A LEGAL REVIEW ON THE ENFORCEMENT OF POSTAL SUMMONS IN MALAYSIA

By

Munirah Binti Muhamad (2008747347)

Nurul Mardiah Binti Salamat (2008790353)

Nur Shahilah Bt Mohd Sharif (2008388047)

Nor Asyikin Binti Mohd Naser (2008385623)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of Law

April 2011

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of One True God, The Beneficent, The Merciful. First of all we would like to thank Allah, the Creator, for giving us the ability to complete this research and for guiding us while doing it.

Special thanks to our Project Supervisor, Puan Faridah Hussain for her guidance and support to complete this research. She has supervised us very well to finish up this research and has shared her knowledge to help us in finishing this research.

This research was conducted by four people, Munirah Binti Muhammad, Nurul Mardiah Binti Salamat, Nur Shahilah Binti Mohd Sharif, and Nor Asyikin Binti Mohd Naser. All members have contributed to this research, so that this research could be done well and is finished in accordance with the time given.

A lot of thanks to those people who have involved in this research including the person who has been interviewed and involved in answering the questionnaire, for their support and information.

Our thanks also go to our families which have supported us especially our parents and finally for everybody who support us with the resources that we need to complete this research.

ABSTRACT

There are two types of traffic summons which were issued by the Police (PDRM) and the Road Transport Department (JPJ), namely on-the-spot summons (POL257) and postal summons (POL 170A). The issuance of postal summons is higher than on-the-spot summons as there is a large number of postal summons in Malaysia. The issuance of postal summons has been questioned recently as it was contended that postal summons is not a traffic summons, but merely a notice to get the information from the vehicles' owners. The contention that the blacklisting action adopted in postal summons system has been discussed and it was further explained by the judge in a case in Sarawak High Court. The enforcement of postal summons is believed to have deterrence effect and may be an effective way to punish traffic offenders, hence educate them indirectly.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ackno	wledge	ment	i		
Abstra	ict		iii		
Conte	nts		iv		
List of	Cases		vii		
CHAI	PTER (ONE: INTRODUCTION			
1.1	Backg	round of the Research	1		
1.2	Problem Statement				
1.3	Research Objectives				
1.4	Significance of the Research				
1.5	Scope and Limitation of the Research				
1.6	Research Methodology				
1.7	Outline of the Structure of the Paper				
1.8	Concl	ision	10		
СНАІ	TER T	WO: AN OVERVIEW ON THE ENFORCEMENT OF			
		POSTAL SUMMONS IN MALAYSIA			
2.0	Introduction				
2.1	Differences between Summons, On-the-Spot Summons, and				
	Postal	Summons			
	2.1.1	General Definition of Summons	11		
	2.1.2	Definition of On-the-Spot Summons (POL 257)	12		
	2.1.3	Definition of Postal Summons (POL 170A)	13		
2.2	The Enforcement of Postal Summons				
	2.2.1	Previous Policy and Regulation on Postal Summons	15		

	2.2.2 Current	Policy and Regulation on Postal Summons	17		
2.3	The Compariso	on on the Amount of Compound in Previous	18		
	and Current Er	aforcement of Postal Summons			
2.4	Conclusion		20		
CHA	PTER THREE:	THE ACT OF BLACKLISTING BY THE RO	AD		
		TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT (JPJ) V ARTI	CLE 13		
		OF FEDERAL CONSTITUTION (RIGHTS T	O		
		PROPERTY)			
3.1	Introduction		22		
3.2	Article 13 (1) of Federal Constitution				
3.3	Right to Blacklist under the Road Transport Act 1987				
3.4	Conclusion		26		
CHAI	PTER FOUR:	RESULTS AND FINDINGS			
4.1	Introduction		28		
4.2	Interviews				
	4.2.1 Intervie	w with the Royal Malaysian Police (PDRM)	28		
	4.2.2 An Inte	rview with the Road Transport Department (JPJ)	30		
	4.2.3 An Inte	rview with the Judiciary (Magistrate)	33		
4.3	Questionnaire 34				
4.4	Conclusion				