# STUDY ON APPLICATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST (CPT) METHOD FOR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

BY

#### **ED SYAIFRUL BIN ENRE**

Report is submitted as the requirement for the degree of **Bachelor Engineering (Hons) (Civil)** 

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA MAY 2007

## **DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE**

I Ed Syaifrul Bin Enre, 2004335442 confirm that the work is my own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

Jung. May 16, 2007

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was undertaken in the Faculty of Civil Engineering MARA University of Technology. Special thanks to Mr. Ng Wen Kuan (USM PhD student) who is also my project supervisor to allow me incorporated the study into his PhD research program.

Not forget to my lovely family that gives me support and encouragement. To my friend, Faried, MacGlen, and Fauziah thank you for your supported. Without your all, I will not be able to complete this study.

Not forget also to Universiti Sains Malaysia to provide the research facilities for my study as well.

Finally, I would like to thank all parties which indirectly give support for this study.

Thank You.

#### ABSTRACT

Many geotechnical design parameters of the soil are associated with the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and the SPT is widely used around the world. On the other hand, Cone Penetration Test (CPT) is becoming more popular for site investigation and geotechnical design. Without disturbing the ground, CPT provides information about soil type, geotechnical parameters like shear strength, relative density, sensitivity, etc. Further on, as it can be seen as a scale model of pile. This study will focus on to study the relationship between the parameters of soil which obtained from CPT result and their effect towards the pile bearing capacity. Through the study, CPT parameters such as tip resistance  $(q_c)$ , skin friction  $(f_s)$ , friction ratio  $(F_R)$  and pore water pressure ratio  $(B_q)$  are investigated. Their relationships in aspect of soil classification and pile capacity are presented. Most of the estimated pile capacity in this study is overestimate while the rest considered as underestimate and acceptable capacity.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER				PAGE
LIST OF FIGURES				i - iii
LIST OF TABLES				iv
ABSTRACT				v
1	INT	INTRODUCTION		
	1.1	Backg	1	
	1.2	Proble	2	
	1.3	Objective of study		2
	1.4	Significant of study		3
	1.5	Scope of study		3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW			
	2.1	Introd	4	
	2.2	Soil p	7	
		2.2.1	Tip resistance/Cone resistance	8
		2.2.2	Local friction/Sleeve friction	10
		2.2.3	Friction ratio	11
	2.3	Soil classification		13
		2.3.1	Method by Begemann (1965)	13
		2.3.2	Method by Schmertmann (1978)	14
		2.3.3	Method by Dougles and Olsen (1981)	17
		2.3.4	Method by Vos (1982)	20
		2.3.5	Jones and Rust method (1982)	20
		2.3.6	Robertson method (1986)	21