

**THE 1988 JUDICIARY CRISIS: HAS IT SHAKEN THE PILLARS OF THE
MALAYSIAN JUDICIARY**

By

Noorazlena binti Abbas (2004329008)

Norlaili binti Abd Rahman (2004262025)

Nornajwa binti Mhd Jaar (2004329047)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

**University Technology MARA
Faculty of Law**

April 2007

The students confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project has been carried out by a team which has included Noorazlena, Norlaili and Nornajwa. We would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the parties that had been involved in our success in preparing this project paper, whether directly or indirectly, with all our highest gratitude and appreciation.

To Puan Sharifah Saeedah, for her supervision and guidance. Her encouragement and motivation had been a very prominent factor in completing this project paper.

To our beloved family for all the invaluable love and support that had carried us through all along.

To all the lecturers at the Law Faculty of Universiti Teknologi Mara whom we had the privilege to learn from and that had indirectly stimulated ideas and thoughts for this project paper.

Thank you so much.

ABSTRACT

This research includes of the duties and responsibilities of the judiciary in our country. Apart from the responsibilities, the issue of independence of the judiciary has been focused thoroughly.

Moreover, the case of Tun Salleh Abbas has been determined in order to gain the information of judiciary system as well as the role of the other two organ of government.

As what has been stated in the Federal Constitution, the three organs of the government have been dealing with a system of check and balances in order not to infringe the other body or to interfere with the duties of a particular organ of government.

Therefore, some recommendations have been highlighted in this paper in order to maintain the rights and protection of independence of the judiciary.

In conclusion, the three bodies of the government must strive to balance their duties in order to give good perception to the public.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|-----------------|-----|
| Acknowledgement | i |
| Abstract | ii |
| Contents | iii |
| List of Cases | v |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Problem Statement | 6 |
| 1.2 | Objectives | 6 |
| 1.3 | Research Methodology | 7 |
| 1.4 | Limitation | 8 |
| 1.5 | Significance of Study | 9 |

CHAPTER TWO: 1988 JUDICIARY CRISIS, THE DARKEST EPISODE OF THE MALAYSIAN JUDICIARY

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------|----|
| 2.0 | Introduction | 10 |
| 2.1 | Definition of Judiciary Crisis | 11 |
| 2.2 | Federal Constitution | 11 |
| 2.3 | May Day for Justice | 13 |
| 2.4 | Judicial Misconduct vs. May Day | 17 |
| 2.5 | Criticism on the 1988 Judiciary Crisis | 17 |

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH FINDINGS

| | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.0 | Introduction | 26 |
| 3.1 | Research Finding | 26 |
| 3.1.1 | Rights and Powers of Judiciary | 26 |
| 3.1.2 | Independence of Judiciary in carrying out duties | 27 |
| 3.1.3 | Principle of Check and balance between three branches of government | 35 |
| 3.1.4 | Powers of the Executive, Legislature and judiciary | 37 |
| 3.1.5 | Interference of other branches of government in determining judicial decision | 39 |
| 3.1.6 | The occurrence of 1988 judiciary crisis | 39 |
| 3.1.7 | Effect of 1988 judiciary crisis | 40 |
| 3.1.8 | Approval from other branches of government in deciding | 43 |

