

**LAPORAN AKHIR PENYELIDIKAN DELAY IN POLICE INVESTIGATIONS :  
A STUDY OF THE UNDERLYING CAUSES**



**INSTITUT PENYELIDIKAN, PEMBANGUNAN DAN PENGKOMERSILAN  
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA  
40450 SHAH ALAM, SELANGOR  
MALAYSIA**

**DISEDIAKAN OLEH :**

**LEE CHONG FOOK  
MAZLIFAH MANSOOR  
CHE AUDAH HASSAN**

**JUN 2007**

## PENGHARGAAN

Kami amat berbesar hati kerana berjaya menyempurnakan laporan ini dan ingin merakamkan setinggi-tinggi penghargaan dan terima kasih :

1. Prof Madya Dr Musrifah Sapardi, Dekan, Fakulti Undang-Undang, UiTM Shah Alam di atas segala dorongan dan motivasi yang telah diberikan.
2. Pegawai – pegawai Polis Diraja Malaysia yang telah memberikan kerjasama yang amat baik bagi membolehkan penyelidikan ini disiapkan dengan sempurna.
3. Sokongan padu dari keluarga dan rakan seperjuangan.
4. Semua staf IRDC, dan kesemua yang terlibat secara langsung atau tidak langsung di atas kerjasama dan dorongan yang diberikan.

Lee Chong Fook  
Mazlifah Mansoor  
Che Audah Hassan

## Abstract

This research is to study the proposed amendment to Section 120 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The proposed changes criminalized any police failure to report their investigations within a certain time frame to the Public Prosecutor.

The purpose of this research is to find out the causes for delays and prolonged investigations by the police officers.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgement		iv
Abstract		vii
1.0	Introduction	1-2
1.1	Objectives	2
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Significance of Project	3
1.4	Research Methodology	4
1.5	Scope of Project	4
1.6	Literature Review	4-8
2.0	Analysis and Results	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Demographic Profile Of Respondents	9
2.2.1	Distribution Of Respondents By Gender	9-10
2.2.2	Distribution Of Respondents By Age Group	10-11
2.2.3	Distribution Of Respondents By Race	11-12
2.2.4	Distribution Of Respondents By Rank	12-13
2.2.5	Distribution Of Respondents By Work Experience	13-14
2.2.6	Distribution Of Respondents By Unit	14-15
2.3	Results And Discussion	15
2.3.1	Nature Of Investigation	15-17
2.3.2	Perception On Workload	18-20
2.3.3	Perception On Crime Scene	20-21
2.3.4	Perception On Witnesses	21-23
2.3.5	Perceptions On Paperwork As Cause Of Investigation Delays	24-27
2.3.6	Perception On Liaison With Other Agencies	27-29
2.3.7	Perception On Other Work	29-31
2.3.8	Perception On Court Cases	31-32
2.3.9	Perception On Control System	32-35
2.3.10	Perception On Personal Competence	36-37
2.3.11	Perception On Corrupt Practice	37-39
2.3.12	Ranking Of Elements Contributing To Investigation Delays	39-41
2.4	Differences in Perceptions between Sub-Samples of Respondents	41-42

2.4.1	Difference In Perceptions On “Other Work” Between Years of Service Groups	42-43
2.4.2	Differences In Perception On Workload Between Different Ranks	43-44
2.5	Frequency And Time Demand Of Investigations	44-45
2.5.1	Murder Cases	45-46
2.5.2	Commercial Crimes	46-47
2.5.3	Rape Cases	47-48
2.5.4	Narcotic Cases	48-49
2.5.5	Housebreaking/Robbery/Theft	49-50
2.5.6	Time Spent on Various Aspects of Investigation By Category of Crimes	51-52
3.0	Research Findings	53
3.1	Nature Of Investigation Process	53-54
3.2	Workload	54-57
3.3	Paperwork	57-59
3.4	Personal Competence	59-62
3.5	Witnesses	62-63
3.6	Control Systems	63
3.7	Staffing	64-66
3.8	Liaison/Court Cases	66-67
3.9	Conclusion	67-68
4.0	Recommendations	69
4.1	Optimum Workload	70
4.2	Personal Competence	71-72
4.3	Increases in Manpower Establishment	72-73
4.4	Paperwork	73-76
4.5	Support Staff and Logistics	76-77
4.6	Raison D’etre of Policing	77-78
4.7	Coordination of CID Resources	78-82
4.8	Summation	82-83
5.0	Conclusion	84-87
	Appendix A	88-96
	Bibliography	97