### UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

## **TECHNICAL REPORT**

# THE APPLICATION OF INTERVAL TYPE 2 FUZZY ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS TO SOLVE PERSONNEL SELECTION PROBLEMS

#### P33S19

RAJA NUR HAFIZAH BINTI RAJA HANG TUAH (2017582181) NURFAEZAH BINTI MOHD HUSIN (2017148143) MARDIANA BINTI ZAINI (2017320421)

Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of
Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Mathematics
Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

**DECEMBER 2019** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Firstly, we are grateful to Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to complete this project successfully.

We would like to express our deepest appreciation to all those who have provided us the opportunity to complete this project.

Our special gratitude goes to Miss Nor Faradilah Binti Mahad, our supervisor for this Final Year Project (FYP) who guided us in detail; especially in writing the report and for giving an enormous amount of advices so that this project would be a success.

Not to forget, we would like to express our utmost gratitude to Dr. Nor Azni Shahari, our MSP660 lecturer who have selflessly contributed to the completion of this project. We would also like to thank the experts who we have interviewed for their cooperation and hospitality as well as their willingness to be our questionnaire respondents.

Last but not least, we would like to thank our team members and other friends for their continuous support and responses whenever we asked for their cooperation for the sake of completing this project.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	. iii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
ABSTRACT	6
CHAPTER 1	7
1.0. INTRODUCTION	7
1.1. Introduction	7
1.2. Problem Statement	9
1.3. Objectives	9
1.4. Significance and Benefit of the Study	9
1.5. Scope of the Study	. 10
1.6. Limitation of the Study	. 10
CHAPTER 2	. 11
2.0. BACKGROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW	. 11
2.1. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	. 11
2.2. Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (FAHP)	. 13
2.2.1. Triangular Fuzzy Numbers (TFNs)	
2.2.2. Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers	
2.3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (IFAHP)	. 16
2.4. Interval Type 2 Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (IT2 FAHP)	
2.5. Selection of Criteria	
2.6. Sensitivity Analysis	20
2.7. Conclusion	21
CHAPTER 3	22
3.0. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION	22
3.1. The Procedures of Interval Type-2 Fuzzy AHP (IT2 FAHP)	23
3.2. Implementation of Interval Type-2 Fuzzy AHP (IT2 FAHP)	
CHAPTER 4	39
4.0. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	39
4.1. Ranking for Criteria and Alternatives of IT2 FAHP	39
4.2. Absolute Error.	
4.3. Sensitivity Analysis of Decision Making	41
4.4. Comparison between IT2 FAHP and AHP	
CHAPTER 5	
5.0. CONLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	47
5.1. Conclusion	47
5.2. Recommendations	48
CHAPTER 6	49
6.0. REFERENCES	49
CHAPTER 7	53
7.0. APPENDIX	53

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: The fundamental scale	. 12
Table 2: Fuzzy linguistic variables and corresponding fuzzy numbers (Cagri Tolga,	
Tuysuz, & Kahraman, 2013)	. 15
Table 3: A new linguistic variable preference scale of trapezoidal IT2 FN	
Table 4: A new linguistic preference scale of reciprocal trapezoidal IT2 FN	
Table 5: Linguistic variables for the importance of DMs	
Table 6: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix Criterion for Decision Maker 1	
Table 7: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix Criterion for Decision Maker 2	
Table 8: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix Criterion for Decision Maker 3	
Table 9: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix Criterion for Decision Maker 4	
Table 10: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix Criterion for Decision Maker 5	
Table 11: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix Criterion for Decision Maker 6	
Table 12: Consistency Ratio for The Matrix Above	
Table 13: Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Judgment Matrix for criterion from DM1	
Table 14: Results for average decision matrix of Decision Maker 1	. 32
Table 15: List of linguistic variables for the importance of each DMs.	. 32
Table 16: Ranking values for upper trapezoidal of IT2 FS Dij	
Table 17: Ranking values for lower trapezoidal of IT2 FS Dij	
Table 18: Result of Normalized Ranking Values	
Table 19: Result of IT2 FAHP for Decision Maker 1	. 37
Table 20: Result of IT2 FAHP for Decision Maker 2	. 37
Table 21: Result of IT2 FAHP for Decision Maker 3	. 38
Table 22: Result of IT2 FAHP for Decision Maker 4	. 38
Table 23: Result of IT2 FAHP for Decision Maker 5	. 38
Table 24: Result of IT2 FAHP for Decision Maker 6	. 38
Table 25: Final result of IT2 FAHP for criteria	. 39
Table 26: Final result of IT2 FAHP for alternatives	
Table 27: Weight, Error and Rank of Criteria of IT2FAHP Method	. 42
Table 28: Weight, Error and Rank of Alternatives of IT2 FAHP Method	. 44
Table 29: Comparison of the Weight Priority of Criteria between AHP and IT2 FAHP	. 46
Table 30: Comparison of the Weight Priority of Alternatives between AHP and IT2	
FAHP	
Table 31: Ranking for criteria between expert choice and IT2 FAHP	
Table 32: Ranking for alternatives between expert choice and IT2 FAHP	. 47
Table 33: Comparison between IT2 FAHP and AHP	48

#### **ABSTRACT**

Personnel selection is a phase of human resource management which is selecting the best personnel from a pool of candidates suitable for a vacant position in an organization. This paper aims to present a comprehensive multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) method extended by Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Sets (IT2 FSs) which is Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (IT2 FAHP). Then, the weight priority obtained by IT2 FAHP will be compared with the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method and it illustrated that the weight priority of AHP is higher than IT2 FAHP. This paper also formalizes several important issues on sensitivity analysis and derived some critical theoretical results. Finally, it can be concluded that the final evaluation made by the decision makers has a significant consistency shown by sensitivity analysis results. Fuzzy sets of type-2 can be used to cater the problem of the inability of Interval Type-1 Fuzzy Sets (IT1 FSs) to deal with membership grade even with an exact number in [0,1]. Six criteria (work experience  $(C_1)$ , education  $(C_2)$ , analytical thinking  $(C_3)$ , communication and problem solving skills  $(C_4)$ , time management  $(C_5)$  and core ability  $(C_6)$ ) were identified by the organization on selecting the right personnel among five candidates  $(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \text{ and } A_5)$ . The results show that  $C_6$  and  $A_1$  is the most preferred criterion and alternative respectively. Thus, the implementation of the proposed methodology is further demonstrated by several illustrative examples.