# A STUDY ON DAMAGES UNDER THE TORT OF DEFAMATION AND POSSIBLE GUIDELINES IN ASSESSING THE QUANTUM OF DAMAGES: A COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH IRELAND

By

Mohd Syazwan Bin Ramli (2009478216)

Mohd Hafiz Bin Haja Najmuddeen (2009410434)

Wafri Adi bin Mohamad Yusof (2009886184)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA

**Faculty of Law** 

December 2011

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project has been carried out by a team which include Mohd Syazwan bin Ramli, Mohd Hafiz bin Haja Najmuddeen, and Wafri Adi bin Mohamad Yusof. The project focused mainly on the assessment of damages to be considered by judges in Malaysia in defamation cases. We hope that our research will provide a better understanding on how the amount of damages in defamation suits should be assessed. In addition, it is also hope that by this research, the government or any individual would conduct further research to incorporate these guidelines to circumvent the issue of damages being too high and too low.

Our collective thanks to those people who have contributed directly and indirectly towards this research. In particular, we would like to express our outmost gratitude to all our interviewee Ms. Norila Binti Abu Hassan for her cooperation in giving us relevant and useful information on the topic. Greatest appreciation to Ms. Noraziah Abd Jabar, our supervisor for our Honours Project Paper (LAW 533) for her advises, assistance and support throughout completion of this research. Besides, we also would like to express our special thanks to Prof Madya Norha Binti Abu Hanifah and Ms. Suaida Binti Dato's Shafie for approval of the tittle for our research and to Faculty of Law University Technology MARA (UiTM) Shah Alam for supplying us with facilities to access to essential information for our research. Last but not least, a special thanks to everyone else who has provided help and assistance upon completion of this research.

### ABSTRACT

Modernization has caused the immense social changes where people nowadays prefer to use emails to communicate with one another. This can be seen in which people are able to express freely their thoughts and thinking without considering the consequences of the statement made. This is where the defamation law come into picture to restrain or punish anyone who commits the offence. So, remember talking trash online does not mean you would not get caught.

The purpose of this study is to propose the comprehensive guidelines for assessing the quantum of award in defamation suits in Malaysia. Apart from that, a comparison has been made between the same laws of our country with another country on matter regarding to the procedures and guidelines in awarding damages. In conclusion, we also will examine the implementation of the existing laws and guidelines (if any) in Malaysia as well as in Ireland.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Cases	vi
List of Statutes	vii

# CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Background	1
1.1	Problem Statement	5
1.2	Objectives of Study	7
1.3	Legal Research Methodology	7
1.4	Scope and Limitation	8
1.5	Significance of the Study	9
1.6	Provisional Plan of Research	10
1.7	Literature Review	11

# CHAPTER TWO: DEFAMATION LAW IN MALAYSIA: FACTORS DETERMINING THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGES

2.0	Introduction	17
2.1	Law of Defamation in Malaysia	17
2.2	Methods in Assessing the Quantum of Damages	21
2.3	Evidences on Damages Awarded Being Too Excessive	26
2.4	Evidences on Damages Awarded Being Too Low	29
2.5	Conclusion	32

enne rek mikel: mie en or ber minimuon in ikelen k	CHAPTER THREE:	THE LAW OF DEFAMATION IN IRELAND
----------------------------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------------

3.0 Introduction 34

3.1	Law of Defamation in Ireland		34	
3.2	Methods in Accessing Damages			37
3.3	Trend of Awarding Damages		41	
3.4	Conclusion			46
CHA	PTER FOUR:	FINDINGS		

4.0	Introduction	47
4.1	Similarities	47
4.2	Differences	49
4.3	The Flaws in Malaysian Defamation Law	52
4.4	Interview Results	55
4.5	Conclusion	56

#### CHAPTER FIVE: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

5.0	Introduction		58
5.1	Recommendations		58
	5.1.1	Incorporating the guidelines	58
	5.1.2	Capping general damages	62
5.2	Conclusion		64
Biblio	graphy		67
Appendices		70	