |      |                             |      |
| CHAPTER               |      |                             | PAGE |
|                       |      |                             |      |
| 1                     | RESE | EARCH DIRECTION             |      |
|                       | 1.1  | General Introduction        | 1    |
|                       | 1.2  | Problem Statement           | 3    |
|                       | 1.3  | Objectives                  | 4    |
|                       | 1.4  | Scope of Work               | 4    |
|                       | 1.5  | Significant of the research | 4    |
|                       | 1.6  | Research Organization       | 5    |

| CHAPTER |     |  | PAGE |
|---------|-----|--|------|
| 2       | LIT | ERATURE REVIEW                                 |      |
|         | 2.1 | Introduction                                   | 6    |
|         | 2.2 | The Meaning of Quality                         | 7    |
|         | 2.3 | Defining Quality Costs                         | 8    |
|         |     | 2.3.1 Prevention Costs                         | 9    |
|         |     | 2.3.2 Appraisal Costs                          | 10   |
|         |     | 2.3.3 Internal Failure Costs                   | 10   |
|         |     | 2.3.4 External Failure Costs                   | 11   |
|         | 2.4 | Quality Cost Measurement System                | 11   |
|         | 2.5 | Previous Studies                               | 12   |
|         |     | 2.5.1 The Process Cost Model                   | 14   |
|         |     | 2.5.2 Quality Cost Matrix                      | 15   |
|         | 2.6 | Project Quality Parameter                      | 15   |
|         |     | 2.6.1 Financier Parameter                      | 16   |
|         |     | 2.6.2 Owner Parameter                          | 16   |
|         |     | 2.6.3 Contractor Parameter                     | 16   |
|         |     | 2.6.4 Consultant Parameter                     | 17   |
|         |     | 2.6.5 Coordination Parameter                   | 17   |
|         |     | 2.6.7 Environmental Parameter                  | 17   |
|         | 2.7 | Buildability Concept in Quality Cost           | 18   |
|         | 2.8 | ISO 9000 Quality Management Systems            | 19   |
|         |     | 2.8.1 Application of ISO 9000 and Quality Cost | 20   |

| CHAPTER |      |                                       | PAGE |
|---------|------|---------------------------------------|------|
|         | 2.9  | Decrease of Quality Cost              | 21   |
|         |      | 2.9.1 Decreasing Failure Costs        | 21   |
|         |      | 2.9.2 Decreasing Prevention Costs     | 22   |
|         |      | 2.9.3 Decreasing Appraisal Costs      | 22   |
|         | 2.10 | Uses of Quality Cost                  | 22   |
|         |      | 2.10.1 Quality as Business Parameter  | 23   |
|         |      | 2.10.2 Performance Measures           | 23   |
|         |      | 2.10.3 Planning and Controlling Costs | 24   |
|         |      |                                       |      |
| 3       | MET  | THODOLOGY                             |      |
|         | 3.1  | Introduction                          | 26   |
|         | 3.2  | Research Process                      | 27   |
|         |      | 3.2.1 First Component                 | 27   |
|         |      | 3.2.2 Second Component                | 27   |
|         |      | 3.2.3 Third Component                 | 29   |
|         |      | 3.2.4 Fourth Component                | 30   |
|         |      | 3.2.5 Fifth Component                 | 30   |
|         |      | 3.2.6 Six Component                   | 30   |
|         |      | 3.2.7 Final Component                 | 31   |
|         | 3.3  | Conceptual Framework                  | 31   |

**CHAPTER** PAGE

### 4 ANALYSIS OF DATA AND RESULTS

| 4.1 | Introduction   | 35 |  |
|-----|--|----|--|
| 4.2 | Descriptive Statistics                                     | 35 |  |
|     | 4.2.1 Frequency Analysis                                   | 35 |  |
| 4.3 | Contractor Analysis  | 36 |  |
|     | 4.3.1 Contractor Analysis by Grade of CIDB                 | 36 |  |
|     | 4.3.2 Contractor Analysis by Status of Company             | 38 |  |
|     | 4.3.3 Contractor Analysis by Duration Involvement          | 39 |  |
|     | in construction industry                                   |    |  |
|     | 4.3.4 Respondents Analyses                                 | 41 |  |
| 4.4 | Analysis on Extra Measures to Expedite Work Schedule       | 43 |  |
| 4.5 | Data Analyses for Project Quality Parameter                | 44 |  |
|     | 4.5.1 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (A)  | 46 |  |
|     | 4.5.2 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (B)  | 47 |  |
|     | 4.5.3 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (C)  | 48 |  |
|     | 4.5.4 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (D)  | 49 |  |
|     | 4.5.5 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (E)  | 50 |  |
|     | 4.5.6 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (F)  | 51 |  |
|     | 4.5.7 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (G)  | 52 |  |
|     | 4.5.8 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (H)  | 53 |  |
|     | 4.5.9 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (I)  | 54 |  |
|     | 4.5.10 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (J) | 55 |  |

**CHAPTER** PAGE

|       | 4.5.11 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (K) | 56 |
|-------|--|----|
|       | 4.5.12 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (L) | 57 |
|       | 4.5.13 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (M) | 58 |
|       | 4.5.14 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (N) | 59 |
|       | 4.5.15 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (O) | 60 |
|       | 4.5.16 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (P) | 61 |
|       | 4.5.17 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (Q) | 62 |
|       | 4.5.18 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (R) | 63 |
|       | 4.5.19 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (S) | 64 |
|       | 4.5.20 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (T) | 65 |
|       | 4.5.21 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (U) | 66 |
|       | 4.5.22 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (V) | 67 |
|       | 4.5.23 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (W) | 68 |
|       | 4.5.24 Frequency Analysis on Project Quality Parameter (X) | 69 |
| 4.6   | Central Tendency and Dispersion Analysis                   | 70 |
| 4.7   | Analysis Data using Contribution Weightage Formula         | 75 |
| 4.8   | Results  | 78 |
|       |  |    |
| DISCU | SSIONS   |    |
| 5.1   | Introduction   | 81 |
| 5.2   | Review of the Findings                                     | 81 |

5

| СНАРТЕ                                   | R        |   | PAGE |
|--|----------|---|------|
|  | 5.3      | Discussion on the Results               | 83   |
|  |          | 5.3.1 Quality of Raw Material           | 83   |
|  |          | 5.3.2 Site Management and Organization  | 84   |
|  |          | 5.3.3 Fraudulent Practises and Kickback | 85   |
|  |          |   |      |
| 6  | CON      | CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION             |      |
|  | 6.1      | Introduction                            | 87   |
|  | 6.2      | Conclusion for the Findings             | 89   |
|  | 6.3      | Recommendations for Future Development  | 91   |
|  |          |   |      |
| REFERE                                   | ENCES    |   | 93   |
|  |          |   |      |
| APPENI                                   | OIX A: S | SAMPLE OF QUESTIONNAIRE                 | 97   |
| APPENDIX B: SAMPLE OF NEWSPAPER CUTTINGS |          |   | 102  |
| APPENI                                   | OIX C: S | SPSS 13.0 OUTPUT                        | 110  |

#### **ABSTRACT**

This research measures quality cost towards successful completion of a project in construction industry. A successful completion of a project refers to the project that is completed within time, cost and meets the required quality. The construction industry is one of the productive sectors that constantly contribute to the economy. In construction industry, activities are typically divided into functional areas, which are performed by different disciplines example architect, engineers and contractors. Each discipline makes decisions without considering its impact on others. As a result, this leads to time waste, unnecessary cost, increased errors, and misunderstanding, and thus rework, which has been found to be primary factor of time and cost overruns in construction industry. In this research, the samples consist of 60 contractors in Pulau Pinang. The data was collected using self-administered questionnaire. SPSS Version 13.0 and Contribution Weightage Formula were used to analyses the data. In this research, the project quality parameters will be identified and presented into level or ranking It was found that Quality of Raw Material was the main project quality parameter affecting on quality cost. Then it was followed by Site Management and Organization. Fraudulent Practices and Kickback was the least affecting on quality cost. Other project quality parameters are cost monitoring and control, cooperation of all parties in the project, time emphasis in construction process, selection of project manager with proven track record, monitoring and feedback by client, project cost estimation, government permits and approval, size and value of the project, project environment assessment, safety awareness on site, financial problems, adequate modern equipment and machineries, well defined project scope and objective, project social assessment, climatic condition at site, improper project design, poor labour skill, excessive change order, disputes resolution. This research will benefit for contractors in measure the quality cost towards successful completion of a project. This study also provides recommendations and suggestions for future research development.