

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MALAYSIAN LAW AND  
LAW IN UNITED KINGDOM: CRUELTY AGAINST CATS**

BY:

AHMAD MUHAIMIN B. ABDUL AZIZ (2007143791)  
AMELDA BT. MOHD YUSOF (2007143801)  
ANITH AMYRAH BT. MOHD NOOR (2007143805)  
HARRISON ANAK ARIS (2007143849)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement  
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**Faculty of Law**

January 2010 – April 2010

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that an appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

---

We owe our deepest gratitude to Pn. Nadia binti Omar on her kind-hearted guidance, encouragement and unconditional support from the beginning of the research to the final level in helping us understand the research better.

We also would like to show our thanks to Cik Syakiraah binti Abdul Khaled who showed us the way in conducting library based research.

It is a pleasure to those who had made this project paper possible, En. Mohamed Radzuan bin Malek (Head of Enforcement in Veterinary Services Department, Putrajaya), En. Zaharinan bin Abdul Aziz (Head of Investigation Department) En. Soh Tian Song (Head of Legal Department), En. Jamadi bin Badri (Head Of Presecution) and En. Zainuddin bin Ismayatin (representative in Law Department) for giving so much information and support in this research paper.

We are grateful to En. Subramanian Elappan (Head of Enforcement Department, Selangor) on his enthusiastic explanations and effort in giving us extra sources in completing this research.

It is an honor to get more knowledge from members of the non-governmental organizations. Firstly, Pn. Shahrul Anum binti Baharin (Education Officer) from Society For The Prevention Of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA). Secondly, Mr. Edward Lim (Director) from Paws Animal Welfare Society (PAWS).

We are indebted with our own team members for giving enough cooperation, understanding, and punctual at all times.

Lastly, we offer our gratitude to our parents who never stop giving financial aid and at the same time never stop praying on our success with this project paper.

## ABSTRACT

---

Problems occurred on cats are normally abused cats and excessive stray cats on the streets. This research contains the differences of Malaysian law and United Kingdom law in dealing with cruelty against cats. Malaysian laws on cats need to be renewed in order to be able to fit in the new era. The over-population of stray cats and massive abused cats in our country is not to be taken lightly. This phenomenon reflects the negative attitude of our citizen on the relationship between human and animals. The local laws need to step up and enforce its law accordingly to make the law an effective law. Here, the comparison on Animals Act 1953 (Act 647) and Animal Welfare Act 2006 in United Kingdom is studied. Interviews are conducted to the government bodies and non-governmental organizations. The result of the interviews are analysed to seek on the major differences of these laws. Accordingly, these analysis are recorded and conclusions are made. Reports on the interviews are made. The reports shows there are certainly some areas in the local laws that need amendments. Therefore, amendments in terms of sufficiency of the punishment, protection and enforcement of the local law are necessary.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Acknowledgment	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Statutes	vii

### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Research Questions	4
1.3	Research Objectives	5
1.4	Methodology	5
1.5	Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
1.6	Significant Of Research	6
1.7	Division of the Chapter	7
1.8	Conclusion	9

### CHAPTER 2: IN DEPTH VIEW OF CRUELTY TOWARDS CAT

2.0	Introduction	10
2.1	The Origins of the Cruelty against Cats	10
2.2	The Implementation of the Law to Protect the Cats	13
2.3	The Role of Society in Protecting the Cats.	15
2.4	The Role of Non-Governmental Organization in Protecting the Cats	17
2.5	Cases Relating to the Cats in Malaysia and United Kingdom.	19
2.6	Factors that Influence the Viability of the Law.	21
2.7	Conclusion	23

## **CHAPTER 3: COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LAW IN UNITED KINGDOM AND THE LAW IN MALAYSIA**

3.0	Introduction	24
3.1	The Law in Malaysia	24
3.1.1	Animals Act 1953 (Act 647)	25
3.1.2	Penal Code (Act 574)	25
3.1.3	Comparison between the Penal Code (Act 574) and the Animal Ordinance 1953	26
3.1.4	Veterinary Surgeons Act 1974 (Act 147)	27
3.1.5	Veterinary Public Health Ordinance, 1999 in Sarawak and Animal Prevention of Cruelty Ordinance 1925 in Sabah	28
3.1.6	Role of the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) as an Authorise Body	29
3.2	The law in United Kingdom	32
3.2.1	Code of Practice for the Welfare of Cats	36
3.2.2	Enforcement Body of Organization Deal with Cruelty Against Cat in United Kingdom	37
3.3	Conclusion	39

## **CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS: OPINION FROM THE GOVERNMENT AND THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS**

4.0	Introduction	40
4.1	Views from NGOs	40
4.1.1	Views on the Existing Law	40
4.1.2	Rights and Duties Given by Law to the NGOs	42
4.1.3	The Roles of Department of Veterinary Services DVS	43
4.1.4	The Role of Education in Promoting Awareness towards the Society	43
4.1.5	Level of Awareness on Cruelty towards Cats	44
4.2	Views from Government	44
4.2.1	Laws in Malaysia Concerning with the Issue of Cruelty against Cat	45
4.2.2	Efficiency of the Law to Curb the Problem	46
4.2.3	Current Punishment to the Offender Convicted for Cruelty against Cat	47