# THE RIGHT TO LEGAL REPRESENTATION OF AN ACCUSED PERSON; EXISTENCE, APPLICATION AND LIMITATION: A COMPARISON STUDY BETWEEN MALAYSIA AND THE UNITED STATES

By

# Dalira bt Din (2003336957) Shahidah Nafisah bt Leman (2003336971) Salwa Asmary bt Abdul Rahim (2003336925) Wan Muhammad Faizal b Ismail (2003336891)

# Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

#### Universiti Teknologi MARA Faculty of Law

#### April 2006

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and the appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

#### Acknowledgment

In the name of Allah (S.W.T.) the most compassionate, the most merciful, praise to Him, peace and blessing of Allah be upon His Messenger, the Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.) whose help and guidance has sustained us to finish our research.

This research project has been carried out by a team which has included Dalira bt. Din, Shahidah Nafisah bt Leman, Salwa Asmary bt Abdul Rahim and Wan Muhammad Faizal b Ismail. It is a privilege to express our gratitude for those who have given us valuable advice, constructive criticism and painstaking help to take this research possible.

Firstly, we would like to express our special gratitude to our supervisor Pn Mimi Sintia bt. Hj. Mohd. Bajury for her learned supervision, help, and encouragement of this research. We are certain that without her assistance and comments on various part of this research, it would have been leaned and less elegant.

Our greatest debt is however goes to Prof. Madya Dr. Zaiton bt. Hamin, the Director of Cyber Law and Policy Center of the UiTM's law faculty in assisting us to contact and introduce us to a few official persons. Her generous aid allowed us to give this research a comparative dimension and enable us to squeeze our research at an utmost crucial time.

We also grateful to ACP Abdul Wahab bin Embong, Ketua Polis Daerah (OCPD) and DSP Halimahton bt. Hj. Ahmad, Ketua Bahagian Siasatan Jenayah from Ibupejabat Polis Daerah Shah Alam, Seksyen 11, that not only tolerate our presence with hospitality and friendship, but also give us a clear picture to gain insight into the practicality on how the right for legal representation of an accused person has been exercised.

ASP Kamarul Rizal bin Jenal, Pegawai Kanan Siasatan Jenayah from Ibupejabat Polis Daerah Cheras, deserves our sincere thanks for the cooperation and help us to obtain information in improving the production of this research.

During the process of searching and finding of this research, we must mention our special debts to Miss Loh Seh Lee from SUHAKAM that enrich us to experience to come to terms

#### Abstract

This research is carried out to discover the reality of the practice of the right to legal representation of an accused person in Malaysia and to compare with the United States of America. The main objective is to know in reality whether this right is given absolutely and is there any limitation by the authority in any cases. The accused person has the right under Article 5 of the Federal Constitution. The problem here is that when the accused person being detain without the aid of counsel. The detainee often being humiliated and abuse by the police so that the accused will confess or plead guilty thus, there is no use to proceed to the court as statement had been made and the accused had plead guilty. In contrast, this right must be subject to certain necessary limitations in order to prevent any undue interference with the course of investigation. The police must support and prove that their action is in good faith.

The United States government provides the right to counsel in most cases and to deny it will make the court to loss its right and jurisdiction to proceed with the case. The right to legal representation will remain unless the accused himself waived the rights.

As a conclusion, the application in Malaysia and the United States is diverse although the law is quite same. One similarity is that this right will be given subject to the court and the police discretion.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iv
Contents	v
List of cases	vii

# **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Research Question	3
1.2	Research Objective	4
1.3	Literature Review	4
1.4	Research Scope	7
1.5	Significance of the Research	7
1.6	Limitations of the Research	8
1.7	Research Methodology	8
1.8	Conclusions	9

#### CHAPTER TWO: THE RIGHT TO LEGAL REPRESENTATION TO AN ACCUSED PERSON: A COMPARISON BETWEEN MALAYSIA AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2.0	Introduction		
2.1	Freedom and Rights of Malaysian Citizens		
2.2	.2 Conceptual/Research Framework		
	2.2.0 Definition of the Right to Legal Representation	14	
	2.2.1 Existence of the Right to Legal Representation	15	
	2.2.2 The Application of the Right to Legal Representation	19	
	2.2.3 The Limitations of the Right to Legal Representation	21	
2.3	Conclusion	24	

#### CHAPTER THREE: THE RIGHT TO LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN MALAYSIA

3.0	Introduction	25
3.1	The Application of the Right to Legal Representation in Malaysia	26
3.2	The Limitations of the Right to Legal Representation in Malaysia	30
3.3	Conclusion	35

vi

# CHAPTER FOUR: THE RIGHT TO LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN UNITED STATES

4.0 4.1	Introduction The Application of the Right to Legal Representation in the United States	37 38
4.2	of America The Limitations of the Right to Legal Representation in the United States	41
4.3	of America Conclusions	43

# CHAPTER FIVE: COMPARISON BETWEEN MALAYSIA AND THE UNITED STATES

5.0	Malaysian Cases		
	5.0.1 Ooi Ah Phua v Officer in Charge Criminal Investigat	ion	
	Kedah/Perlis Perlis [1975] 2 MLJ 198	45	
	5.0.2 Hashim bin Saud v Yahaya bin Hashim & Anor	49	
	[1977] 1 MLJ 259		
5.1	American Cases		
	5.1.1 Gideon v Wainwright [1963]372 U.S. 335	50	
	5.1.2 Betts v Brady [1942] 316 U.S.455	53	
5.2	The Comparison between the Situation in Malaysian and		
	the United States	55	

# CHAPTER SIX: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

6.0 6.1	Recommenda Conclusions	ations	60 64
Bibli	ography		67
	endices		71
	Appendix 1:	Interview Questions	
	Appendix 2:	Interview	