# A LEGAL STUDY ON THE NEED TO LEGISLATE THIRD PARTIES RIGHTS IN CONTRACTS IN MALAYSIA

## BY

NUR SULEHAN BT ABD RAHMAN (200945266) NUR ATIQAH BT ABU ZAHAR (2009290992) NURUL AIN SYAZWI BT HARUN (2009271716)

Research is submitted to partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Legal Studies (Hons)

Mara University of Technology Faculty of Law

December 2011

Authors/writers confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given with reference that has been made to the work of others

#### **Abstract**

The Doctrine of Privity provides that a third party cannot confer rights or impose obligations arising under the contract though the contracts were made for his benefit. Thus the archaic doctrine of privity had cause so many difficulties and defectiveness notwithstanding the existing exception applied to circumvent the rule of privity. There is a need for research to be conducted to the understanding in relation to the extent of the application of law pertaining to the doctrine of privity in Malaysia. As United Kingdom has enacted an Act to recognize the rights of third party, a comparative study of Malaysia and United Kingdom is conducted to compare the application of laws from both countries in this specific area.

### Acknowledgement

All praises to Allah S.W.T, whom with His willing and blessing giving us the opportunity to complete this Final Year Project which is title A Legal Study on The Need to Legislate Third Parties Rights in Contracts in Malaysia. This research project has been carried out by our team which includes Nur Sulehan Binti Abd Rahman as the team leader of the research group and Nur Atiqah Bt Abu Zahar and Nurul Ain Syazwi Bt Harun as the members. It was prepared for the Faculty of Law, Universiti Teknologi MARA as a prerequisite to complete the undergraduate program leading to degree in Bachelor of Legal Studies.

First and foremost, we thank our supervisor, Associate Professor Ramlah Mohd Noh, a senior lecturer at Faculty of Law UiTM and whose encourage, guidance and support our research team from the initial to the final level to enabled us to develop an understanding of the subject and to Associate Professor Norha Abu Hanifah for the useful guidelines during the presentation and completion of the proposal for this project paper.

It is our pleasure to thank those who made this thesis possible, the lecturers and staffs of faculty of law of UiTM Shah Alam for their cooperation, encouragement, constructive suggestion and full of support. Last but not least, we humbly offer our regards and blessings to all of those who supported us in any respect during the completion of the project paper. Not to mention, our deepest thank goes to Faculty of Law UiTM for great commitment and cooperation in the duration of our Final Year Project.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	owledgeme	ħ <b>t</b>	ii iii	
Abstract				
Table of Contents				
	Table of cases			
Table	of Statutes		viii	
СНА	PTER 1: I	NTRODUCTION		
1.0	Background of Study			
1.1	Problem statement			
1.2	Objectives of Study			
1.3	Research Methodology			
1.4	• • •			
1.5	Significat	nce of Study	7	
СНА	PTER 2: 7	THE DOCTRINE OF PRIVITY: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW IN	ī	
	(	OTHER COMMONWEALTH JURISDICTION		
2.0	Introduction			
2.1	The Doctrine of Privity: Malaysian Position			
2.2	Comparative Review of the Commonwealth Position			
		ne Law Reform Commission of United Kingdom	13	
		ne Law Reform Commission of Hong Kong	14	
		ne Law Reform Commission of Ireland	16	
2.3	Conclusion			
СНА	PTER 3: 1	REGULATION RELATING TO DOCTRINE OF PRIVITY OF		
*	(	CONTRACT IN MALAYSIA		
3.0	lntroducti	òñ	19	
3.1	The Relevant Law Regarding the Doctrine of Privity in Malaysia			
	3.1.1 Th	ne Present Application of Rights of Third Parties in United Kingdom	23	
	3.1.2 Ca	ases Law in Malaysia Relevant on Privity of Contract	25	
3.2	Exceptions to Privity Rule			
	3.2.1 Restrictive Covenants			
	3.2.2 A	gency	31	
	3.2.3 Al	bsoluteAssignment	33	
	3.2.4 ln	surance	34	
	3.2.5 Tr	rust	35	
	3.2.6 Re	emedies of the Contracting Party	36	

	3.2.7 Collateral Contracts	38	
3.3	Conclusion		
CHAI	PTER 4: RECOMMENDATION FOR REFORMATION THE DOCTRINE ( PRIVITY	OF	
4.0	Introduction	40	
4.1	Reasons for the Law Reform in United Kingdom and Commonwealth Countrie		
4.2	Recommendation Prepared by the United Kingdom Law Reform Committee	42	
	4.2.1 The Third Party Rule in other common Law Jurisdictions	43	
4.3	The Contracts Act (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999	45	
4.4	Conclusion	48	
CHAI	PTER 5: CONCLUSION		
5.0	Introduction		
5.1	Discussion		
5.2	Recommendations	49	
	5.2.1 The Doctrine of Privity Should Be Reformed	49	
	5.2.2 Statutory Recognition Given to Third Party	51	
5.3	Conclusion	52	
Biblio	egraphy	53	
Appendices			
Appendix 1: Contracts Act 1950			
	Appendix 2: Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999	59	