

**DNA DATABASE LAW: A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN  
MALAYSIA AND SINGAPORE**

By

Che Muhammad Amir b Che Lai (2007144043)

Amir Munzir b Shariffuddin (2007144005)

Hariz Zikri b Harun Alrashid (2007144751)

Raimizad b Nor Mohamed Arif (2007144197)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal  
Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**Faculty of Law**

April 2010

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

## **Acknowledgement**

This research project had been carried out by four hardworking members consisting of Che Muhammad Amir Bin Che Lai, Amir Munzir Bin Shariffuddin, Hariz Zikri Bin Harun Alrashid and Raimizad Bin Nor Mohamed Ariff. First and foremost, we would like thank Allah S.W.T for blessing us with strength, health, knowledge and faith to conclude this study. Besides that, we would like to thank each of our parents for their moral support, assistance and understanding during the conduct of the research. We would also like to thank our supervisor Puan Fazlin Binti Mohamed Zain for her meticulous efforts in supervising, assisting and guiding us throughout this study. She has done a lot in showing us the right path both academically and socially. Not to forget, we would like to express our appreciation to our respective interviewees, Tuan Mohd Abazafree Bin Mohd Abbas as well as Tuan Mohd Ishak Yusoff.

Abundance of thanks.

## **Abstract**

This research is a comparative analysis on the suitability of the DNA Identification Law governing the DNA matters in Malaysia in which special reference of laws in Singapore through its Registration of Criminals Act is made. This study aims at ascertaining the issue of collecting the DNA, removing, issue of privacy as well as the foreign enforcement agency in Malaysia, by drawing comparison with Singapore. This is due to the fact that Malaysia had only recently established the DNA Identification Act whilst in Singapore, DNA matters was governed in the Criminal Law Temporary Provision Act before it was indicated in the Registration of Criminals Act. We have found few loopholes that may be fixed in order to make the newly established Act a succinct and useful one, especially to the society. Thus, this comparative study is important in order to determine any drawback(s) in our Act in comparison with the Singapore's.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement .....	ii
Abstract .....	iii
Contents .....	iv
List of Statutes .....	vii
List of Cases.....	vii

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION TO THE RESEARCH

1.0 Introduction.....	1
1.0.1 DNA Law in Malaysia and Singapore .....	2
1.2 Problem Statement.....	5
1.3 Objectives of the Study.....	6
1.4 Methodology .....	8
1.5 Scope and Limitation .....	8
1.6 Significance of the Study .....	9

### CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction.....	11
2.1 DNA.....	11
2.1.1 The Technologies in Forensic Investigation .....	12
2.2 DNA Law in Malaysia and Singapore.....	13
2.3 The Advantages .....	14
2.4 The Disadvantages.....	15
2.5 DNA Databank .....	16

**CHAPTER THREE: THE COMPARISON BETWEEN THE DNA IDENTIFICATION ACT IN MALAYSIA AND THE REGISTRATION OF CRIMINALS ACT IN SINGAPORE**

3.0	Introduction.....	20
3.1	Collection of DNA Samples .....	20
3.1.1	DNA Technology .....	20
3.1.2	DNA samples .....	21
3.1.3	Taking of DNA Samples .....	22
3.2	Removing of the DNA .....	27
3.2.1	Malaysia’s Position .....	28
3.2.2	Singapore’s Position.....	29
3.2.3	Comparisons of Both Countries .....	31
3.2.4	Conclusion on Removing the DNA .....	33
3.3	Privacy and Protection of the DNA Sample .....	33
3.3.1	The Comparison of the Respective Provision in Malaysia And Singapore ...	35
3.4	Access to the DNA Databank and the Access by Foreign Enforcement Agency.....	38
3.4.1	Reviews on Malaysia’s Position .....	38
3.4.2	Review on Singapore’s Position .....	40
3.4.3	Brief Review between Access to the Data and Human Rights .....	43

**CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS**

4.1	DNA Identification Act 2009 and Flaws .....	45
4.2	Abuse .....	45
4.2.1	Abuse of Authority Given.....	46