

**COMPARISON BETWEEN MALAYSIAN CRIMINAL LAW AND
ISLAMIC CRIMINAL LAW ON THE ISSUE OF MINOR'S
CRIMINAL LIABILITY**

By

Lily Suryati binti Mohd Jamil (2006827920)

Nurzalifah binti Sulaiman (2006885062)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of LAW**

October 2008

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamu'alaikum warahmatullahi wabarakatuh...

Alhamdulillah with God's blessing, we have finished our research. First and foremost we would like to express grateful thanks to Professor Madya Datuk Abdul Halim Sidek, who had been supervised us in many aspects in relation to complete this Honours Project Paper and he deserves a mention here on his capacity as our supervisor.

It is our pleasure to extend our sincere thanks to the staff at Perpustakaan Tun Abdul Razak 2 (PTAR2) who assist us with easy access for the law research online-based. Without their help, it would not be so easy for us to do the law research and all of the preparation of this task.

We are also grateful to all the lecturers and students at the Faculty of Law, Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia for their co-operation in our task to finish up our survey session. Without them, it might be arduous for us to continue completing this task as it needs much effort and sacrifices to do or deals with.

We wish to acknowledge our debt of gratitude and a word of appreciation to our family for endless support and encouragements which contribute to the smooth and fine process in preparing our project paper. With harm appreciation and a special note to show that all of their thoughts and efforts will be recalled more often than they know.

Lily Suryati binti Mohd Jamil

Nurzalifah binti Sulaiman

October 2008

ABSTRACT

There has been a confliction between the applications of the punishment imposed on child between the provision under Malaysian Law and Islamic Law in relation to criminal liability. This situation actually causes confusion especially relating to offences which involved *muslim* child in order to determine which law that should be applicable to them. Thus, this project paper seeks to highlight and discuss the different liability imposed under both Malaysian Law and Islamic Law. References also been made to the relevant statutes that govern Malaysian Law and relevant authorities in *Quran* and *Hadith*. It must be noted that this project paper only highlight in three offences which includes theft, wine drinking and adultery and all the cases that had been discussed concentrates on the liability imposed on child, and it is significant to show the difference of the law imposed towards the child.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii	
Abstract	iii	
Table of contents	iv	
Table of cases	vii	
Table of charts	viii	
CHAPTER 1:	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Background	4
1.3	Objective and Scope	4
1.4	Significance of the Research	5
1.5	Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
1.6	Research Methodology	6
CHAPTER TWO:	DEFINITION OF CHILD	
2.1	Malaysian Law	
	2.1.1 Child Act 2001	8
	2.1.2 Penal Code	9
2.2	Islamic Law	10
	2.2.1 Hadith	10
	2.2.2 Opinion of the Muslim Scholars	10
2.3	Comparison between Malaysian Law and Islamic Law	11
CHAPTER THREE:	LIABILITY OF THE CHILD	
3.1	Theft	13
	3.1.1 Malaysian Law	13
	3.1.1.1 Statutes	13
	3.1.1.2 Case Law	15
	3.1.1.3 Conclusion	16
	3.1.2 Islamic Law	17
	3.1.2.1 The Punishment	17
	3.1.2.2 Cases	18
	3.1.2.3 Conclusion	19
	3.1.3 Comparison between Malaysian Law and Islamic Law	19
3.2	Wine Drinking	21
	3.2.1 Malaysian Law	21
	3.2.1.1 Statutes	21
	3.2.1.2 Case Law	22

3.2.1.3 Conclusion	22
3.2.2 Islamic Law	23
3.2.2.1 The Punishment	24
3.2.2.2 Cases	25
3.2.2.3 Conclusion	26
3.2.3 Comparison between Malaysian Law and Islamic Law	26
3.3 Adultery	28
3.3.1 Malaysian Law	28
3.3.1.1 Statutes	28
3.3.1.2 Case Law	29
3.3.1.3 Conclusion	31
3.3.2 Islamic Law	31
3.3.2.1 The Punishment	33
3.3.2.2 Cases	34
3.3.2.3 Conclusion	35
3.3.3 Comparison between Malaysian Law and Islamic Law	36

CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION OF THE DATA COLLECTED

4.1 Background of the respondents	38
4.1.1 Gender	38
4.1.2 Age	39
4.1.3 Occupation	40
4.2 Knowledge of the respondents	41
4.2.1 Definition of child	41
4.2.2 Law which governs children in Malaysia	42
4.2.3 Proper forum for child	42
4.2.4 Applicability of the Child Act 2001	43
4.2.5 Jurisdiction of Syariah Court	44
4.2.6 Applicability of Hudud Law in Malaysia	45
4.2.7 Non-applicability of Hudud punishment on a child	46
4.3 Opinion of the respondents	47
4.3.1 Punishment for theft under Malaysian Law	47
4.3.2 Reason for Sufficiency of punishment	48
4.3.3 Reason for insufficiency of punishment	49
4.3.4 Punishment for theft under Islamic Law	50
4.3.5 Preference of Law	51
4.3.6 Punishment for Wine Drinking under Malaysian Law	52
4.3.7 Reason for Sufficiency of punishment	53
4.3.8 Reason for insufficiency of punishment	54
4.3.9 Punishment for Wine Drinking under Islamic Law	55
4.3.10 Preference of Law	56
4.3.11 Punishment for Adultery under Malaysian Law	57
4.3.12 Reason for Sufficiency of punishment	58
4.3.13 Reason for insufficiency of punishment	59