UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

AN IMAGE ANALYSIS OF ADHESIVE REMNANT INDEX BETWEEN CERAMIC AND METAL BRACKETS : A CLINICAL STUDY

MOHD FARIQ BIN USOFF

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Clinical Dentistry (Orthodontics)**

Faculty of Dentistry

February 2018

ABSTRACT

The debonding characteristics of the brackets can be determined from Adhesive Remnant Index (ARI) score. To date, there is a lack of clinical studies to evaluate the debonding characteristics between metal and ceramic brackets. This research aims to compare the ARI scores between metal and ceramic brackets and to compare the mean percentage of the adhesive remnant on the bracket base. The data were obtained from patients who required debonding of fixed orthodontic appliances. The patients were allocated into conventional metal brackets, self-ligating metal brackets, and self-ligating ceramic brackets. All brackets were mechanically debonded using recommended pliers. After debonding, ARI score was recorded based on the image analysis measurement of the photograph. All debonded brackets were evaluated under a stereomicroscope, and the percentage of the adhesive remnant on the bracket base was calculated using image analysis. The data were analysed using SPSS version 24.0 and the level of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. 64 brackets in each group were evaluated in this study. There was a statistically significant difference between the three types of brackets in the ARI assessment on the tooth surface. The result was consistent with the image analysis of the mean percentage of adhesive remnant on the bracket base. The result showed a statistically significant difference between self-ligating metal brackets and other types of brackets. In conclusion, the self-ligating metal brackets had the most tooth surface adhesive remnant and the least adhesive remnant on the bracket base.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, thank to Allah for giving me the opportunity, the strength and the patience to complete my thesis, after all the challenges and difficulties.

First and foremost, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my supervisor Dr Maryati Md Dasor and my co-supervisor Prof Fouad Hussain Al-Bayaty who have guide and assist me tremendously throughout this thesis process. This appreciation is also dedicated to Miss Izyan Hazwani Baharuddin for her support in statistical analysis. My gratitude also extends to my postgraduate orthodontic coordinator, Dr Noraina Hafizan Norman and all lecturers who involved in research progress meeting for their advice and insightful comments. I would like to acknowledge all orthodontic lecturers who contributed their patients to be part of this research, and the supporting staffs, Pn Aisyah and En Azmi who are helping me in the clinic and the laboratory.

I would like to thank to my fellow batch mates, Dr Syed Bazli, Dr Zubaidah, Dr Naili and Dr Nuriah for the stimulating discussions, for the knowledges we were sharing together before deadlines and for all the fun we have had in the last four years.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents (Hj. Usoff Yong and Hjh Rosmini Ismail), my wife (Pn. Intan Suhada Shaharom), my children (Alya Amani, Abdullah Aiman and Aisya Amna) and my family members for providing me with unfailing pray, support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of clinical study, research and thesis writing. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you for your sacrifice and patience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	5
1.3.1 Null Hypothesis	5
1.4 Significance of Study	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Orthodontic Brackets	7
2.1.1 Introduction	7
2.1.2 Primary Design of Pre-Adjusted Edgewise Appliance	8
2.1.3 Brackets Design and Slot	12
2.1.4 Types of Brackets	13
2.1.4.1 Conventional	13
2.1.4.2 Self-Ligating	13
2.1.5 Types of Bracket Material	17
2.1.5.1 Metal Brackets	18
2.1.5.2 Plastic Brackets	20
2.1.5.3 Ceramic Brackets	21

	2.1.6 Types of Bracket Base	25
	2.1.6.1 Metal Brackets	25
	2.1.6.2 Ceramic Brackets	28
2.2	Etching System	31
	2.2.1 Total Etch Technique	32
	2.2.2 Self-Etching Primers	33
2.3	Bonding Material	36
	2.3.1 Diacrylates	37
	2.3.2 Chemical and Light Cure Composite Resin	38
	2.3.3 Glass Polyalkenoate Cements	40
2.4	Debonding	42
	2.4.1 Metal Brackets	44
	2.4.2 Ceramic Brackets	44
	2.4.3 Technique of Debonding	47
2.5	Complications Associated With Debonding Proceedure	49
	2.5.1 Enamel Tear-Outs	49
	2.5.2 Enamel Cracks	51
2.6	Adhesive Remnant Index (ARI)	54
	2.6.1 Method of ARI Assessment	55
2.7	Disclosing Solution	58
СН	APTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	60
3.1	Ethic of Approval	60
3.2	Sample Size Calculation	60
3.3	Patients Selection	61
3.4	Examiner Calibration and Reliability	62
3.5	The Use Of Dental Disclosing Solution In Adhesive Remnant Index (ARI)	63
	Assessment-A Pilot Study	
	3.5.1 Materials and Methods	63
	3.5.2 Result	68
3.6	Debonding Characteristics of The Brackets Using Photographic and	69
	Microscopic Assessment	