

**A STUDY OF STATUTORY PROVISIONS RELATING TO KIDNAPPING:  
THE PENAL CODE, THE KIDNAPPING ACT 1961 OTHER RELEVANT  
STATUTES**

By

Siti Nurida binti Seho (2006884935)

Nor Hayati binti Osman (2006884999)

Norliza binti Jusoh (2006884981)

Submitted in the Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for The Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**Faculty of Law**

October 2008

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, we would like to express our deepest appreciative to ALLAH S.W.T. who makes all things possible and give us desire, ability, opportunity and the motivation to complete this proposal on time.

This proposal would not have been possible without the help, advice, cooperation and commitment of various individuals. On top of the list, we would like to express our sincere and deep thankful to our respectful lecturer, Pn. Syuhaeda Aeni Mat Ali for her invaluable guidance, contribution and encouragement. Her help is deeply appreciated. Thanks also to Pn Su'Aida Dato Safei and Pn Siti Hafsyah Idris for their assistance, encouragement and motivation. Besides that, a lot of thanks to Tn. Lee Chong Fook in his participation in answering our interview question which give a valuable knowledge to our research.

This research project has been carried out by Siti Nurida Bt Sehoo, Nor Hayati Bt Osman and Norliza Bt Jusoh. Since we work as a group, we had cooperated, contributed ideas, motivated each other and sacrificed our time in order to complete this research project. We had do read on the books and articles, study on the statutes and cases relating to kidnapping together in accomplished our research project. Therefore we would like to thanks to our self as we are able to complete this research project on time.

We also wish to extend to our parents and family for their continuous support, prayer and faith in us too.

To all of our friends that are in fact having contributed their support and motivation during completion of this project paper either directly or indirectly. Last but not least, we are very gratified to everyone who participated in this research study directly and indirectly. Thank you.

## **ABSTRACT**

The research is about the study relating to kidnapping provisions that used to govern the kidnapping offence namely Penal Code and Kidnapping Act 1961. Basically, the idea of the research is to know the non-existence on the definition of kidnapping in Kidnapping Act 1961. Even though the statute governed all the crime or act relating to kidnapping, but when it comes to the definition, it must be referred to Penal Code.

The research is also will deal with the punishments imposed on the offenders once they are convicted. Since both statutes provides for its own punishments, the research will determine the methods or solutions that had been used by the judges in deciding the kidnapping offence's case.

In finding all the relevant information, the research also has to look at the legal standing on kidnapping offence in other countries and also comparing the standing with the current position in Malaysia. Apart from that, the position under Islamic regarding kidnapping offence also been discussed. In addition, kidnapping in other countries also known as abduction and it is considered as less serious offence if compared with Malaysia situation.

Thus, all the objectives that had been proposed are to be achieved. As for conclusion, there are needs to do research in this topic because it will bring benefit in future legal system. For instance, it will help judges in deciding kidnapping cases in future and give clear description about the kidnapping offence.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Cases	vii

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Objectives and Scope	5
1.4	Significance of the Research	5
1.5	Limitations of the Research	5
1.6	Research Methodology	6
1.7	Outline of the Structure of the Paper	6
1.8	Conclusion	7

### CHAPTER TWO: THE DEFINITIONS AND PUNISHMENTS IN KIDNAPPING ACT 1961 AND PENAL CODE

2.0	Introduction	8
2.1	Kidnapping Act 1961 and Penal Code	10
2.2	Comparison on the Definitions and Punishment	11
2.2.1	Definition of kidnapping in Kidnapping Act 1961	11
2.2.2	Definition of kidnapping in Penal Code	12
2.2.3	Punishment in Kidnapping Act 1961	14
2.2.4	Punishment in Penal Code	15
2.3	Abduction	16

2.3.1	Definition of Abduction in Kidnapping Act 1961	17
2.3.2	Definition of Abduction in Penal Code	18
2.3.3	The Punishment of Abduction in Kidnapping Act 1961	18
2.3.4	The Punishment of Abduction in Penal Code	18
2.3.5	View on Abduction in Malaysia and Common Law	19
2.4	Conclusion	20

**CHAPTER THREE: THE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT PUNISHMENT  
BETWEEN KIDNAPPING ACT 1961 AND PENAL  
CODE**

3.0	Introduction	21
3.1	Criminal Cases of Kidnapping	22
3.1.1	<i>Neelakandan v Public Prosecutor</i> [1956] 1 MLJ 208	22
3.1.2	<i>Teh Yew Whatt v Public Prosecutor</i> [1958] 1 MLJ 171	23
3.1.3	<i>Chan Teng Cheong v Public Prosecutor</i> [1967] 1 MLJ 217	25
3.1.4	<i>Bernard Foon &amp; Ors v Public Prosecutor</i> [1968] 2 MLJ 117	26
3.1.5	<i>Pritam Singh v Public Prosecutor</i> [1970] 2 MLJ 239	27
3.1.6	<i>Sia Ah Kew &amp; Ors v Public Prosecutor</i> [1974] 1 MLJ 125	28
3.1.7	<i>Public Prosecutor v Wong Kok Sein &amp; Ors</i> [1988] 2 CLJ 630	29
3.1.8	<i>Public Prosecutor v Chan Choon Keong</i> [1989] 2 CLJ (Rep).	30
3.1.9	<i>Public Prosecutor v Ng Lai Huat &amp; Ors</i> [1990] 1 CLJ 1190	31
3.1.10	<i>Selvaraju s/o Satippan v Public Prosecutor</i> [2005] 1 SLR 238	32
3.1.11	<i>Public Prosecutor v Chee Cheong Hin Constance</i> [2006] 2 SLR 707	33
3.1.12	<i>Public Prosecutor v Wong Shew Choong</i> [2007] 10 CLJ 629	34
3.2	Cases under Civil that Constitute as Criminal Offences	35
3.2.1	<i>Ghouse bin Haji Kader Mustan v Rex</i> [1946] 1 MLJ 36	36
3.2.2	<i>Deputy Public Prosecutor v Abdul Rahman</i> [1963] 1 MLJ 213	38
3.2.3	<i>Syed Abu Tahir a/l Mohamed Esmail v Public Prosecutor</i> [1988]	