

**WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT 2010: A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE
VIABILITY OF LEGISLATION IN PROTECTING THE RIGHTS OF
WHISTLEBLOWER**

BY

ROSE AZUREEN BT ROSTARIZAM	2011347269	017-3312825
FATIN IZYAN MUHAMAD FADZIL	2011317395	014-7340301
AMALIA AINA BT ZAKARIA	2011345267	013-7441031
AZIZUL HAKIM BIN RAMLI	2011537981	017-8271378

**SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF BACHELOR LEGAL STUDIES (HONS)**

**UNIVERSITY TECHNOLOGY OF MARA
FACULTY OF LAW**

SUBMITTED ON 20 JUNE 2014

**PREPARED FOR:
SIR ISMAIL BIN BADIUZZAMAN**

**The students/author confirms that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate
credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project would not have been possible without the support of many people. First and foremost, we would like to thank to our supervisor of this project, Sir Ismail for the valuable guidance and advice. He inspired us greatly to work in this project. His willingness to motivate us contributed tremendously to our project. We also would like to thank him for showing us some example of cases and journals that related to the topic of our project which is the Whistleblower Protection Act 2010: A Comparative Study on the Viability of Legislation in Protecting the Whistleblower.

Besides, we would like to thank him for his opinion on authority that is needed from the United Kingdom and the United States in order to narrow down the gap in the provision of Malaysia regarding the issue. Furthermore, we would like to show our biggest appreciation to him for providing us with a good environment and facilities to complete this project.

Also, we would like to take this opportunity to thank to the Bachelor of Legal Studies of *Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM)* for offering this subject, legal research methodology when we are in Semester 4 and until now, the subject is known as final year project when we are in semester 5. It gave us an opportunity to participate and learn about the lacunae in law regarding the protection of the whistleblower in Malaysia.

In addition, we would also like to thank the librarians of UiTM which help to guide us to search for the books, journals that we need in order to complete the research paper. The sources provide us valuable information as the guidance of our project.

Finally, an honourable mention goes to our families and friends for their understandings and supports on us in completing this project. Without helps of the particular that mentioned above, we would face many difficulties while doing this. And again thank you.

ABSTRACT

This project paper would cover firstly on the protection of whistleblower in our country Malaysia. The second chapter would cover in dept all the protection provided in country in Malaysia, United Kingdom and United States. This chapter would explore all the legal protection cover in this three country. While in Chapter three, in order to identify it effectiveness we would compare it with developed country in whistleblower such as United Kingdom and United States. This chapter would show all the weaknesses and strength of each country in protecting the legal right of a whistleblower. The strength showed by he three country shall be a recommendation to be followed and weaknesses as well as loopholes should be improved. The comparison will showed the loopholes of protection of whistleblower in our country and this research should help to improve our whistleblower protection act 2010.

Next chapter would cover on the guidelines for effective legislation provided in United States and United Kingdom that could be followed by our country. This chapter would emphasis on the importance of the study of effectiveness and the impact on the whistleblower protection in our country. The last chapter would cover on the recommendation that should be followed by our country, Malaysia in order to improve our legislation regarding the protection of whistleblower and to provide the best whistleblower protection Act that can protect their right not only internally but also externally. The last chapter is wrapped up in order to encourage people to whistle blowing and to show the benefits of whistle blowing. Thus, for them to involve in whistle blowing the protection provided shall be improve.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Cases	viii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Overview	1
1.1	Problem Statement	6
1.2	Research Question	10
1.3	Research Objective	11
1.4	Research Methodology	12
1.5	Significant of Research	14
1.6	Scope and Limitation	15

CHAPTER TWO: LAWS IN UNITED KINGDOM, MALAYSIA AND UNITED STATES WITH REGARD TO THE PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWER

2.0	Whistleblower Protection in United Kingdom	17
2.1	Whistleblower Protection in Malaysia	27
2.2	Whistleblower Protection in United States	36
2.3	Conclusion	40

CHAPTER THREE: COMPARATIVE STUDY ON WHISTLEBLOWER
LAWS IN UNITED KINGDOM, MALAYSIA
AND UNITED STATES

3.0	Disclosure of Improper Conduct	41
3.1	Legal Mechanism to Protect Employees	52
3.2	Rewards to Whistleblower	58
3.3	Possibility of Revocation of Protection to Whistleblower	63
3.4	Conclusion	66

CHAPTER FOUR: GUIDELINE FOR EFFECTIVE LEGISLATION TO
PROTECT WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHT

4.0	Guideline on Whistle blowing in Other Country	67
4.1	Whistleblower Protection Frameworks, Compendium of Best Practices and Guiding Principles for Legislation	74
4.2	Reasons Why Whistleblower Legislation Should be Effective	82
4.3	Conclusion	86

CHAPTER FIVE: RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

5.0	Recommendation	87
5.1	Conclusion	94
	Bibliography	97