A STUDY OF SEPARATION OF POWERS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE BETWEEN THE EXECUTIVES AND JUDICIARY IN MALAYSIA

By

Tun Saiful Aman bin Zakaria (2005511624)
Bashirah bt Abdul Wahab (2005511711)
Mohd Shahrom bin Idris (2005511631)
Nurul Farhana bt Mohamed Amin (2005330130)
Nurul Shida Abdul Rahman (2005511750)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

University Teknologi Mara Faculty of Law

April 2010

The students / authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We praise to the almighty Allah for giving us the strength and patience to complete the research. We would like to express our sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to the following persons for their support during the research. To our sole supervisor Encik Ismail Badiuzzaman, thanks for the guidance, advice, encouragement, patience and endless support. Our deepest gratitude is extended to Dato Ahmad Nawawi bin Dato Zin, ISTECH staff, and Insolvency department for their support in encouraging us to continue our endeavour and to make this step in our life possible. Not forgetting to all our friends for their valuable friendship and encouragement for making life in aboard bearable. Last but not least, we would like to extend our special thanks to our beloved family for their love, support, encouragement and trust in us.

This research project has been carried out by a team which has included Tun Saiful Aman bin Zakaria, Bashirah bt Abdul Wahab, Mohd Shahrom bin Idris, Nurul Farhana bt Amin and Nurul Shida bt Abdul Rahman.

Tun Saiful Aman contribution is for the part of Chapter 2 Literature review, on current issues, Selangor and Interview. Bashirah Abdul Wahab contribution is for the Chapter 1, Chapter 2 Definition and introduction, Chapter 3 Findings, Chapter 4 Analysis, and chapter 5. Mohd Shahrom contribution is for chapter 2 literature review, Amendment of article 121 and chapter 3 Interview. While Nurul Farhana contribution is for part chapter 1, Chapter 2 Judiciary, Chapter 5, recommendation and conclusion. Lastly Nurul Shida Abdul Rahman contributes on chapter 2 Executive only.

ABSTRACT

This project attempts to identify the effectiveness of Separation of Powers practice in Malaysia between Executives and Judiciary. Data were gathered from questionnaires, interviews and observation of and participation in lectures, seminars and tutorials. The report concludes that there exists interference in the separations of powers apply in Malaysia. From the survey, we found that most of them agreed that there is interference from the executive in judiciary. This research is to see whether the theoretical of which many of people, books and newspaper and articles have said and claimed of the overlapping of powers between the Executive and the Judiciary. Hence, the research is conducted to identify the actual situation that creates the assumptions of the ineffectiveness application which it is a controversial issue that is usually spoken in the café's and nets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstraction Content List of	ts .	i ii iv v vi	
СНАР	TER ONE: INTRODUCTION		
1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	Introduction Problem Statement Objectives Significance of the Study Scope and Limitation Research Methodology Conclusion	1 3 4 4 5 6 7	
СНАР	TER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW OF SEPARA POWERS	ATION	OF
2.0 2.1	Introduction Executives and Judiciary 2.1.1 The Function of the Executive 2.1.2 The various types of Executive 2.1.3 Nominal Executive 2.1.4 The Real Executive 2.1.5 Single Executive 2.1.6 Plural Executive 2.1.7 Political Executive 2.1.8 Non-Political Executive	8 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12	,
2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Conceptual Issues 2.2.1 Power of Executives and Judiciary 2.2.2 Limitation of Executive Power 2.2.4 Limitation of Power of Judiciary 2.2.3 Amendment of Article 121 of the Constitution Encroachment of Executives and Judiciary Current issues 2.4.1 Selangor to remove executive powers over legislature Conclusion	12 12 13 13 15 25 31 32 34	

СНА	PTER THREE: FINDINGS AND INTERVIEWS	
3.0 3.1	Introduction Findings 3.1.1 Public Awareness 3.1.2 Law Practitioners Awareness	35 35 35 39
3.2 3.3	Interviews	41 43
CHAI	PTER FOUR: ANALYSIS	
4.0	Introduction	44
4.1	Comparison between Theory and Practicability of Separation of Powers	44
4.2 4.3	Discussion	46 46
CHAI	PTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.0 5.1	Introduction Dealing with the challenges of Separation of Powers in Executive and judiciary	47 47
5.2	Recommendations	48
5.3	Conclusion	48
Biblio	graphy	49
Apper		
	Appendix 1: Article 39 Federal Constitution (Executive)	51
	Appendix 2: Article 44 Federal Constitution (Legislative)	52
	Appendix 3: Article 121 Federal Constitution (Judiciary)	53
	Appendix 4: Constitution (Amendment) Act 1988 (ACT A704)	60
!	Appendix 5: Questionnaires	65
4	Appendix 6: Interview Questions	66
9-	Appendix 7: Articles Raja Nazrin Outlines Three Essential for Effective Nation Building	67
	Appendix 8: MP's not above the Law	70
	Appendix 9: Aliran Article- Crisis in the Judiciary	75
	Appendix 10: Article- My Constitution	80
	Appendix 11: 1988 Malaysian Constitutional Crisis	81
	Appendix 12: The Judiciary During the Mahathir Era	83
	Appendix 13: Lim Kit Siang doesn't understand Separation of Power says DPM	85

90 100

Appendix 14: Separation of Power Amiss by Thomas Soon Appendix15:Selangor to Remove Executive Power