

**PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF CAUGHT RAT BY USING  
CYTOCHROME OXIDASE 1 FRAGMENT**

**WAN MUHAMMAD IMRAN BIN WAN ISMAIL**

**Final Year Project Report Submitted in  
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the  
Degree of Bachelor Science (Hons.) Biology  
in the Faculty of Applied Sciences  
University Teknologi MARA**

**JULY 2018**

This Final Year Project Report entitle “**Phylogenetic Analysis of Caught Rat by using Cytochrome Oxidase 1 Fragment**” was submitted by Wan Muhammad Imran bin Wan Ismail, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Biology, in the Faculty of Applied Sciences, and was approved by

---

Sarah Shazwani binti Zakaria  
Supervisor  
Faculty of Applied Sciences  
Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM)  
Negeri Sembilan, Kampus Kuala Pilah,  
Pekan Parit Tinggi, 72000 Kuala Pilah  
Negeri Sembilan.

---

Lili Syahani Binti Rusli  
Coordinator FSG661 AS201  
Faculty of Applied Sciences  
Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM)  
Negeri Sembilan, Kampus KualaPilah,  
Pekan Parit Tinggi, 72000 Kuala Pilah  
Negeri Sembilan.

---

Dr. Aslizah Binti Mohd Aris  
Head of Biology School  
Faculty of Applied Sciences  
Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM)  
Negeri Sembilan, Kampus Kuala Pilah,  
Pekan Parit Tinggi, 72000 Kuala Pilah  
Negeri Sembilan.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Significant of the Study	2
1.4 Objective of the Study	3
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Rodent	4
2.1.1 Family Muridae	5
2.2 Rat	6
2.2.1 Mouse	10
2.2.2 Taxonomy	11
2.3 Mitochondrial DNA	11
2.3.1 Cytochrome Oxidase 1	12
2.4 Phylogenetic Analysis	13
2.4.1 Maximum Likelihood	14
2.4.2 Bayesian Analysis	14
2.4.3 Neighbor-Joining	15
<b>CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Materials	
3.1.1 Chemicals	16
3.1.2 Apparatus	16
3.2 Methods	
3.2.1 Taxon Sampling	17
3.2.2 DNA Extraction	17
3.2.3 DNA Quantification	18
3.2.4 DNA Amplification	18
3.2.5 Electrophoresis	18
3.2.6 Purification and Sequencing	20
3.2.7 Data analysis	21
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	
4.1 Taxon Sampling	22
4.2 DNA Extraction	28
4.3 DNA Quantification	30

4.4	DNA Amplification	32
4.5	Phylogenetic Identification	
4.5.1	Datasheet	37
4.5.2	Neighbor-Joining Method	46
4.5.3	Bayesian Inference	49
4.5.4	Maximum-likelihood	52
<b>CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>		
	Conclusion and Recommendation	55
<b>CITED REFERENCES</b>		56
<b>APPENDICES</b>		59
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>		60

## ABSTRACT

### PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF CAUGHT RAT BY USING CYTOCHROME OXIDASE 1 FRAGMENT

The rats were widely diverse around the world. The identification of rat were difficult since most rats share common morphology characteristics. Thus, the identification through genetic material might help to identify the rat more accurately since the rat species were difficult to identify through the morphology characteristic. The aim of this study was to identify the unknown caught rats using phylogeny-based identification and further compared rat identification through morphological characteristics. Five rats' samples were obtained from five different areas and the morphological characteristics of each sample were recorded to identify their species. The five rats' tails were taken for DNA extractions by using high-salt method. The extracted DNA were amplified and sequenced for cytochrome oxidase 1 region. A total of 745 base pair were successfully sequenced for all samples and were aligned with 77 sequences retrieve from GenBank. Three phylogenetic trees were reconstructed Neighbor-Joining, Bayesian inferences and maximum likelihood method. Based on the morphological characteristics, the five samples were most likely identified as *Rattus norvegicus* for Rat 1, Rat 2 as *Rattus tiomanicus*, Rat 3 as *Rattus andamanensis*, Raat 4 as *Rattus norvegicus* and Rat 5 as *Rattus exulans*. However, further identification through phylogeny-based identification from the three phylogenetic tree shows that Rat 1 highly probably identified as *Rattus norvegicus*, while Rat 2, Rat 3, Rat 4 and Rat 5 as *Rattus kandianus* and/or *Rattus andamanensis*.