

A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MALAYSIA LAW AND LAW IN
ENGLAND: PROTECTION OF SEAHORSE

BY:

NURBAINY MOHD RAIMAY	(2008408984)
NORSYAMIMI BUKHARI	(2008408964)
NUR AZWANI ZAINAL ALAM	(2008408968)
NURUL ATIQA SHAH RUDDIN	(2008408986)
NUR FATIN NADIA ROSLIN	(2008408812)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement
for The Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Faculty of Law

July 2010 – November 2010

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that an appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research has been carried out by a team which led by Nurbainy Mohd Raimay. First and foremost, we would like to thank God, the Almighty, for having made everything possible by giving us strength and courage to do this project paper.

We would also like to express our deepest and sincere gratitude to our supervisor, Puan Nadia Omar as her understanding, encouraging and guidance have provided a good basis for the present thesis, not to mention her detailed and constructive comments and for her important support throughout this work.

We would also like to thank to our beloved parents for their moral support and financial assistance towards the project paper.

To friends for whom we have great regard, thank you for being there with us throughout the good and stressful times during the conduct of completing this research.

Finally, we wish to extend our warmest thanks to all those not mentioned whom have helped throughout the project.

ABSTRACT

Seahorses suffer threat of extinction because of overharvested by human because seahorses are largely use for the Traditional Chinese Medicine. The impact of the seahorse's extinction could actually affect the balance of marine food web of the aquatic lives. This research contains differences between Malaysian Law and United Kingdom law in dealing with the protection of seahorses which included the discussion on the comparison on Fisheries Act 1985 in Malaysia and Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 in United Kingdom. The comparison reflects that local law does not provide adequate protection to seahorses in terms of protection and enforcement since Malaysia is a party to CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora) and is bound to do so. Library based research are conducted and these constructive information are recorded and conclusions are made. Therefore, there is a need for the amendment for adequate protections of seahorses in terms of sufficiency of the punishment, protection and enforcement of the local law.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Content	iv
List of Statutes	viii

CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Research Questions	4
1.3	Research Objectives	4
1.4	Methodology	5
1.5	Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
1.6	Significant of the Research	6
1.7	Division of the Chapter	7
1.8	Conclusion	8

CHAPTER 2 : IN DEPTH VIEW OF THE NEED TO REGULATE SEA-HORSE AS AN ENDANGERED SPECIES

2.0	Introduction	9
2.1	Importance of Seahorse	9

2.2	Threat against Seahorse	11
2.3	Seahorse Conservation	15
2.3.1	Tagging and Monitoring	16
2.3.2	Potential/Future Ways of Tagging	16
2.2.2.1	Freeze Branding	16
2.2.2.2	Panjet Marking	17
2.2.2.3	Parasite Load	17
2.3.3	Unsuitable Tagging Method	18
2.3.3.1	Fin, Frond, Tail Clipping	18
2.3.3.2	VI Alpha tags	19
2.3.3.3	Silver Nitrate Branding	20
2.3.2	Minimum Size Limit	21
2.3.3	Seahorse Caging	22
2.4	Consequences of Non-protection	23
2.5	Barriers in Protecting Seahorse	25
2.5.1	International	25
2.5.1.1	Data Deficient	25
2.5.1.2	Seahorse Farming	25
2.5.1.3	Economically	26
2.5.1.4	CITES Reservation	27
2.5.2	Malaysia	28
2.5.2.1	Lack and ignorance of commitment from the relevant authorities	28