## MEGA DAMAGES AWARD ON DEFAMATION SUIT IN MALAYSIA: IS IT REASONABLE?

By

Ainul Hafiza bt Zainudin (2003354649) Mohd Munzil b Muhamad (2003302779) Nurulhuda bt Mohd Maarof (2003303178)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

# Universiti Teknologi MARA Faculty of Law

April 2006

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim. In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, as we managed to complete our Honours Project Paper within the specific time given. The completion of this project paper has been made possible by a grant from Allah S.W.T.

In the process of completing our project paper, we had acquired the assistance and the useful guidance from our beloved supervisor, Pn Suzaini Bt Mohd Saufi, who was extremely helpful. We would also like to extend our gratitude towards our family for all of their support and assistance in term of opinion, moral and financial support. For colleagues who willing to share ideas and efforts, it is here that we thank them.

It is important for us to emphasis that we would not be able to complete this project paper without the hard work and cooperation given by our teammates. Congratulations! Most of the research was done and discussed by us together in our meetings. The typing and editing work were divided equally among us in order for us to cope with the constraint that we have.

Nonetheless, we would like to express our gratitude and appreciation towards all the respondents, Pn, Noraziah Bt Abdul Jabar, Mr. Shamsul Bahrain and Miss Annahita Bakavoli Bt Mehdi Bakavoli who willing to share their time and experience in undergoing the interviewing process.

Last but not least, a very special thanks to all the individuals involved in the proof reading, printing and documented process of our project paper.

Thank you.

#### **ABSTRACT**

This thesis deals with the law of defamation in Malaysia. It concerns with the area of damages granted by the court in the defamation suit. The main intention of this research is to make a fair comparison whether it is reasonable or not to grant mega damages award in defamation suit.

However, the position of our court is split into two different views whereby each of them has a very strong basis on this issue. The former view is in favour of mega damages as it is proportionate in comparison with what had been done to Plaintiff's reputation. However, the later view argue that mega damages granted would only amount to some oppression to freedom of expression and setting to stop the trend of mega damages award.

Besides that, this research will also look into the quantum of damages granted by the court since in 1970's up until the day this research is written. In addition, a brief comparison with the cases in United Kingdom is made in order to determine the position upheld by the court with regard to mega damages in defamation suit. Furthermore, a detailed discussion will be explained on the factors that would be put into consideration by the court when granting the damages to the plaintiff

The methodology used in this research is basically divided into three forms. Cases review is the paramount methodology in this research since it determines to understand the position of the court on the issue of mega damages in defamation suit. Interviews and library search are the other two important methodologies used in this research. The materials used in this research are mainly case laws, textbooks, articles and statutes.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement		ii	
Abstract		iii	
Contents		iv	
List of Cases	3	vii	
List of Statute	tes	ix	
CHAPTER (	ONE: INTRODUCTION		
1.0	Introduction	1	
1.1		1 2	
1.2		2	
1.3	bjective of the Study		
1.4	gective of the study terature Review		
1.5	Methodology	4 6	
1.5	1.5.1 Case Study	6	
	1.5.2 Interview		
	1.5.3 Library Search	7 8 8	
1.6	•	Q Q	
1.7		10	
1.8	Provisional Plan of Research	11	
1.0	1.8.1 Defining the Problem	11	
	1.8.2 Develop an Appropriate Research	11	
	1.8.3 Collecting and Gathering Data	12	
	1.8.4 Preparing and Analyzing Data	12	
	1.8.5 Documented	12	
	1.8.5 Documented	12	
CHAPTER 7	TWO: LAW OF DEFAMATION IN MALAYSIA		
2.0	Definition	13	
2.1	Law Applicable	15	
2.2	Comparison Between Civil and Criminal Procedure	17	
2.3	Elements of Defamation	18	
	2.3.1 Defamatory Words	18	
	2.3.1.1 Natural and Ordinary Meaning	20	
	2.3.1.2 Innuendo	20	
	2.3.1.2.1 False Innuendo	21	
	2.3.1.2.2 True or Legal Innuendo	21	
	2.3.1.3 Juxtaposition	23	
	2.3.2 Words Must be Referred to Plaintiff	24	
	2.3.3 Publication of the Words	25	

2.4	Remedies  2.4.1 Damages  2.4.1.1 General Damages  2.4.1.2 Special Damages  2.4.1.3 Aggravated Damages  2.4.1.4 Exemplary Damages  2.4.2 Injunction  2.4.3 Apologies	27 27 28 30 31 32 34 35		
CHAPTER THREE: DEFAMATION CASES				
3.0	Defamation Cases in Malaysia and United Kingdom	<b>39</b>		
3.1	Overview of Cases	77		
	3.1.1 The Development of Defamation Cases in Malaysia	77		
	3.1.2 Factors Determining the Amount of Reasonable Award	83		
	3.1.2.1 The Position and Standing of Plaintiff	83		
	3.1.2.2 The Gravity and Seriousness of Libel	85		
	3.1.2.3 Mode and Extent of the Publication	86		
	- 3.1.2.4The Effect of Publication	87		
	3.1.2.5 Absence or Refusal of any Correction, Retraction Or Apology	87		
	3.1.2.6 Minor Factors	88		
. (	3.1.3 Comparison of Cases in Commonwealth Countries (UK)	90		
CHAPTER F	OUR: FINDINGS			
4.0	Introduction	96		
4.1	Reasonable v Unreasonable of Mega Awards in Malaysia	97		
4.2	Trend of Defamation Cases in Malaysia	104		
4.3	Freedom of Expression is Not a License to Defame	106		
4.4	Why People Still Claiming Mega Award in Defamation Suit?	108		
CHAPTER F	IVE: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION			
5.0	Recommendations	111		
3.0	5.0.1 Power of Court of Appeal	111		
	5.0.2 Summary Relief	111		
	5.0.3 Types of Damages	111		
į.	5.0.4 Power of Court to Consider the Amount	113		
	5.0.5 Standard Award	113		
		113		
	5.0.6 5 Factors Determining Reasonable Award			
£ 1	5.0.7 Public Figure Claim	114		
5.1	Conclusion	116		