

**PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWER IN MALAYSIA: COMPARATIVE
STUDIES ON REVELATION TO THIRD PARTY, MOTIVE AND REWARD**

By

Mohamad Amri Alfikri Bin Mohamad Dasuki (2010654758)

Muhammad Nor Sollihin Bin Salleh (2010651958)

Luqman Hadi Bin Jusoh (2010448986)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Faculty of Law

December 2012

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to acknowledge our helpful Research Supervisor, Mr Abang Ikhbal bin Abang Bolhil. The supervision and guidance that he gave truly helps the progression and smoothness of the research paper. The co-operation is much indeed appreciated.

This research project has been carried out by joining effort of our team comprising Mohamad Amri Alfikri Bin Mohamad Dasuki, Muhammad Nor Sollihin Bin Salleh and Luqman Hadi Bin Jusoh.

The special thank goes the interviewees for giving us the sufficient information and expertise in their own field in particular to Associates Professor Thalmalingam a/l M. Suppia of Uitm Shah Alam, Mr Saiful Sayoti, a law officer in Prime Minister Department and Mr Woo Wei Kwang, an experience corporate lawyer in Wong & Partners. A great deal of contribution and cooperation during the interview session is well appreciated.

Not forget, we would like to extend our gratitude to the staffs at Tun Abdul Razak Library and our lecturer at Faculty of Law for their assistance and cooperation in helping us to find the materials regarding the protection of whistleblowers.

Finally, and most importantly, we would like to thank our parents. Their support, unending encouragement, quiet patience and unwavering love were undeniably helping us to be more determined in doing our research. Without their encouragement, we would not have finished this research paper.

ABSTRACT

The study is carried out to assess the comprehensiveness of Whistleblower Protection Act 2010 (WPA 2010) in relation to its provisions regarding the avenues to make a disclosure, motives of the disclosure and award to whistleblowers. The research will be based on qualitative type of research by focusing on data gathered from various written sources as well as interviews conducted with legal experts. The research will focus on the protection of whistleblowers specifically on the issue of revelation to third parties, motive and reward or monetary incentives to the whistleblowers.

The provisions which provide that the disclosure can only be made to enforcement agency is found lacking as other avenues such as towards media, employers (internal organization) and lawyers appear to be among the appropriate avenues that should be included too. In terms of motive, the Act puts limitation to the objective of the law as it tends to focus on the informer itself rather than the information they intend to reveal. As for reward, the provisions that leave the matter entirely on the discretion of enforcement of agency are lamentable as there is no clear provision stipulates from which the fund may come.

The research concludes that the Act has not adequately protected whistleblowers and thus, some recommendations have been proposed at the end of this research in view of improving the Act and thereby encourage people to become the whistleblowers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Cases	vii
List of Statutes	viii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Research Background	1
1.1	Research Question	3
1.2	Research Objective	3
1.3	Research Methodology	4
1.4	Scope and Limitation	4
1.5	Significance of Study	5
1.6	Conclusion	6

CHAPTER TWO: THE EVALUATION OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT 2010

2.0	Introduction	7
2.1	Theory	8
2.2	Whistleblower Protection Act 2010	8
2.3	Protection Provided for the Whistleblowers in WPA 2010	9
2.4	Strengths	10
2.5	Weaknesses	11

2.6	Conclusion	14
-----	------------	----

CHAPTER THREE: THE IMPORTANCE OF MAKING A REVELATION TO THIRD PARTIES AND THE ANALYSIS OF LAW IN OTHER COUNTRIES

3.0	Introduction	15
3.1	Revelation to Media	16
3.2	The Laws in Other Countries Regarding the Disclosure Made to Media	17
3.3	Principle of Non-Disclosure of Confidential Information in United Kingdom	20
3.4	Revelation to Internal Organisation	20
3.5	The Laws in Other Countries Regarding the Disclosure Made to Internal Organisation	21
3.6	Weaknesses Regarding the Disclosure Made to the Internal Organisation	22
3.7	Revelation Made in the Course of Obtaining Legal Advice	22
3.8	The Laws in Other Countries Regarding the Disclosure Made in the Course of Obtaining Legal Advice	23
3.9	Conclusion	24

CHAPTER FOUR: ISSUES OF DISCLOSURE MADE WITH MOTIVE AND REWARD FOR WHISTLEBLOWERS

4.0	Introduction: Disclosure made with motive	25
4.1	Revocation of protection for disclosure made with motive in Whistleblower Protection Act 2010	25
4.2	“Good faith” requirement in United Kingdom Employment	26