

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE LIABILITIES OF MEDICAL  
PRACTITIONER IN BLOOD TRANSFUSION IN MALAYSIA AND  
UNITED KINGDOM**

By

<b>NOOR SYUHADA BT. HASSIM</b>	<b>2007144067</b>
<b>NORHAFIZAH BT. ZAINUDDIN</b>	<b>2007144073</b>
<b>SITI HAMIZAH BT. RAZALI</b>	<b>2007143997</b>
<b>SUFINAH BT. MAT TASIM</b>	<b>2007144009</b>
<b>ZURIYANTI BT. SANUSI</b>	<b>2007144091</b>

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor Legal  
Studies (Hons)

**UNIVERSITY TEKNOLOGI MARA  
FACULTY OF LAW**

**April 2010**

This students / authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that  
appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the  
work of others.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research paper has been carried out by a team of Noor Syuhada Binti Hassim, Norhafizah Binti Zainuddin, Siti Hamizah Binti Razali, Sufinah Binti Mat Tasim and Zuriyanti Binti Sanusi. In completing this project paper, we have gone through a lot of things and materials. Therefore, we would like to express our utmost gratitude to our supervisor, Pn. Norazlina Abdul Aziz for assisting us to complete this project paper and sometimes providing us the necessary motivation to complete this project paper. We also would like to thank to Dr. Norris Bin Naim, *Pathology Expert, Ketua Bahagian Perolehan Darah, Pusat Darah Negara* and Professor Dr. Anisah Che Ngah, Deputy Dean Graduate, Research, International & Industrial Relation Faculty of Law, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, for providing us additional information for our project paper. Finally thank you for those who assisted us directly and indirectly.

## ABSTRACT

The issue of medical negligence in blood transfusion usually gives rise to the question of who should be responsible to the act of misconduct. The patients had suffered the loss due to the treatment that they consented. Once this happen, the debate issue on the right of the patient will be heated argument between the victims and the medical practitioner. The liabilities should lie on the person who has done the misconduct. There are numbers of cases where the patient has received contaminated blood during the blood transfusion. The patients do faced a lot of problem in order to make claim on the negligence of medical practitioner. Making claims on the negligence act of a medical practitioner do increase the burden they have already bear.

This research paper is on the area of medical law. Generally it deals with medical negligence, particularly on blood transfusion. Discussion will be on the liabilities of medical practitioner in blood transfusion in Malaysia and United Kingdom. It attempts to discover whether the law in Malaysia is adequate in governing the conduct of medical practitioner. The comparison between the law of Malaysia and United Kingdom regarding the liabilities of medical practitioner in blood transfusion will be analysed with the intention of making possible recommendation to fix the inadequacy of the Malaysian Law.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
Table of Cases	iiiv
Table of Statute	ix
Abbreviation	x

### **Chapter One: Introduction**

1.0	Research Title	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background	1
1.3	Problem Statement	10
1.4	Research Objectives	11
1.5	Research Methodology	12
1.6	Scope and Limitation	12
1.7	Significant of the Study	13

### **Chapter Two: Literature Review**

2.0	Introduction	14
2.1	Consent to the Blood Transfusion	14
2.2	Standard of Care of Medical Practitioner in Blood Transfusion	15
	2.2.1 Test Applied in Malaysia	18
	2.2.2 Test Applied in United Kingdom	19
2.3	Religious View Regards to the Blood Transfusion	19
	2.3.1 Christian and Jehovah's Witnesses	20
	2.3.2 Islam	22
	2.3.3 Buddhist	24
	2.3.4 Hindu	24
2.4	Claim be Made Under Consumer Protection Act	25
2.5	Conclusion	26

### **Chapter Three: Legal Standing**

3.0	Introduction	27
3.1	Malaysia's Position	27
3.1.1	Statute	27
3.1.1.1	Code of Professional Conduct for Medical Practitioner	27
3.1.2	Other Principles of Law	29
3.1.2.1	Consent to Medical Treatment	29
3.1.2.2	Standard of care of the Doctor	29
3.2	United kingdom's Position	32
3.2.1	Statute	32
3.2.1.1	United Kingdom Blood Safety and Quality Regulation 2005	32
3.2.1.2	Consumer Protection Act 1987	35
3.2.2	Other Principles of Law	36
3.2.2.1	Consent to Medical Treatment	36
3.2.2.2	Standard of care of the Doctor	38
3.3	International Approaches	40
3.3.1	World Health Organisation (WHO)	40
3.3.2	United Nation AIDS (UNAIDS)	42
3.4	Conclusion	45

### **Chapter Four: Findings**

4.0	Introduction	46
4.1	Procedure in Conducting Blood Transfusion	47
4.2	Blood Needs	48
4.3	Consent by the Patient	49
4.4	Standard of Care of Medical Practitioner	50
4.5	Contaminated Blood	51
4.6	Law in Malaysia and United Kingdom Regarding to Medical Negligence in Blood Transfusion	52